



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.02.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-231/E-369869/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLWRC202515062 | E-89291

Kamla Nehru College, 947/1, Rani Road Rani Mahal Purani Basti Korba, Chattisgarh-495677 APPELLANT	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075 RESPONDENT
---	------------------	--

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Prashant Bopapurkar, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	02.02.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of Kamla Nehru College, 947/1, Rani Road Rani Mahal Purani Basti Korba, Chattisgarh-495677 dated 24.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. File No. NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / ORDER / APW07842 / 723176 / dated 14.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Prashant Bopapurkar, Principal of Kamla Nehru College, 947/1, Rani Road Rani Mahal Purani Basti Korba, Chattisgarh-495677 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Due to lack of information we could not submit the par within the time duration from next time we shall take care for filling the PAR report within given time limit. Please consider our request."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by WRC vide order dt. 14.05.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable. The Appeal Committee noted that this is the case of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. Although the deadline for submission of PARs, initially fixed as 10.11.2024, was extended up to 31.12.2024 through successive Public Notices, the appellant institution failed to comply. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent

developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) **Stipulation of Consequence:**

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) **Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:**

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Committee also took note of the judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, including *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P. (C) 3231/2016, judgment dated 23.02.2017], as well as orders dated 08.04.2021 in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 and 30.07.2021 in W.P. (C) 7260/2021, which mandate that subsequent documents submitted in the appeal must be considered.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

"Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not

compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

The Appeal Committee, noting the above directives and the binding resolution of the General Body, finds that although the appellant institution was indeed deficient for failing to submit the PARs within the prescribed timelines, it nevertheless falls within the category of institutions permitted to avail the final, one-time opportunity for PAR submission, subject strictly to fulfilment of the conditions stipulated by the General Body. Accordingly, the appeal warrants disposal by way of remand, solely to give effect to the Council's binding decision and to ensure procedural fairness.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee hereby remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a specific direction that the appellant institutions shall be permitted to apply afresh and re-submit duly completed PARs upon reopening of the PAR Portal, in accordance with the General Body's mandate. The concerned Regional Committees shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable SOPs, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all connected records before passing any consequential order. The appellant institution

is further directed to forward to the WRC, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, all documents submitted before the Appeal Committee, whereupon the WRC shall proceed strictly in accordance with law and in conformity with the directions set out herein.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 14.05.2025 and remand back the case to Western Regional Committee for fresh consideration strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Kamla Nehru College, 947/1, Rani Road Rani Mahal Purani Basti Korba, Chattisgarh-495677.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Chhattisgarh, First floor, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh, 492002.**



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.02.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-181/E-367699/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515088 | E-89291

Shri Mohar Singh Degree College, Gata 459,460, 461,462,463,467,468, 931/8 Village-vasundra, P. O. Vasundra, Tehsil - Etah, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 207001	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector - 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	02.02.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Shri Mohar Singh Degree College, Gata 459,460, 461,462,463,467,468, 931/8 Village-vasundra, P. O. Vasundra, Tehsil – Etah, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 207001** dated 01.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/UP-1927** dated 27.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Shri Mohar Singh Degree College, Gata 459,460, 461,462,463,467,468, 931/8 Village-vasundra, P. O. Vasundra, Tehsil – Etah, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode – 207001** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “the institution should be given one more chance to fill the PAR or an inspection may be carried out by the NCTE to make sure that all requirements are being fulfilled by the institution.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 28.07.2005. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by NRC vide order dt. 27.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless,

taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that

some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the

statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an “order of restoration” of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as “recognized institution” shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon’ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon’ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon’ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms

and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Shri Mohar Singh Degree College, Gata 459,460, 461,462,463,467,468, 931/8 Village-vasundra, P. O. Vasundra, Tehsil – Etah, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 207001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.02.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-337/E-376249/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515170 /E-89291

S.S. College of Education, 15/22/2,8/2,9,12,13/1,18/1/2,19,,22/1, Near Kothe Diwane, Sunam Road, Bhikhi, Mansa, Punjab-151504	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	The Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	02.02.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **S.S. College of Education, 15//22//2, 8/2, 9, 12, 13/1, 18/1/2, 19, 22/1, Near Kothe Diwane, Sunam Road, Bhikhi, Mansa, Punjab-151504** dated 23.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/PB-450/49110-116** dated 20.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

