



Outlook

---

**Re: FINAL MINUTES OF 457 (PART-I-A) OF WRC**

---

**From** Shailesh Zala <shaileshzala@yahoo.co.in>**Date** Wed 22-Apr-26 7:01 PM**To** Western Regional Committee, NCTE <wrc@ncte-india.org>

Approved

[Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer](#)

On Wed, Apr 22, 2026 at 5:56 PM, Western Regional Committee, NCTE <wrc@ncte-india.org> wrote:

Respected Sir,

Please find attached the Final Minutes of 457 (Part-I-A) meeting of WRC held on 20th-22nd April 2026 for consideration and approval please.

Thanks and regards

RD-WRC

-----

For more information or query, Please revert on the same mail <[wrc@ncte-india.org](mailto:wrc@ncte-india.org)>.



**Warm Regards**

**Western Regional Committee**

**NCTE**

**Dwarka**

**New Delhi**



राष्ट्रीय शिक्षक शिक्षा परिषद की पश्चिमी क्षेत्रीय समिति (WRC) की 457 वीं (भाग-I-A) बैठक 20 से 22 अप्रैल, 2026 हाइब्रिड मोड के माध्यम से आयोजित की गई।

I. निम्नलिखित सदस्य और राज्य प्रतिनिधि बैठक में उपस्थित थे:-

|    | सदस्य का नाम                  | पदनाम  | Mode   |
|----|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 1. | प्रो. शैलेश नारनभाई जाला      | अध्यक्ष  | व्यक्तिगत  |
| 2. | डॉ. पाठक कल्पेशकुमार हर्षदराय | सदस्य  | व्यक्तिगत  |
| 3. | डॉ. सुहासकुमार रूपराव पाटिल   | सदस्य  | व्यक्तिगत  |
| 4. | डॉ. अश्विनी हल्बे कारवांडे    | सदस्य  | व्यक्तिगत<br>20-21 अप्रैल, 2026<br>आभासी ; 22 अप्रैल, 2026 |
| 5. | श्रीमती रश्मि शुक्ला          | क्षेत्रीय निदेशक एवं संयोजक<br>पश्चिमी क्षेत्रीय समिति | व्यक्तिगत  |

II. निम्नलिखित सदस्य और राज्य सरकार के प्रतिनिधि बैठक में उपस्थित नहीं हुए:

|     | सदस्य का नाम / पदनाम       | राज्य / केंद्र शासित प्रदेश |
|-----|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1.  | डॉ. करणम पुष्पनाधाम        | सदस्य                       |
| 2.  | प्रो. भरत भक्तिभाई रामानुज | सदस्य                       |
| 3.  | राज्य सरकार के प्रतिनिधि   | गोवा                        |
| 4.  | राज्य सरकार के प्रतिनिधि   | छत्तीसगढ़                   |
| 5.  | राज्य सरकार के प्रतिनिधि   | दादर एवं नागर हवेली         |
| 6.  | राज्य सरकार के प्रतिनिधि   | दमन एवं दीव                 |
| 7.  | राज्य सरकार के प्रतिनिधि   | गुजरात                      |
| 8.  | राज्य सरकार के प्रतिनिधि   | मध्यप्रदेश                  |
| 9.  | राज्य सरकार के प्रतिनिधि   | महाराष्ट्र                  |
| 10. | राज्य सरकार के प्रतिनिधि   | राजस्थान                    |

- II. अध्यक्ष की अनुमति से, श्रीमती रश्मि शुक्ला, क्षेत्रीय निदेशक व संयोजक, पश्चिमी क्षेत्रीय समिति ने समिति के समक्ष कार्यसूची प्रस्तुत की। प्रत्येक कार्यसूची पर मदवार निर्णय निम्नानुसार है :-

| CONSIDERATION OF OFFLINE COURT MATTER  |                  |   |        |
|--|------------------|---|--------|
| S. No.   | Application Code | Name and Address of Institution   | Course |
| 1  | APW01771/223322  | Yash Shikshan Sansthan and Gramin Vikas Smiti 40, Shikshak Colony Choupati, Badnawar, Dhar-454660, Madhya Pradesh | B.Ed.  |
| <p><b><u>Decision of WRC:</u></b></p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other related documents, and having considered the same in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, Rules and Regulations issued by NCTE from time to time, the directions of the Hon'ble Court, and the documents submitted by the institution pursuant to the communications issued by the WRC office in accordance with the minutes of the 451st Meeting (Part-II), the Committee observed as under:</p> <p>The institution filed a Writ Petition in the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi, and the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P. No. 1395/2026 vide Order dated 03.02.2026 has passed the following decision: -</p> <p><b><i>"1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Direct the Respondent to decide the Representations made by the Petitioner Society to incorporate the name of its Yash College of Education, in the formal recognition orders issued to it, by the Respondent No.2 itself; which otherwise, were required to be issued in the name of "Yash College of Education" only, in place of the name of the Petitioner Society herein; and/or..."</i></li> <li>• <i>It is the case of the petitioner that the petitioner society seeks decision on its representation regarding the correction in the name of the college, which is being maintained by the petitioner society.</i></li> <li>• <i>Ms. Malhotra, learned counsel for the respondents, states that the respondents have recognized the name as given by the petitioner and there is no mistake/error on part of the respondents.</i></li> <li>• <i>However, de hors the same, she states that the representation of the petitioner will be decided appropriately and expeditiously.</i></li> <li>• <i>Taking her statement on record and binding the respondents to the said statement, the petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.</i></li> <li>• <i>In case the petitioner is aggrieved, the petitioner shall be at liberty to take appropriate actions in accordance with law."</i></li> </ul> <p>The Committee, upon consideration of the above facts, noted that the institution had submitted the requisite documents in support of its request for change of name vide letters dated 09.09.2025 and 06.01.2026, seeking change of the name from "Yash Shikshan Sansthan and Gramin Vikas Smiti" to "<b><u>Yash College of Education</u></b>" in the recognition order(s) issued by NCTE. The Committee decided to allow the request for change of name from "Yash Shikshan Sansthan and Gramin Vikas Smiti" to "<b><u>Yash College of Education</u></b>" for offering B.Ed. course.</p> |                  |   |        |
| 2  | APW05726/223621  | Vidyawati Chaturvedi Shiksha Mahavidhyalaya, run by Priyavrat Mahila Utthan Samiti, House No. 38,40, Ward No. 33, | B.Ed.  |

