



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-249/E-372983/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026

APPLWRC202515189 / E-89-29,

Shri Kanifnath Shikshan Sanstha Adhyapak Vidyalaya D.Ed. College, Gut No. 145, Tisgaon, Pathardi, Nagar Road, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra-414506	<u>VS</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT	RESPONDENT	

Representative of Appellant	Mr. Umakant U. Wagh, Member
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Shri Kanifnath Shikshan Sanstha Adhyapak Vidyalaya D.Ed. College, Gut No. 145, Tisgaon, Pathardi, Nagar Road, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra-414506** dated 30.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / ORDER / APW06254 / 1221834 / 3218-3224** dated 13.06.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Umakant U. Wagh, Member of Shri Kanifnath Shikshan Sanstha Adhyapak Vidyalaya D.Ed. College, Gut No. 145, Tisgaon, Pathardi, Nagar Road, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra-414506 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “As there were no admission to the college as there were no recruitment in state so candidates willing to take admission to the course turn back so virtually college was not functioning, this was the scenario in entire state of Maharashtra.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 9th Meeting held online on 26.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 13.06.2025 issued by the Western Regional Committee (WRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 15.09.2008. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by WRC vide order dt. 13.06.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the

Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- *TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.*
- *TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.*
- *TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.*

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- *The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.*
- *For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."*

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch** matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of

petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the

'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with

no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Shri Kanifnath Shikshan Sanstha Adhyapak Vidyalaya D.Ed. College, Gut No. 145, Tisgaon, Pathardi, Nagar Road, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra-414506.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Elphiston Technical School premises, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Dhobi Talao, Chhatrapati Shivaji Terminus Area, Fort, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400001.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-255/E-370983/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLSRC202515175 / E-89291

Jawaharlal Nehru College, 1543, Mallaram Road, Vemulawada, Karimnagar, Telangana-505302	<u>VS</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Mr. Ramana Eshwaragari, Chairman
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

આદેશ/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Jawaharlal Nehru College, 1543, Mallaram Road, Vemulawada, Karimnagar, Telangana-505302** dated 25.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / SRC / PAR / ORDER / APS03212 / 148220** dated 24.05.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The justification reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Ramana Eshwaragari, Chairman of Jawaharlal Nehru College, 1543, Mallaram Road, Vemulawada, Karimnagar, Telangana-505302 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “As the program is currently inactive, there has been no activity or data to report in the Performance Appraisal Report. This status was duly communicated to NCTE-SRC via email and Speed Post on April 9, 2025. We respectfully request the continuation of recognition, as the institution has submitted a reopening proposal to SCERT for the academic year 2025–26. Despite the temporary suspension, all infrastructure and facilities are being properly maintained.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 9th Meeting held online on 26.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 24.05.2025 issued by the Southern Regional Committee (SRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 11.05.2015. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by SRC vide order dt.

24.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of

petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the

'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with

no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Jawaharlal Nehru College, 1543, Mallaram Road, Vemulawada, Karimnagar, Telangana-505302.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 3rd Floor, Telangana Secretariat, Hyderabad, Telangana-500022.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-256/E-370983/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLSRC202515174/E-89291

Jawaharlal Nehru College, 1543, Mallaram Road, Vemulawada, Karimnagar, Telangana-505302	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Mr. Ramana Eshwaragari, Chairman
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Jawaharlal Nehru College, 1543, Mallaram Road, Vemulawada, Karimnagar, Telangana-505302** dated 25.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. File No. **NCTE / 2025 / SRC / PAR / ORDER / AOS00281** dated 17.05.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Ramana Eshwaragari, Chairman of Jawaharlal Nehru College, 1543, Mallaram Road, Vemulawada, Karimnagar, Telangana-505302 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The application process was initiated however, a technical issue was encountered during the submission stage. A request for assistance was sent to through email to SRC on March 11, 2022. The processing fee has not been paid, as we were unable to complete the report submission due to this issue."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 9th Meeting held online on 26.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 17.05.2025 issued by the Southern Regional Committee (SRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 21.06.2002. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by SRC vide order dt. 17.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was

not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***

- *TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.*
- *TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.*

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- *The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.*
- *For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."*

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. *Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.*

6. *He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.*

7. *He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.*

8. *Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:*

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. *The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.*

10. *This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.*

11. *Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.*

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an “order of restoration” of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as “recognized institution” shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional

Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Jawaharlal Nehru College, 1543, Mallaram Road, Vermulawada, Karimnagar, Telangana-505302.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 3rd Floor, Telangana Secretariat, Hyderabad, Telangana-500022.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-257/E-371268/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLWRC202515185 | E-89291

Mahila PTC College, 268/1, Anjar-Bhuj Highway, Near Ganesh Bough-Anjar Kutch, Kutch-Bhuj, Gujarat-370110	<u>VS</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	The Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

આદેશ/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Mahila PTC College, 268/1, Anjar-Bhuj Highway, Near Ganesh Bough-Anjar Kutch, Kutch-Bhuj, Gujarat-370110** dated 26.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / ORDER / APW02892 / 322315 / 2789** dated 15.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

The Representative of **Mahila PTC College, 268/1, Anjar-Bhuj Highway, Near Ganesh Bough-Anjar Kutch, Kutch-Bhuj, Gujarat-370110** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "As per the norms, the PAR (Performance Appraisal Report) form is to be submitted every year. Unfortunately, we could not submit the PAR forms for the academic years 2021-22 and 2022-23. With reference to the checklist you have provided, we would like to inform you that our organization currently does not possess the following two documents: 1. The approved building map. 2. The Non-Agriculture (NA) certificate for the building land. 3. We acknowledge that we received a notice from your office asking for a reply within 15 days, but we received the said notice on the 20th day. Nevertheless, we submitted our reply within 10 days thereafter."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 9th Meeting held online on 26.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 15.05.2025 issued by the Western Regional Committee (WRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was

granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course with an annual intake of 50 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by WRC vide order dt. 15.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- *TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.*
- *TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.*
- *TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.*

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- *The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.*
- *For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."*

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court.

Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Mahila PTC College, 268/1, Anjar-Bhuj Highway, Near Ganesh Bough-Anjar Kutch, Kutch-Bhuj, Gujarat-370110.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Gujarat, Block No. 5, 8th Floor, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-264/E-371073/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515184/ E-89291

Shiksha Bharti B.Ed. College, 2978, 2980, 2981, 2982, 2983, 2984, 2985, 3000, Samoor Khurd (Boul), Samoor Kalan, Bangana, Una, Himachal Pradesh-174303	<u>VS</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Shiksha Bharti B.Ed. College, 2978, 2980, 2981, 2982, 2983, 2984, 2985, 3000, Samoor Khurd (Boul), Samoor Kalan, Bangana, Una, Himachal Pradesh-174303** dated 27.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / NRC / PAR / ORDER / HP-146 / 230892-280898** dated 23.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The justification reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from Shiksha Bharti B.Ed. College, 2978, 2980, 2981, 2982, 2983, 2984, 2985, 3000, Samoor Khurd (Boul), Samoor Kalan, Bangana, Una, Himachal Pradesh-174303 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Due to poor connectivity and NCTE website was also showing technical issue from 4:30 pm to 7:00 pm because this site was not working. However, we send e-mail at 6:05 pm about this issue to NCTE. office New Delhi. Only two points were remained to fill, but at 11:45 pm par form was closed. Same night we rushed to Delhi and submit application in NCTE. office New Delhi by showing our problem. Again, on dated 18/02/2025 we personally submit application in NCTE office New Delhi. After getting show cause notice on dated 03/04/2025 we replied on dated 17/04/2025 on NCTE website PAR portal."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee, in its 9th Meeting held online on 26.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 23.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record. The Appeal Committee noted that

the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 12.03.2008. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by NRC vide order dt. 23.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- *TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.*
- *TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.*
- *TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.*

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- *The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.*
- *For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."*

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

“4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view

that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Shiksha Bharti B.Ed. College, 2978, 2980, 2981, 2982, 2983, 2984, 2985, 3000, Samoor Khurd (Boul), Samoor Kalan, Bangana, Una, Himachal Pradesh-174303.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Shimla-171001, Himachal Pradesh.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-270/E-371935/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515202/ E-89291

Dr. Bhimrao Ambedkar Mahavidhyalaya, 2002, Kaithola, Balpur, Balpur Chandwatpur Road, Colonelganj, Gonda, Uttar Pradesh-271125	<u>VS</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT	RESPONDENT	

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Dr. Bhimrao Ambedkar Mahavidhyalaya, 2002, Kaithola, Balpur, Balpur Chandwatpur Road, Colonelganj, Gonda, Uttar Pradesh-271125** dated 04.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / NRC / PAR / ORDER / UP-3064 / dated 02.06.2025** of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from Dr. Bhimrao Ambedkar Mahavidhyalaya, 2002, Kaithola, Balpur, Balpur Chandwatpur Road, Colonelganj, Gonda, Uttar Pradesh-271125 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “The institution did not receive any letter from the Northern Regional Committee either by email or by post due to which the institution was not able to fill any information related to the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR).”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee, in its 9th Meeting held online on 26.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 02.06.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 18.11.2010. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by NRC vide order dt. 02.06.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date

for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal

or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an “order of restoration” of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as “recognized institution” shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned

Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Dr. Bhimrao Ambedkar Mahavidyalaya, 2002, Kaithola, Balpur, Balpur Chandwatpur Road, Colonelganj, Gonda, Uttar Pradesh-271125.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-287/E-372978/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515193 / E- 89291

Gaurishankar Mahavidyalaya Gularia Uprala Aonla Bareilly, Plot No. 513, 516, Village Gularia Uprala Post Baragaon Tehsil Aonla, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode – 243303	Vs	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Jugendra Pal Singh, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Gaurishankar Mahavidyalaya Gularia Uprala Aonla Bareilly, Plot No. 513, 516, Village Gularia Uprala Post Baragaon Tehsil Aonla, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode – 243303** dated 01.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/NRCAPP-3721** dated 29.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The justification reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Jugendra Pal Singh, Principal of Gaurishankar Mahavidyalaya Gularia Uprala Aonla Bareilly, Plot No. 513, 516, Village Gularia Uprala Post Baragaon Tehsil Aonla, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode – 243303 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “PAR was not online filled due to unknown about the subject matter.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 9th Meeting held online on 26.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 29.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 02.05.2016. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by NRC vide order dt. 29.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic

sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal

or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an “order of restoration” of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as “recognized institution” shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard

Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Gaurishankar Mahavidyalaya Gularia Uprala Aonla Bareilly, Plot No. 513, 516, Village Gularia Uprala Post Baragaon Tehsil Aonla, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode – 243303.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-290/E-37308/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026

APPLWRC202515231/ E-89291

Shri Kalubapa P.T.C. College, Shri Jivan Jyot Trust, Survey No. 217/Paiki3, Vaghela Road, Wadhwani, Gujarat, Pincode – 363030	<u>VS</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT	RESPONDENT	

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

આદેશ/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Shri Kalubapa P.T.C. College, Shri Jivan Jyot Trust, Survey No. 217/Paiki3, Vaghela Road, Wadhwan, Gujarat, Pincode - 363030** dated 12.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **NCTE/2025/WRC/PAR/ORDER/APW03944/322400/2314** dated 14.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The justification reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from Shri Kalubapa P.T.C. College, Shri Jivan Jyot Trust, Survey No. 217/Paiki3, Vaghela Road, Wadhwan, Gujarat, Pincode - 363030 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "1) Hearby, we want to inform you that "SHRI KALUBAPA P.T.C. COLLEGE" located at Vaghela, Taluka: Wadhwan, District: Surendranagar, Gujarat is recognised by NCTE, WRC since 12 of July 2007 by order no. WRC/5-6/98th/2007/C-19257 for conducting D.EL.ED course for (Girls) 1 Unit (50 Students). The Institution is managed by Parent Trust named "SHRI JIVAN JYOT TRUST". Institution's NCTE COLLEGE CODE is 322400. 2) A Show-Cause-Notice dated 28 March 2025 was issued to the college by speed post and email stating that college has failed to submit performance appraisal report for academic session 2022 and 23 for D.EL.ED course and the college was asked to submit the reply of show cause notice on NCTE online portal within period of 15 days. The college has submitted the reply to show cause notice on 14th April 2025 on 02:25 p.m. (copy of screenshot attached). The same reply to show cause notice was successfully sent by email to " wrc@ncte-india.org and rd_wrc@ncte-india.org " the email ID of regional director and WRC and subsequently sent by Post also. (Receipt attached) 3) The college has successfully submitted and informed by multiple ways to WRC- NCTE about the submission to the Show-Cause-Notice. Despite of complying to the SCN within stipulated time a Withdrawal Order was issued to college on 14th may 2025 by mail and by speed post. 4) The Withdrawal Order was issued on the