The Representative of **S.S. College of Education, 15//22//2, 8/2, 9, 12, 13/1, 18/1/2, 19, 22/1, Near Kothe Diwane, Sunam Road, Bhikhi, Mansa, Punjab-151504** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “I would like to inform you, Principal S.S. College of Education Bhikhi, Mansa, Punjab, that in the year 2024, for the session 2021-22, 2022-23, vide your letter No. No. F.NCTE-Regl012/2024-Reg.Sec-HQ dated 02-04-2025 was asked to fill the performance appraisal report. As per this letter, within the stipulated time, we had filled this proforma for the session 2021-22 and 2022-23 before 31st December 2024 and the due fee was also paid. Our institution was issued a show cause notice by your office on 02 April 2025 vide letter No. NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/PB-448 dated 01/04/2025 in which this notice was issued for the courses B.Ed, M.Ed and D.L.Ed running in the institution in which it was stated by your office that the proforma for the year 2022-23 has not been filled. We have replied to this clarification by email on 07 April 2025 and sent it by post on 27 May 2025. Regarding this subject, we attended your office on June 06, 2025 and upon your investigation, it was found that our institution has filled the proforma for the years 2021-22 and 2022-23. After this, you have registered the name of Shiv Shakti College of Education in the list of recognized institutions of B.Ed. and M.Ed., but till now the name of D.El.Ed. has not been registered in the list of recognized institutions, while the reason for stopping all three courses was the same. We request you through this appeal that the recognition of the D.El.Ed. Course running under Shiv

Shakti College of Education be restored and it be registered in the list of recognized institutions.

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had been granted recognition for D.El.Ed. Course. Subsequently, the recognition was withdrawn by the Northern Regional Committee on the ground of non-submission of reply to the Show Cause Notice and/or non-filing of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic years 2021–2022 and/or 2022–2023 vide order dated 20.05.2025. It was further noted that, as per the Public Notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was later extended up to 31.12.2024. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2025 held on 07.01.2026, wherein the Committee had initially resolved to dispose of the appeal as infructuous. Subsequently, the appellant institution, vide its representation dated 22.01.2026, clarified that no court case has been filed by it in respect of the subject matter. In view of the said clarification, the matter was re-examined on the basis of the reply received from the appellant institution, in terms of the resolution passed in the Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026, whereby Prof. Nain Singh, Member, Appeal Committee, was authorised to examine and approve the minutes on a need-based basis.

Accordingly, in exercise of the authority so conferred by the Appeal Committee, the matter has been examined along with the Appeal Report, relevant records, and the documents submitted by the appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is ‘Active’ or ‘under judicial stay’.

- **The Council notes that the status of ‘Withdrawn’ recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar**

- (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.*
- *For TEIs whose recognition currently stands ‘Withdrawn’ on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon’ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window.”*

The Committee also took note of the judicial pronouncements of the Hon’ble Delhi High Court, including *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P. (C) 3231/2016, judgment dated 23.02.2017], as well as orders dated 08.04.2021 in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 and 30.07.2021 in W.P. (C) 7260/2021, which mandate that subsequent documents submitted in the appeal must be considered.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of

recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed."

The Appeal Committee, noting the above directives and the binding resolution of the General Body, finds that although the appellant institution was indeed deficient for failing to submit the PARs within the prescribed timelines, it nevertheless falls within the category of institutions permitted to avail the final, one-time opportunity for PAR submission, subject strictly to fulfilment of the conditions stipulated by the General Body. Accordingly, the appeal warrants disposal by way of remand, solely to give effect to the Council's binding decision and to ensure procedural fairness.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee hereby remands the matter to the Northern Regional Committee (NRC) with a specific direction that the appellant institutions shall be permitted to apply afresh and re-submit duly completed PARs upon reopening of the PAR Portal, in accordance with the General Body's mandate. The concerned Regional Committees shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable SOPs, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all connected records before passing any consequential order. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the NRC, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, all documents submitted before the Appeal Committee, whereupon the NRC shall proceed strictly in accordance with law and in conformity with the directions set out herein.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 20.05.2025 and remand back the case to Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein. In view of the above decision, the earlier order bearing No. 89-337 / E-376249/2025 Appeal / Emergent Meeting, 2026 APPLNRC202515170 dated 16.01.2026 shall stand cancelled and withdrawn.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, S.S. College of Education, 15//22//2, 8/2, 9, 12, 13/1, 18/1/2, 19, 22/1, Near Kothe Diwane, Sunam Road, Bhikhi, Mansa, Punjab-151504.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Punjab, Vidya Bhawan, Block E, 5th Floor, Phase-VIII, SAS Nagar (Mohali)-Punjab-160062