|   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | Khasra No. 3402, Saraswati Nagar, Panna Road, Chhattarpur, Madhya Pradesh |  |
| <p><b>Decision of WRC:</b></p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other related documents, and having considered the same in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, Rules and Regulations issued by NCTE from time to time, the directions of the Hon'ble Court, the Committee observed as under:</p> <p>The institution filed a Writ Petition in the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi, and the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P. No. 2633/2020 vide Order dated 20.03.2026 has passed the following decision:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers: " A. issue an appropriate writ[s]/direction[s] or order[s] seeking quashing and setting aside the impugned order dated 09.07.2018 passed by the WRC and order dated 15.05.2019 passed by the NCTE whereby the intake of 100 seats were reduced by the WRC, NCTE to 50 seats as also statutory appeal was rejected by the NCTE without considering the application, faculties approved by the affiliating body and infrastructural availability; and/or B. issue an appropriate writ[s]/direction[s] or order[s] directing the respondents to restore the recognition order dated 12.09.2008 and revised order dated 27.05.2015 issued by the WRC, NCTE, from next academic session; ... "</i></li> <li>2. <i>The present case pertains to the challenge of the impugned Order dated 09.07.2018 wherein the respondent adjudicated the application of the petitioner and the intake of 100 seats for B.ED. course was reduced to 50 seats.</i></li> <li>3. <i>The operative portion of the Restoration Order bearing No. F. No. WRC/APW05726/223621/294th/2018/199053 dated 09.07.2018 reads as under:</i><br/> <i>"AND WHEREAS the clarification letter issued on 29.06.2018 and reply clarification received on 25.06.2018. AND WHEREAS, the matter was placed in 294th Meeting held on July 04-05, 2018 of WRC and the Committee decided that "Consequent to the appeal order. Clarification on letter dated 29.06.2018 was issued to the institution regarding the appointment of two lecturers. The institution has appointed two additional staff but they do not have the required NET qualification as per NCTE notification published on 09.06.2017. Hence, Recognition is restored but with one unit. NOW THEREFORE, the recognition of VIDYAWATI CHATURVEDI SHIKSHA MAHAVIDYALAYA, RUN BY PRIYAVRAT MAHILA UTTAN SAMITI. HOUSE NO.-38, 40. WARD NO.-33, KHASRA NO., 3402, SARASWATI NAGAR. PANNA ROAD, CHHATTARPUR, MADHYA PRADESH for conducting B.Ed, course with an annual intake of 50 students (one basic units of 50 students) is hereby revived."</i></li> <li>4. <i>Mr. Manish, learned counsel for the petitioner, states that without prejudice to the fact that the Order is contrary to the judgment dated 11.04.2019 passed in W.P(C) 241/2018, the petitioner has already changed the two lecturers not possessing the required NET qualification and hence this ground of two teachers without the required NET qualifications no longer subsists.</i></li> <li>5. <i>He further states that the present petition may be disposed of directing the respondent to treat the present petition as a representation. Mr. Rupal, learned counsel has no objection to the same.</i></li> <li>6. <i>In this view of the matter, it is directed that the respondent No. 2 will consider the present petition as a representation and decide the same within 4 weeks from today after giving personal hearing to the representative of the petitioner in accordance with the existing rules.</i></li> <li>7. <i>In case there is any deficiency in the documents/additional requirements regarding teachers, the respondent shall prior to deciding the application inform the petitioner in writing and the petitioner would be given an opportunity to rectify the shortcomings.</i></li> <li>8. <i>Accordingly, the petition is disposed of."</i></li> </ol> |   |  |

The Committee noted that the submission made by the institution in the Writ Petition, to be treated as a representation in pursuance of the directions of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, has been duly considered and examined. The Committee further noted that the institution is, in effect, seeking restoration of the second unit of its B.Ed. course, which would amount to an increase in annual intake from the existing 50 seats (one unit) to 100 seats (two units). The Committee observed that under Section 15 of the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, and the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997, an institution seeking an increase of intake in an existing recognised course is mandatorily required to submit a fresh application in online mode in the prescribed format, along with the prescribed processing fee. The Committee noted that no such application has been submitted by the institution through the prescribed online mode as required under the extant Regulations. In view of the above, the representation of the institution seeking restoration of the second unit in the B.Ed. course cannot be acceded to, as allowing the same in the absence of a formal application would be contrary to and in contravention of the relevant provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, Rules and Regulations made thereunder, and would lead to an anomalous situation inconsistent with the statutory framework governing recognition and increase of intake. Accordingly, the representation is found to be devoid of merit and is disposed of. The institution is at liberty to apply afresh for increase of intake in the existing B.Ed. course in accordance with the prescribed procedure, as and when applications are invited by the NCTE.

बैठक का समापन अध्यक्ष को धन्यवाद प्रस्ताव के साथ हुआ।

(प्रो. शैलेश नारनभाई जाला)  
अध्यक्ष