grounds stating that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. 5) In the Minutes of 424th (PART) – 1 Meeting of WRC held on 20th-23rd May, 2025, on PAGE NO. 54 it is clearly mentioned by THE CHAIRPERSON of WRC that “ Before the issue of withdrawal order, the Regional Director may re-verify that the institutions have not submitted the reply to the Show-Cause-Notice. 6) It is pertinent to mention that reply to SCN was submitted thrice within stipulated time, yet the reply was not considered by regional committee & subsequently withdrawal order was issued to institution without verifying the fact. 7) The Parent Trust also runs Multi-Disciplinary courses and B.A.BED(Integrated) Course along with D.EL.ED programme. Hence the D.EL.ED Programme of our Institution falls under as “Composite Institute”. The performance appraisal report (PAR) for other teacher education program has also been filled by the institution in stipulated time but due to technical / server errors arising, the performance appraisal report of D.EL.ED program was not submitted. If PAR is submitted for one course then it would have been also submitted for D.EL.ED. But as said before the reason for non-submission is mentioned above. 8) We would like to mention that with academic excellence and continuous improvements the students from our institution have a good record in academics and has achieved good ranks in district in external examinations in past. The college has maintained the quality standards required to conduct D.EL.ED programme. 9) With all above references the institution holds the standards laid by NCTE for the conducting D.EL.ED course. Hence, We request the Appeal Committee with folded hands to set aside / reverse the Withdrawal Order issued by WRC & take appropriate and holistic action regarding the matter in a manner that does not harm the interest of the admitted students in the upcoming Academic Year 2025-26 and give an opportunity to the institution to comply for PAR in any manner or mode. The Institution has always aligned/ adhered to NCTE Regulations & is willing to carry it forward.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee, in its 9th Meeting held online on 26.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 14.05.2025 issued by the Western Regional Committee (WRC), the documents placed on record. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 12.07.2007. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by WRC vide order dt. 14.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

“4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Shri Kalubapa P.T.C. College, Shri Jivan Jyot Trust, Survey No. 217/Paiki3, Vaghela Road, Wadhwan, Gujarat, Pincode - 363030.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Gujarat, Block No. 5, 8th Floor, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-292/E-373327/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLWRC202515234/ E-89291

Madhya Pradesh Bhoj (Open) University Bhopal, Kolar and Bhopal, Ravishankar Road Nagar, Kolar Road, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, Pincode – 462016	VS	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. H. S. Keshwal, Assistant Professor
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Madhya Pradesh Bhoj (Open) University Bhopal, Kolar and Bhopal, Ravishankar Road Nagar, Kolar Road, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, Pincode - 462016** dated 10.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **NCTE/2025/WRC/PAR/ORDER/OAPW0850/223050/4049** dated 15.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The justification reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Madhya Pradesh Bhoj (Open) University Bhopal, Kolar and Bhopal, Ravishankar Road Nagar, Kolar Road, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, Pincode – 462016 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “Madhya Pradesh Bhoj University replied to the show case notice through email on 25 April 2025. The second reply was sent through letter dated 4 June 2025.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee, in its 9th Meeting held online on 26.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 15.05.2025 issued by the Western Regional Committee (WRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course with an annual intake of 1000 students vide order dated 28.08.2002. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by WRC vide order dt. 15.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was

not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***

- *TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.*
- *TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.*

(C) **Stipulation of Consequence:**

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) **Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:**

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- *The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.*
- *For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."*

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. *Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.*

6. *He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.*

7. *He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.*

8. *Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:*

(i) *NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.*

(ii) *The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.*

9. *The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.*

10. *This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.*

11. *Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.*

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an “order of restoration” of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as “recognized institution” shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional

Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Madhya Pradesh Bhoj (Open) University Bhopal, Kolar and Bhopal, Ravishankar Road Nagar, Kolar Road, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, Pincode - 462016.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 2nd floor, Annex-3, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh-462004.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-158/E-366209/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026

APPLSRC202515040 / *E-89291*

BLDEAS JSS College of Education, PG Studies in Education and Research Centre Vijaypur, Khata No. – 5/2, 7, 8, 9, Vijaypur Post Office, Karnataka, Pincode – 586103	<u>VS</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. B. Y. Khasnis, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of BLDEAS JSS College of Education, PG Studies in Education and Research Centre Vijaypur, Khata No. – 5/2, 7, 8, 9, Vijaypur Post Office, Karnataka, Pincode - 586103 dated 13.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. NCTE/2025/SRC/PAR/ORDER/APS03328 dated 20.06.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. B. Y. Khasnis, Principal of BLDEAS JSS College of Education, PG Studies in Education and Research Centre Vijaypur, Khata No. – 5/2, 7, 8, 9, Vijaypur Post Office, Karnataka, Pincode - 586103 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The NCTE's PAR portal for the 2020–21 academic year remained inaccessible, preventing our institution from submitting the necessary reports within the stipulated timeframe. Despite this, we successfully completed and submitted the PAR for the 2022–23 academic year, demonstrating our commitment to compliance and transparency. Institution is ready to pay fees for PAR 2020-21 for both the programmes.5."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee, in its 9th Meeting held online on 26.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 20.06.2025 issued by the Southern Regional Committee (SRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course with an annual intake of 100 students vide order

dated 14.07.2011. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by SRC vide order dt. 20.06.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- *TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.*
- *TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.*
- *TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.*

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- *The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.*
- *For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."*

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the

said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for

which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the

appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, BLDEAS JSS College of Education, PG Studies in Education and Research Centre Vijaypur, Khata No. – 5/2, 7, 8, 9, Vijaypur Post Office, Karnataka, Pincode - 586103.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Secretary Establishment, Higher Education Dept., Room No. 645 A, 2nd Gate, 6th Floor, M.S.Building, Bengaluru, Karnataka-1.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में / IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-178/E-367693/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026

APPLNRC202515087/E-89291

Maa Janaki Institute of Management and Technology, Plot No. 1317, 257, Street No. Sarvoday Ashram, Village, Kuthila, P.O. Sarvoday Ashram, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode – 207001	<u>VS</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Maa Janaki Institute of Management and Technology, Plot No. 1317, 257, Street No. Sarvoday Ashram, Village, Kuthila, P.O. Sarvoday Ashram, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 207001** dated 31.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/NRCAPP-10881** dated 26.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The justification reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from Maa Janaki Institute of Management and Technology, Plot No. 1317, 257, Street No. Sarvoday Ashram, Village, Kuthila, P.O. Sarvoday Ashram, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode – 207001 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “1. The instant appeal is being filed under Section 18 of the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993 (hereinafter referred to as “NCTE Act”) against withdrawal order bearing File No. NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/NRCAPP-10881/ dated 26.05.2025 (hereinafter referred to as “Impugned Order”) passed by the Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee of the National Council for Teacher Education (hereinafter referred to as “Council”). 2. The Impugned Order was issued by the Council in relation to show cause notice bearing No. NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/NRCAPP-10881 dated 08.04.2025 (hereinafter referred to as “SCN”) issued to Maa Janaki Institute of Management and Technology at Plot No. 1317, 257, Sarvodaya Ashram, Kuthila, Etah Town, Etah - 207001, Uttar Pradesh (hereinafter referred to as “Appellant”). Vide the aforementioned SCN, the Noticee was required to show cause within fifteen days against the withdrawal of the Appellant’s recognition on account of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Report (hereinafter referred to as “PAR”) for the academic session 2021-22 and 2022-23 (hereinafter referred to as “Relevant Period”). Thereafter the Appellant filed a reply dated 20.04.2025 within the stipulated time period showing cause against withdrawal of the Appellant’s recognition. 3. At the outset, the Appellant wishes to state that the Impugned Order has been issued