एन सी टी ई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.02.2026

एन सी टी ई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-254/E-371000/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLSRC202515183/E-89291

Ponnaiyah Ramajayam College of Education, 553/1b2, P.R. Engineering College Campus, Vallam, Thanjavur-Trichy Highways, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu-613403 APPELLANT	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075 RESPONDENT
---	------------------	--

Representative of Appellant	The Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	02.02.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Ponnaiyah Ramajayam College of Education, 553/1b2, P.R. Engineering College Campus, Vallam, Thanjavur-Trichy Highways, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu-613403** dated 27.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / SRC / PAR / ORDER / APSO0936** dated 03.06.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

The Representative from **Ponnaiyah Ramajayam College of Education, 553/1b2, P.R. Engineering College Campus, Vallam, Thanjavur-Trichy Highways, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu-613403** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “The institution has taken sincere steps to comply with the submission of PAR. Unfortunately, Login ID in the PAR Portal for our Institution has Technical issue. Hence, the institution could not login for PAR submission. The same was informed to NCTE through e-mail dated 12.11.2024. The receipt of the mail was not acknowledged by neither was there any response from NCTE. Meanwhile, a reminder mail was sent on 14.11.2024 by our Institution. The same is reproduced below: Dear NCTE PAR Support Team, I am writing to report a technical issue with the PAR (Performance Appraisal Report) portal. Despite repeated attempts, I am unable to log in to the portal. Institute Name: PONNAIYAH RAMAJAYAM COLLEGE OF EDUCATION User ID/Username: 112985 Error Message (if any): Error! Invalid Userid or Password or You have not submitted the application within the due date. Request: Please assist in resolving this login issue at your earliest convenience. Provide necessary guidance or temporary access to ensure timely submission of our institutes PAR details Contact Information: Name: Dr. R. Gunasekaran Designation: Principal Institute: PONNAIYAH RAMAJAYAM COLLEGE OF EDUCATION THANJAVUR, Phone:

9443806804, Email: deanedu@prist.ac.in Dear Sir / Madam, I am reaching out to report an ongoing technical issue with accessing the PAR (Performance Appraisal Report) portal. Despite multiple attempts, I have been unable to successfully log in. I kindly request your assistance in resolving this login issue at your earliest convenience. Any guidance or temporary access that would help ensure the timely submission of our institute's PAR would be greatly appreciated. Institute Name: PONNAIYAH RAMAJAYAM COLLEGE OF EDUCATION User ID/Username: 112985 Error Message (if any): Error! Invalid Userid or Password or You have not submitted the application within the due date. Please do the needful help as soon as possible. Best regards, Name: Dr. R. Gunasekaran Designation: Principal Institute: PONNAIYAH RAMAJAYAM COLLEGE OF EDUCATION THANJAVUR, Phone: 9443806804, Email: deanedu@prist.ac.in. Our Institution has replied on 16-04-2025 for the NCTE show cause notice through PAR Notification / Online Feedback Portal. But, No information / acknowledgement has been received from NCTE till date. Mail dated 16/04/2025 is reproduced below : Region: SRC / State: TAMIL NADU/ District: THANJAVUR Feedback : Subject: Response to NCTE Show Cause Notice regarding PAR Submission – Reg. Respected Sir / Madam, Reference: 1. NCTE / 2025 / SRC / PAR / APSO0936 dated 12.04.2025 With reference to the above-mentioned Show Cause Notices issued in connection with the Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23 for the B.Ed. and M.Ed. programs, I wish to submit the following: Due to persistent technical issues in the NCTE portal, I was unable to access the NCTE portal during the submission period. Accordingly, I had brought this issue to your attention through emails sent on 13.11.2024 and 14.11.2024. Despite repeated attempts, the login issue remained unresolved, which hindered the timely submission of our institute's PAR. In view of the above, I request your kind support in resolving the portal access issue at the earliest. I further request your guidance on the necessary steps to complete the pending submission. If temporary access or any alternate mechanism can be provided to facilitate compliance, it would be greatly appreciated. Thank you for your understanding and continued support. Yours faithfully, Dean, School of Education. Sir, Our Educational Institution was established in the year 2005. It has all the infrastructure facilities with qualified Faculty members as per NCTE Guidelines. Further submitting most respectfully, our institution has helped lot of the rural

& downtrodden students in the locality to study Education Programme at lower cost and also free of cost for economically weaker sections. Sir, It is requested to permit us to continue the Extension of Approval to our Institution by considering the students future and future students in the Delta District where farming is the major Agriculture business. The institution will surely follow the NCTE Norms and also NCTE may inspect our institution at any time in this regard.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the course of B.Ed. with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition was subsequently withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 03.06.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The Appeal Committee observed that the case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Despite such extensions and wide publicity through various channels, the institution failed to submit the PARs within the stipulated timelines. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon’ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon’ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the

Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is ‘Active’ or ‘under judicial stay’.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Committee also took note of the judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, including *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P. (C) 3231/2016, judgment dated 23.02.2017], as well as orders dated 08.04.2021 in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 and 30.07.2021 in W.P. (C) 7260/2021, which mandate that subsequent documents submitted in the appeal must be considered.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

"Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner."

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

"Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of

recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

The Appeal Committee, noting the above directives and the binding resolution of the General Body, finds that although the appellant institution was indeed deficient for failing to submit the PARs within the prescribed timelines, it nevertheless falls within the category of institutions permitted to avail the final, one-time opportunity for PAR submission, subject strictly to fulfilment of the conditions stipulated by the General Body. Accordingly, the appeal warrants disposal by way of remand, solely to give effect to the Council's binding decision and to ensure procedural fairness.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee hereby remands the matter to the Southern Regional Committee (SRC) with a specific direction that the appellant institutions shall be permitted to apply afresh and re-submit duly completed PARs upon reopening of the PAR Portal, in accordance with the General Body's mandate. The concerned Regional Committees shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable SOPs, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all connected records before passing any consequential order. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the SRC, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, all documents submitted before the Appeal Committee, whereupon the SRC shall proceed strictly in accordance with law and in conformity with the directions set out herein.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 03.06.2025 and remand back the case to Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Ponnaiyah Ramajayam College of Education, 553/1b2, P.R. Engineering College Campus, Vallam, Thanjavur-Trichy Highways, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu-613403.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Govt. of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George, Chennai, Tamil Nadu-600009



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.02.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-440/E- 384781/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLSRC202515329 / E-89291

Immanuel Arasar College of Education, 926, Nattalam, Marthandam, Edaivilagam, Vilavancode, Kanyakumari, Tamilnadu – 629165	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. L. Vinila, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	02.02.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Immanuel Arasar College of Education, 926, Nattalam, Marthandam, Edaivilagam, Vilavancode, Kanyakumari, Tamilnadu – 629165** dated 09.08.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / SRC / PAR / ORDER / SRCAPP201630134** dated 20.06.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed. / B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The justification/reply given by the institution against Show Cause Notice for Non-submission of PAR is not acceptable.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. L. Vinila, Principal of Immanuel Arasar College of Education, 926, Nattalam, Marthandam, Edaivilagam, Vilavancode, Kanyakumari, Tamilnadu – 629165 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “We have applied par for all the course (B.Ed., M.Ed., B.A.B.Ed. & B.Sc.B.Ed.) in a single application under Immanuel Arasar College of Education. All the courses are run under by Immanuel Arasar College of Education. Kindly accept the previous application otherwise kindly give a chance to apply the PAR again for the B.A.B.Ed. & B.Sc.B.Ed.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 27.02.2018, and that the SRC subsequently withdrew this recognition on 20.06.2025 on the ground of non-submission of the mandatory Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. Although the deadline

for submission of PARs, initially fixed as 10.11.2024, was extended up to 31.12.2024 through successive Public Notices, the appellant institution failed to comply. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits.