in gross violation of the principles of natural justice inasmuch as the Impugned Order has failed to appropriately consider the reply dated 20.04.2025 filed by the Appellant in response to the SCN. The same is amply evident from Paragraph 7 of the Impugned Order wherein it has been baldly asserted that the Appellant's reply has been found to be not acceptable. However, the Impugned Order fails to disclose any reasons for arriving at the said conclusion. Reference in this regard may be made to Section 17(1) of NCTE Act which requires reasons of withdrawal to be made in writing. 4. In this context, it may be noted that principles of natural justice require adjudicating authorities to regard relevant factors and disregard irrelevant factors. In the instant case, the Appellant wishes to emphasise that non-submission of PAR for Relevant Period was on account of negligence on part of the Appellant's staff. The same has been stated in Paragraph 4 of the reply dated 20.04.2025 filed by the Appellant in response to the SCN. 5. Since the Impugned Order has not considered the aforesaid submission, it can be said that the Council has failed to consider the relevant factors before passing the same. 6. Furthermore, the Appellant wishes to draw your good-self's attention to the fact that the Appellant was not given any opportunity of being heard prior to the passing of the Impugned Order. In light of the widespread socio-economic ramifications of the Impugned Order, the Appellant should have been given an opportunity of personal hearing before the same was passed by the Council. 7. In light of the above, the Appellant contends that the Impugned Order was passed in violation of the principles of natural justice. 8. Notwithstanding the above, the Appellant would like to submit that the withdrawal of recognition vide the Impugned Order is disproportionate to the wrong committed by the Appellant. It needs to be noted that the Appellant has been providing quality education at affordable prices and thereby catering to the needs of the rural population since the past seven years. The Impugned Order not only puts enormous burden on the Appellant but also jeopardises the educational aspirations and ambitions of the students in and around Etah. 9. Further, it may be noted that the Appellant throughout the past seven years has been filing timely PAR's for each academic session. Consequently, the Appellant wishes to submit that no proceedings whatsoever have been initiated by the Council against the Appellant in connection with non-filing of PAR's in the past seven years. 10. Therefore, the Appellant contends that the Impugned Order is grossly disproportionate to the wrong

committed by the Appellant, and that the Appellant should be given one more chance. 11. Further, the Appellant would like to submit that we have complied with all the provisions of the NCTE Act and corresponding rules framed thereunder including filing of PAR's for all periods prior to the Relevant Period. The Committee is free to conduct any sort of inspection of the Appellant's premises in order to verify the same. 12. Lastly, the Appellant requests for a personal hearing before Council before any order accepting or rejecting the instant appeal is passed in order to ensure that the Appellant gets an opportunity of presenting its cases before the Council. Hoping for a favourable consideration. Date-31-05-2025 Yours sincerely, Dr. Rajesh Kumar Secretary, Maa Janki Institute of Management and Technology Kuthila, Etah."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee, in its 9th Meeting held online on 26.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 26.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 27.04.2017. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by SRC vide order dt. 26.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final

decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window

shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- *The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.*
- *For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."*

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. *He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.*

7. *He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.*

8. *Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:*

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. *The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.*

10. *This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.*

11. *Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.*

12. *In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.*

13. *Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be*

included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as “recognized institution” shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity

and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Maa Janaki Institute of Management and Technology, Plot No. 1317, 257, Street No. Sarvoday Ashram, Village, Kuthila, P.O. Sarvoday Ashram, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 207001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-179/E-367687/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026

APPLNRC202515089 / E-8929;

Shri Mohar Singh Degree College, 460 Village-vasundra, P. O. Vasundra, Tehsil – Etah, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode – 207001	Vs	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Shri Mohar Singh Degree College, 460 Village-vasundra, P. O. Vasundra, Tehsil – Etah, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 207001** dated 01.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/NRCAPP-1372** dated 27.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The justification reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from Shri Mohar Singh Degree College, 460 Village-vasundra, P. O. Vasundra, Tehsil – Etah, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 207001 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “the institution should be given one more chance to fill the PAR or an inspection may be carried out by the NCTE to make sure that all requirements are being fulfilled by the institution.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee, in its 9th Meeting held online on 26.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 27.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 02.11.2012. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by NRC vide order dt. 27.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance

Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***

- *TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.*
- *TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.*

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- *The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.*
- *For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."*

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. *Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.*

6. *He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.*

7. *He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.*

8. *Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:*

(i) *NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.*

(ii) *The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.*

9. *The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.*

10. *This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.*

11. *Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.*

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an “order of restoration” of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as “recognized institution” shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted

responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Shri Mohar Singh Degree College, 460 Village-vasundra, P. O. Vasundra, Tehsil – Etah, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 207001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-180/E-367698/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515090 | E-89291

Shri Mohar Singh Degree College, Gata 459,460, 461,462,463,467,468, 931/8 Village-vasundra, P. O. Vasundra, Tehsil – Etah, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode – 207001	<u>VS</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Shri Mohar Singh Degree College, Gata 459,460, 461,462,463,467,468, 931/8 Village-vasundra, P. O. Vasundra, Tehsil – Etah, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 207001** dated 01.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/NRCAPP-11543** dated 27.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Shri Mohar Singh Degree College, Gata 459,460, 461,462,463,467,468, 931/8 Village-vasundra, P. O. Vasundra, Tehsil – Etah, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 207001** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “the institution should be given one more chance to fill the PAR or an inspection may be carried out by the NCTE to make sure that all requirements are being fulfilled by the institution.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee, in its 9th Meeting held online on 26.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 27.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 28.04.2017. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by NRC vide order dt. 27.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-

submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***

- *TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.*
- *TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.*

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- *The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.*
- *For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."*

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. *Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.*

6. *He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.*

7. *He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.*

8. *Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:*

(i) *NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.*

(ii) *The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.*

9. *The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.*

10. *This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.*

11. *Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.*

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an “order of restoration” of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as “recognized institution” shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted

responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Shri Mohar Singh Degree College, Gata 459,460, 461,462,463,467,468, 931/8 Village-vasundra, P. O. Vasundra, Tehsil – Etah, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 207001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-325/E-374731/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLWRC202515260 / E-89291

Ramabai Navrange Jr. College of Education, Khasra No. 30,31, Sevasadan Societys, Gamdevi, Grantroad, Pandita Ramabai Marg, Mumbai, Maharashtra, Pincode – 400007	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT	RESPONDENT	

Representative of Appellant	The Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Ramabai Navrange Jr. College of Education, Khasra No. 30,31, Sevasadan Societys, Gamdevi, Grantroad, Pandita Ramabai Marg, Mumbai, Maharashtra, Pincode - 400007** dated 19/07/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the **NCTE/2025/WRC/PAR/ORDER/OAPW0379/112110/5406-11** dated of 31.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The Institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice"

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

The Representative of **Ramabai Navrange Jr. College of Education, Khasra No. 30,31, Sevasadan Societys, Gamdevi, Grantroad, Pandita Ramabai Marg, Mumbai, Maharashtra, Pincode - 400007** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Rejection Ground Explanation Our Institution reply to the letter received by speed post on 11.07.2025 having reference no. NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / ORDER / OAPW0379 / 112110 / 5406 - 11 dated 31.05.2025 is as below 1) Rejection ground Explanation Our Institution reply to the letter received by speed post on 11.07.2025 having reference no. NCTE/2025/WRC/PAR/PRDER/OAPW0379/112110/5406-11 dated 31.05.2025 is as below 1) The Institution received withdrawal order under the name of Ramabai Navrange Jr. Joseph College of Education, Sevasadan Society, Gamdevbi, Nana Chawk, Grant Road City, Mumbai (W). 2) Our Institution filed the PAR of 2022 – 2023a (PAR Application Code: P2223029294) Under the name of Sevasadan Society's R. N. Jr. College of Education and it is accepted by NCTE. 3) The initial recognition order no. WRC/5-6/59/2004/5704 Date 14.09.2004 under the name of Ramabai Navrange Jr. College of Education Sevasadan Society Gamdevi Nana Chawk Grant Road City Mumbai 07 Both the institutions are same running under sevasadan society. 4) On 25.04.2025 the institution received two show cause notices dated 04.04.2025 under ref no. NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / APW00946 / 112110 / 1045 and NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / OAPW0379 / 112110 / 1046 However, the content of both the notices are same stating that the

institution has not submitted PAR – 2022-2023. 5) In this regard, the Institution has replied feedback to both the notices under reference no. NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / APW00946 / 1045 with attached the payment receipt of PAR and written reply to the second notice Ref No. NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / OAPW0379 / 112110 / 1046 because the said file doesn't exist on online portal. So we replied both the notices under ref No. NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / APW00946 / 1045 even sent a separate reply to NCTE by speed post. The receipt of the letter sent online feedback screenshot is attached herewith for your perusal. 6) As we are the first government aided college in Mumbai and one of the reputed educational institutional. NCTE granted recognition permission wide WRC / 5- 6 / 59 / 2004 / 5704 dated 14.09.2004. In view of above, considering the fact mentioned it is kindly requested to withdraw the should recognition withdrawal order from NCTE and even a humble request to refund the paid amount of rupees 25000/- as being the institution has filed the PAR and the reply to the Show Cause notices well before due dates.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 10th Meeting held online on 08.08.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 31.05.2025 issued by the Western Regional Committee (WRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 120 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the WRC vide order dated 31.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee

proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- *The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.*
- *For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."*

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal

or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an “order of restoration” of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as “recognized institution” shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard

Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Ramabai Navrange Jr. College of Education, Khasra No. 30,31, Sevasadan Societys, Gamdevi, Grantroad, Pandita Ramabai Marg, Mumbai, Maharashtra, Pincode - 400007.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Elphiston Technical School premises, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Dhobi Talao, Chhatrapati Shivaji Terminus Area, Fort, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400001.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-327/E-375452/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLSRC202515275/E-89291

Shree Lakshmi Venkateshwara Teacher Training Institute, Plot No. 766/A2 Near Telephone Exchange Kudligi Karnataka – 583135	<u>VS</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Sh. Nagaraj, Lecturer
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Shree Lakshmi Venkateshwara Teacher Training Institute, Plot No. 766/A2 Near Telephone Exchange Kudligi Karnataka - 583135** dated 20.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the **NCTE/2025/SRC/PAR/ORDER/APS08809/149134** dated of 20.05.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Sh. Nagaraj, Lecturer of Shree Lakshmi Venkateshwara Teacher Training Institute, Plot No. 766/A2 Near Telephone Exchange Kudligi Karnataka - 583135 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “1) We have received the show cause notice no-146277 dated 24.04.2025, only on 02.05.2025. the notice is dispatched only on 24.04.2025. In PAR feedback portal showing last date as 27.04.2025, as we received only on 02.05.2025 so unable to give the replay online to show cause notice but we have send the compliance on 26.05.2025 by way of speed post and e-mail on 28.05.2025. 2) We have paid PAR fee on 04.12.2024 and 09.12.2024 for the years 2021-22 & 2022-23.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 10th Meeting held online on 08.08.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 20.05.2025 issued by the Southern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 50 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated

20.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of

petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the

'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with

no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Shree Lakshmi Venkateshwara Teacher Training Institute, Plot No. 766/A2 Near Telephone Exchange Kudligi Karnataka - 583135.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Secretary Establishment, Higher Education Dept., Room No. 645 A, 2nd Gate, 6th Floor, M.S.Building, Bengaluru, Karnataka-1.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-337/E-376249/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515170/E-89291

S.S. College of Education, 15//22//2,8/2,9,12,13/1,18/1/2,19,,22/1, Near Kothe Diwane, Sunam Road, Bhikhi, Mansa, Punjab-151504	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	The Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **S.S. College of Education, 15//22//2, 8/2, 9, 12, 13/1, 18/1/2, 19, 22/1, Near Kothe Diwane, Sunam Road, Bhikhi, Mansa, Punjab-151504** dated 23.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. File No. **NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/PB-450/49110-116** dated 20.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