The Appeal Committee noted that the Appellant Institution filed a Writ Petition (MD) No. 26681 of 2025 before the Hon'ble High Court of Madras at Madurai Bench and the Hon'ble court passed an order dated 16.10.2025. The operative part of the order is as under: -

***“... 4. On a perusal of the impugned order, it is seen that the order is a non-speaking order. Despite the fact that all the documents have been produced, the order simpliciter rejected the request on the ground of non-receipt of documents. In view of the same, the impugned order is set aside. The writ petition is allowed, and the matter is remitted back to the second respondent. The second respondent is directed to take note of the application and the accompanying documents filed and pass a fresh order granting recognition, within a period of three week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.*”**

No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.”

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Committee also took note of the judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, including Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P. (C) 3231/2016,

judgment dated 23.02.2017], as well as orders dated 08.04.2021 in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 and 30.07.2021 in W.P. (C) 7260/2021, which mandate that subsequent documents submitted in the appeal must be considered.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

The Appeal Committee, noting the above directives and the binding resolution of the General Body, finds that although the appellant institution was indeed deficient for failing to submit the PARs within the prescribed timelines, it nevertheless falls within the category of institutions permitted to avail the final, one-time opportunity for PAR submission, subject strictly to fulfilment of the conditions stipulated by the General Body. Accordingly, the appeal warrants disposal by way of remand, solely to give effect to the Council's binding decision and to ensure procedural fairness.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th

(Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee hereby remands the matter to the Southern Regional Committee (SRC) with a specific direction that the appellant institutions shall be permitted to apply afresh and re-submit duly completed PARs upon reopening of the PAR Portal, in accordance with the General Body's mandate. The concerned Regional Committees shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable SOPs, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all connected records before passing any consequential order. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the SRC, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, all documents submitted before the Appeal Committee, whereupon the SRC shall proceed strictly in accordance with law and in conformity with the directions set out herein.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 20.06.2025 and remand back the case to Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

- 1. The Principal, Immanuel Arasar College of Education, 926, Nattalam, Marthandam, Edaivilagam, Vilavancode, Kanyakumari, Tamilnadu – 629165.**

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Govt. of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George, Chennai, Tamil Nadu-600009



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 02.02.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-346/E-375216/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515305 / E-89291

Kishan Institute of Teachers Education, 165, Mewla Crossing, Delhi Road, Meerut City, Hafizabad, Uttar Pradesh-250002	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Aakash Chabaque, Director Administrator
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	02.02.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Kishan Institute of Teachers Education, 165, Mewla Crossing, Delhi Road, Meerut City, Hafizabad, Uttar Pradesh-250002** dated 29.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order no. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / SRC / PAR / ORDER / UP-665 /** dated of 30.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B..Ed. Course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Aakash Chabaque, Director Administrator from **Kishan Institute of Teachers Education, 165, Mewla Crossing, Delhi Road, Meerut City, Hafizabad, Uttar Pradesh-250002** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “That our institution is KISHAN INSTITUTE OF TEACHER’S EDUCATION, and we are not the entity named in the said withdrawal order. We became aware of this issue only after reviewing the list of de-recognised institutions published by NCTE. In response, we submitted an official application on 1st July 2025 requesting the removal of our name from that list. A copy of the application is enclosed for your reference. Furthermore, we would like to state that our institute did not receive any Show Cause Notice from NCTE concerning the non-submission of feedback forms or any related deficiencies. The notice was neither delivered to our registered postal address nor received through our official email ID, thereby denying us the opportunity to respond or present our case. It is important to clarify that the reason cited in the withdrawal order—non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR)—is factually incorrect. We duly submitted the PAR for the academic session 2021–2022 on 5th December 2024, and received confirmation of successful submission at 16:34:44 hrs, well ahead of the 31st December 2024 deadline. Likewise, the PAR for the academic session 2022–2023 was submitted on 10th December 2024, with a confirmation received at 15:04:45 hrs. Copies of both confirmation