The Representative of **S.S. College of Education, 15//22//2, 8/2, 9, 12, 13/1, 18/1/2, 19, 22/1, Near Kothe Diwane, Sunam Road, Bhikhi, Mansa, Punjab-151504** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “I would like to inform you, Principal S.S. College of Education Bhikhi, Mansa, Punjab, that in the year 2024, for the session 2021-22, 2022-23, vide your letter No. No. F.NCTE-RegI012/2024-Reg.Sec-HQ dated 02-04-2025 was asked to fill the performance appraisal report. As per this letter, within the stipulated time, we had filled this proforma for the session 2021-22 and 2022-23 before 31st December 2024 and the due fee was also paid. Our institution was issued a show cause notice by your office on 02 April 2025 vide letter No. **NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/PB-448** dated 01/04/2025 in which this notice was issued for the courses B.Ed, M.Ed and D.L.Ed running in the institution in which it was stated by your office that the proforma for the year 2022-23 has not been filled. We have replied to this clarification by email on 07 April 2025 and sent it by post on 27 May 2025. Regarding this subject, we attended your office on June 06, 2025 and upon your investigation, it was found that our institution has filled the proforma for the years 2021-22 and 2022-23. After this, you have registered the name of **Shiv Shakti College of Education** in the list of recognized institutions of B.Ed. and M.Ed., but till now the name of D.L.Ed. has not been registered in the list of recognized

institutions, while the reason for stopping all three courses was the same. We request you through this appeal that the recognition of the D.L.Ed. Course running under Shiv Shakti College of Education be restored and it be registered in the list of recognized institutions.

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 10th Meeting held online on 08.08.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 20.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had been granted recognition for D.El.Ed. Course. Subsequently, the recognition was withdrawn by the Northern Regional Committee on the ground of non-submission of reply to the Show Cause Notice and/or non-filing of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic years 2021–2022 and/or 2022–2023 vide order dated 20.05.2025. It was further noted that, as per the Public Notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was later extended up to 31.12.2024. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution.

The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is ‘Active’ or ‘under judicial stay’.

- *The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.*
- *For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."*

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. *Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:*

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. *The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.*

10. *This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.*

11. *Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.*

12. *In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.*

13. *Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.*

14. *Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.*

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, S.S. College of Education, 15//22//2, 8/2, 9, 12, 13/1, 18/1/2, 19, 22/1, Near Kothe Diwane, Sunam Road, Bhikhi, Mansa, Punjab-151504.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Punjab, Vidya Bhawan, Block E, 5th Floor, Phase-VIII, SAS Nagar (Mohali)-Punjab-160062.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-357/E-377007/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515214/E-89291

M D College of Education Mahavir Park Sarai Aurangabad Bahadurgarh Jhajjar, 194, Md College Of Education Mahavir Park Sarai Auranga, Mahavir Park Aurangabad Bahadurgarh, Jhajjar Road Bahadurgarh, Haryana, Pincode – 124507	VS	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	The Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of M D College of Education Mahavir Park Sarai Aurangabad Bahadurgarh Jhajjar, 194, Md College Of Education Mahavir Park Sarai Auranga, Mahavir Park Aurangabad Bahadurgarh, Jhajjar Road Bahadurgarh, Haryana, Pincode - 124507 dated 07/07/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. File no. NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/HR-749 + HR-1076/ dated 27/05/2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

The Representative of M D College of Education Mahavir Park Sarai Aurangabad Bahadurgarh Jhajjar, 194, Md College Of Education Mahavir Park Sarai Auranga, Mahavir Park Aurangabad Bahadurgarh, Jhajjar Road Bahadurgarh, Haryana, Pincode - 124507 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “The NRC-NCTE has issued show cause notice with the direction to submit feedback only. When we tried to submit online feedback by that time the online date was expired, and the online window was closed. however, we have submitted our reply via email on nrc@ncte-india.org. but the same was not considered and the recognition was withdrawn inspite of having filled PAR for the sessions 2021-22 & 2022-23 as per the details being attached.

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 11th Meeting held online on 26.08.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 27.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the B.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 200 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the WRC vide order dated 27.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

“4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, M D College of Education Mahavir Park Sarai Aurangabad Bahadurgarh Jhajjar, 194, Md College of Education Mahavir Park Sarai Auranga, Mahavir Park Aurangabad Bahadurgarh, Jhajjar Road Bahadurgarh, Haryana, Pincode - 124507.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Government of Haryana, Shiksha Sadan, Ground & 1st Floor, Sec-5, Panchkula, Haryana-134105.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-412/E- 380976/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLSRC202515283/**E-89291**

B.V.V. Sanghas Teacher Training Institute Ramdurg, Survey No. 2027/A, Laxmi Nagar Randburg, Belgaum, Karnataka – 591123	<u>VS</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Mr. R.B. Gejjalagatti, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **B.V.V. Sanghas Teacher Traing Institute Ramdurg, Survey No. 2027/A, Laxmi Nagar Randburg, Belgaum, Karnataka - 591123** dated **21/07/2025** filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **F.No. NCTE/2025/SRC/PAR/ORDER/APSO1727/149830** dated **30/05/2025** of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. R.B. Gejjalagatti, Principal from B.V.V. Sanghas Teacher Traing Institute Ramdurg, Survey No. 2027/A, Laxmi Nagar Randburg, Belgaum, Karnataka - 591123 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “We have already submitted par 2021-22 on the date: 14/11/2024 rupees 17700, institution code 2022B8D8601641 Application Code: P2122016777 Transaction ID: P2122016777517e0fa48e99a9d32d0 Transaction Ref No: 241114205239876 by Gmail .bvvsttirmd@gmail.com”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 12th Meeting held online on 10.09.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 30.05.2025 issued by the Southern Regional Committee (SRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted

recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 50 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 30.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- *TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.*
- *TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.*
- *TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.*

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- *The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.*
- *For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."*

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

“4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution

before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, B.V.V. Sanghas Teacher Traing Institute Ramdurg, Survey No. 2027/A, Laxmi Nagar Randburg, Belgaum, Karnataka - 591123.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Secretary Establishment, Higher Education Dept., Room No. 645 A, 2nd Gate, 6th Floor, M.S.Building, Bengaluru, Karnataka-1.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-324/E-374726/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLWRC202515274/E-89291

Vasantrao Kale KSM, 412/4413, At Dhondewadi, Jainwadi, Pandharpur, Sholapur, Maharashtra, Pincode – 413310	<u>VS</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Vasantrao Kale KSM, 412/4413, At Dhondewadi, Jainwadi, Pandharpur, Sholapur, Maharashtra, Pincode - 413310** dated 22/07/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the **NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / ORDER / APW02588 / 122430 / 5567 - 72** dated 26.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The Institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from Vasantrao Kale KSM, 412/4413, At Dhondewadi, Jainwadi, Pandharpur, Sholapur, Maharashtra, Pincode – 413310 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) was not completed But we had completed within your time.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The instant matter was placed in its 10th Meeting, 2025 held on 08.08.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution with the direction to submit the aforesaid documents mentioned therein.