emails are enclosed herewith as proof of timely submission. Prayer In light of the above, we humbly request that the withdrawal order be set aside as it has been made in error, both in terms of institutional identity and factual grounds. We further request that appropriate relief be granted to the appellant, and the name of KISHAN INSTITUTE OF TEACHERS EDUCATION be removed from the list of de-recognised institutions, so that we may continue our academic operations without disruption. I, Neeraj Singh, the undersigned appellant, hereby declare that the information provided above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, and no material facts have been concealed or misrepresented. Thank you for your time, understanding, and kind consideration. Yours sincerely, Neeraj Singh [Secretary] KISHAN INSTITUTE OF TEACHER'S EDUCATION."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The instant matter was placed in its 10th Meeting, 2025 held on 08.08.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant opportunity to the appellant institution with the direction to submit the aforesaid documents mentioned therein. The RD, NRC was also directed to submit recognition status report in respect of the Appellant Institution.

The Appeal Committee, in its 13th Meeting held online on 24.09.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 30.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the B.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 06.06.2015, and that the NRC subsequently withdrew this recognition on 30.05.2025 on the ground of non-submission of the mandatory Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. Although the deadline

for submission of PARs, initially fixed as 10.11.2024, was extended up to 31.12.2024 through successive Public Notices, the appellant institution failed to comply. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee considered the appeal and noted that the RD, NRC had not submitted its reply to appeal division letter dated 01.10.2025. The Appeal Committee decided to ask the RD, NRC to submit the clarification regarding *“the Appeal Committee noted that the recognition of the appellant institution has been withdrawn in the name of **Krishna Institute of Teachers Education**, however, the appellant institution filed Appeal in the name of **Kishan Institute of Teachers Education**. The RD, NRC is to clarify about the name of the appellant institution.”* In view of the above, the Appeal Committee resolved to keep the matter pending until the required clarifications/documents are submitted by the Regional Director, NRC. Accordingly, a letter dated 10.11.2025 was issued to the RD, NRC.

The RD, NRC vide letter dated Nil submitted written representation on 22.01.2026 stating that *“The recognition was granted to the institution namely **Kishan Institute of Teacher Education Mewla Crossing Near Amar Ujala Delhi Road, Meerut-250001, Uttar Pradesh** for conducting B.Ed. course of one year duration with annual intake of 100 students from the academic session 2002-2003 vide order No. F.No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-665/2002/8551 dated 16.11.2002 followed by revised recognition order offering for B.Ed. course two year duration with an annual intake of 100 students for the academic session 2015-2016 vide order No. F.No.NRC/NCTE/UP-665/2015/114382 dated 06.06.2015. Inadvertently in PAR withdrawal order the name of the institution mentioned Krishna Institute of Teacher Education Mewala Crossing Near Amar Ujala Delhi Road Meerut, UP, but the file number mentioned is of the said TEI.”*

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution.

The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is ‘Active’ or ‘under judicial stay’.

- **The Council notes that the status of ‘Withdrawn’ recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**

- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Committee also took note of the judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, including Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P. (C) 3231/2016, judgment dated 23.02.2017], as well as orders dated 08.04.2021 in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 and 30.07.2021 in W.P. (C) 7260/2021, which mandate that subsequent documents submitted in the appeal must be considered.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

"Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner."

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

"Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed."

The Appeal Committee, noting the above directives and the binding resolution of the General Body, finds that although the appellant institution was indeed deficient for failing to submit the PARs within the prescribed timelines, it nevertheless falls within the category of institutions permitted to avail the final, one-time opportunity for PAR submission, subject strictly to fulfilment of the conditions stipulated by the General Body. Accordingly, the appeal warrants disposal by way of remand, solely to give effect to the Council's binding decision and to ensure procedural fairness.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee hereby remands the matter to the Northern Regional Committee (NRC) with a specific direction that the appellant institutions shall be permitted to apply afresh and re-submit duly completed PARs upon reopening of the PAR Portal, in accordance with the General Body's mandate. The concerned Regional Committees shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable SOPs, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all connected records before passing any consequential order. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the NRC, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, all documents submitted before the Appeal Committee, whereupon the NRC shall proceed strictly in accordance with law and in conformity with the directions set out herein.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 30.05.2025 and remand back the case to Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Kishan Institute of Teachers Education, 165, Mewla Crossing, Delhi Road, Meerut City, Hafizabad, Uttar Pradesh-250002.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.