The Appeal Committee, in its 13th Meeting held online on 10.09.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 26.05.2025 issued by the Western Regional Committee (WRC), the documents placed on record. The Committee noted that the

appellant institution was granted recognition for the course of D.El.Ed. with an annual intake of 50 students. The recognition was subsequently withdrawn by the WRC vide order dated 26.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. It was observed that the case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Despite such extensions and wide publicity through various channels, the institution failed to submit the PARs within the stipulated timelines. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

“4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Vasantrao Kale KSM, 412/4413, At Dhondewadi, Jainwadi, Pandharpur, Sholapur, Maharashtra, Pincode - 413310.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**

3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Elphiston Technical School premises, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Dhobi Talao, Chhatrapati Shivaji Terminus Area, Fort, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400001.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-331/E-375598/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515217/E- ६९२९१

Noida Institute of Education And Technology, F-1 Sector 50, Noida, Gautam Buddh Nagar U.P 201301	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Noida Institute of Education and Technology, F-1 Sector 50, Noida, Gautam Buddh Nagar U.P 201301** dated 07/07/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/F. NRC/NCTE/F-3UP-1742/4974** dated 09.06.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Noida Institute of Education and Technology, F-1 Sector 50, Noida, Gautam Buddh Nagar U.P 201301** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Since NCTE has changed admission criteria in B.P.Ed. where it was mandatory that all B.P.Ed. aspirants should have done graduation with Physical Education as one of the subjects. The admission in the course can only be done in the light of such criteria. No sufficient Aspirants are available to the university of the criteria provided by the NCTE as such admissions in this course are not being provided by the University to the institute. Under these circumstances the course of B.P.Ed. has been kept in Abeyance since long 2015"

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The instant matter was placed in its 10th Meeting, 2025 held on 08.08.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution with the direction to submit the aforesaid documents mentioned therein.

The Appeal Committee, in its 13th Meeting held online on 10.09.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 09.06.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the course of B.P.Ed. with an annual intake of 50 students. The recognition was subsequently withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 09.06.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. It was observed that the case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Despite such extensions and wide publicity through various channels, the institution failed to submit the PARs within the stipulated timelines. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

“4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Noida Institute Of Education And Technology, F-1 Sector 50, Noida, Gautam Buddh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh-201301.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-336/E-376171/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515227/E-89291

Hafiz Abdul Mannan Ansari Shiksha Evam Prashikshan Sansthan, Araji Kasba Swad Bahariyabad, 259, Raipur to Saidpur, Jakhanian, Uttar Pradesh, Ghazipur-275204	<u>VS</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT	RESPONDENT	

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Hafiz Abdul Mannan Ansari Shiksha Evam Prashikshan Sansthan, Araji Kasba Swad Bahariyabad, 259, Raipur to Saidpur, Jakhaniyan, Uttar Pradesh, Ghazipur-275204** dated 23/06/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order no. **NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/NRCAPP-2025/230815-230821** dated 20.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The Justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Hafiz Abdul Mannan Ansari Shiksha Evam Prashikshan Sansthan, Araji Kasba Swad Bahariyabad, 259, Raipur to Saidpur, Jakhaniyan, Uttar Pradesh, Ghazipur-275204** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “Performance appraisal report (PAR)”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The instant matter was placed in its 10th Meeting, 2025 held on 08.08.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution with the direction to submit the aforesaid documents mentioned therein.

The Appeal Committee, in its 13th Meeting held online on 10.09.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report,

the impugned withdrawal order dated 20.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the course of D.El.Ed. with an annual intake of 50 students. The recognition was subsequently withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 20.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. It was observed that the case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Despite such extensions and wide publicity through various channels, the institution failed to submit the PARs within the stipulated timelines. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

“4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Hafiz Abdul Mannan Ansari Shiksha Evam Prashikshan Sansthan, Araji Kasba Swad Bahariyabad, 259, Raipur to Saidpur, Jakhanian, Uttar Pradesh, Ghazipur-275204.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-205/E-368969/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515133/E-89291

Baburam Yadav Mahavidhyalaya, 2156MI, 2369, 2375, 2376K, 2376MI, 2377, 2377K, Sirshaganj Road, Karhal, Mainpuri, Uttar Pradesh-205264	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Baburam Yadav Mahavidhyalaya, 2156MI, 2369, 2375, 2376K, 2376MI, 2377, 2377K, Sirshaganj Road, Karhal, Mainpuri, Uttar Pradesh-205264** dated 12.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / NRC / PAR / ORDER / UP-1640 / 229649 – 229655** dated 20.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from Baburam Yadav Mahavidhyalaya, 2156MI, 2369, 2375, 2376K, 2376MI, 2377, 2377K, Sirshaganj Road, Karhal, Mainpuri, Uttar Pradesh-205264 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “we have received the show cause notice dated 14/04/2025 too late on 24/04/2025 almost after 10 days by that time online submission of feedback system was closed thus, we have lost some days of time period awaiting that online feedback system will be made effective by the NRC-NCTE. but when we came to know that submission of online feedback system was a time bound system then we were forced to submit reply in the hard copy form to the NRC -NCTE, which we submitted on 16/05/2025 via speed post. a copy of the receipt of the speed post letter is attached. it is stressfully submitted that we have submitted PAR for the sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. details of the same are attached and uploaded for ready reference as a material proof.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The instant matter was placed in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held on 03.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The appellant institution did not appear online to present its case before Appellate Authority on 03.07.2025. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution with the direction to submit the aforesaid documents mentioned therein.

The Appeal Committee, in its 13th Meeting held online on 10.09.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 20.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by NRC vide order dt. 20.05.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable. It was observed that the case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Despite such extensions and wide publicity through various channels, the institution failed to submit the PARs within the stipulated timelines. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition

filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the

e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

- (i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.*
- (ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.*

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. **DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Baburam Yadav Mahavidhyalaya, 2156MI, 2369, 2375, 2376K, 2376MI, 2377, 2377K, Sirshaganj Road, Karhal, Mainpuri, Uttar Pradesh-205264.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-224/E-369758/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLWRC202515143 *E89291*

Om Vindhya Vasini B.Ed. College, 230, Opp. Sardar Baug Shanala Road, Morbi, Rajkot, Gujarat- 363641	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

આદેશ/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Om Vindhavasini B.Ed. College, 230, Opp. Sardar Baug Shanala Road, Morbi, Rajkot, Gujarat-363641** dated 16.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / ORDER / APW03650 / 323376/** dated 24.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from Om Vindhavasini B.Ed. College, 230, Opp. Sardar Baug Shanala Road, Morbi, Rajkot, Gujarat-363641 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "With reference to the above-mentioned Show Cause Notice issued to Om Vindhavasini B.Ed. College, we respectfully submit our explanation as under:

1. Incorrect Email ID in Communication: We regret to inform you that the email ID mentioned in the notice (omvvimedu@sify.com) is not in current use. The correct and active registered email ID of our institution is omvvim@gmail.com, as duly submitted and updated in our institutional records. Owing to this discrepancy, the soft copy of the notice could not be received in time. The hard copy was received by our institution only on 14/05/2025, well after the deadline of 27/04/2025 for online reply submission.
2. PAR 2020-21 Submission: We would like to clarify that our institution had duly filled and submitted the PAR Form for the academic year 2020-21. However, the NCTE portal reflects otherwise, which appears to be a technical discrepancy. A copy of the filled-in PAR 2020-21 form is enclosed herewith as evidence.
3. Efforts Made for Submission of PAR 2021-22 and 2022-23: We sincerely attempted to submit the PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23 sessions. However, due to persistent technical issues on the online portal—including congestion and failure in form uploads despite multiple attempts and seeking technical help from your team - we were unable to complete the submission process before the extended deadlines.
4. Track Record of Compliance: Our college has been a recognized institution since 2006 and operational since 2007 with an annual intake of 100 students for the B.Ed. course. Over

the years, we have maintained complete compliance with all NCTE regulations and directives. There is no record of non-compliance or violation from our end.

5. Request for Consideration: We assure you that we remain committed to upholding the standards and expectations of the Council. The current lapse was purely unintentional and technical in nature, and not a reflection of any negligence. We earnestly request you to allow us an opportunity to correct the record and permit us to submit the pending PAR at the earliest.

6. Comparable Institutional Cases: We have learned that there are several other institutions which also faced similar issues in submitting the PAR due to technical or communication lapses. We hope that our case too will be considered sympathetically in light of these broader circumstances. In light of the above, we respectfully request your good office to kindly withdraw the proposed action for withdrawal of recognition and grant us a chance to rectify the issue in line with our consistent record of compliance. Thanking you.

Yours sincerely, (Suresh Pratap) Principal Om Vindhya Vasini B.Ed. College Opp. Sardar Baug, Shanala Road, Morbi – 363641, Gujarat Email: omvvim@gmail.com Phone: +91-7575005360 Enclosure: Copy of PAR 2020-21 Form.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The instant matter was placed in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held on 03.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The appellant institution did not appear online to present its case before Appellate Authority on 03.07.2025. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution with the direction to submit the aforesaid documents mentioned therein.

The Appeal Committee, in its 13th Meeting held online on 10.09.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 24.05.2025 issued by the Western Regional

Committee (WRC), the documents placed on record. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by WRC vide order dt. 24.05.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable. It was observed that the case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Despite such extensions and wide publicity through various channels, the institution failed to submit the PARs within the stipulated timelines. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

“4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Om Vindhayasini B.Ed. College, 230, Opp. Sardar Baug Shanala Road, Morbi, Rajkot, Gujarat-363641.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Gujarat, Block No. 5, 8th Floor, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-232/E-368662/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026

APPLWRC202515073/ E-८९२७।

Shri Agrasen Kanya Mahavidyalaya, 610/8, Darri Road, Korba, Chattisgarh-495678	<u>VS</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Manoj Kumar Jha, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Shri Agrasen Kanya Mahavidyalaya, 610/8, Darri Road, Korba, Chattisgarh-495678** dated 27.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR /ORDER/APW02428/723084** dated 14.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Manoj Kumar Jha, Principal of Shri Agrasen Kanya Mahavidyalaya, 610/8, Darri Road, Korba, Chattisgarh-495678 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “Since the institution missed to visit the web portal of NCTE frequently. therefore, the institution has not responded to NCTE. hence the portal was closed on 17/04/25. Hence the portal was closed on 17/04/25 for PAR feedback.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The instant matter was placed in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held on 03.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution with the direction to submit the aforesaid documents mentioned therein.

The Appeal Committee, in its 13th Meeting held online on 10.09.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 14.05.2025 issued by the Western Regional Committee (WRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the

appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by WRC vide order dt. 14.05.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable.

The instant matter was placed in its 13th Meeting, 2025 held on 24.09.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee considered the appeal, the appeal report, and the submissions of the appellant institution. It was observed that the case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Despite such extensions and wide publicity through various channels, the institution failed to submit the PARs within the stipulated timelines. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Shri Agrasen Kanya Mahavidyalaya, 610/8, Darri Road, Korba, Chattisgarh-495678.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.

4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Chhattisgarh, First floor, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh, 492002.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-434/E- 381055/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026

APPLSRC202515335/E-89291

Saraswathi College of Teacher Training, 989-1, Giddalur Post, 8 th Ward, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh-523357	<u>VS</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Sh. V. Bali Reddy, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Saraswathi College of Teacher Training, 989-1, Giddalur Post, 8th Ward, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh- 523357** dated 13.08.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **File. No. NCTE / 2025 / SRC / PAR / ORDER / SRCAPP802 / 150649** dated 19.05.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Sh. V. Bali Reddy, Principal of Saraswathi College of Teacher Training, 989-1, Giddalur Post, 8th Ward, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh- 523357 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "No Admissions from the session 2019-20 to till date due to the Show Cause Notice and Withdrawal Orders of NCTE New Delhi hence we are unable to fill up the PAR for the session 2021-22 and 2022-23 and we have already sent a letter to your office on 05-04-2025 for the reply of SCN issued by your office dated 26-03-2025."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 14th Meeting held online on 20.11.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 19.05.2025 issued by the Southern Regional Committee (SRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 18.09.2012, and that the SRC subsequently withdrew this recognition on 19.05.2025 on the ground of non-submission of the mandatory Performance Appraisal

Reports (PARs) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. Although the deadline for submission of PARs, initially fixed as 10.11.2024, was extended up to 31.12.2024 through successive Public Notices, the appellant institution failed to comply. The Committee further observed that the appeal dated 13.08.2025 suffers from a delay of 25 days beyond the statutory period prescribed under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and that the institution has offered no cogent explanation for such delay. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- *TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.*
- *TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.*
- *TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.*

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- *The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.*
- *For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."*

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the

said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for

which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the

appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Saraswathi College of Teacher Training, 989-1, Giddalur Post, 8th Ward, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh- 523357.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Andhra Pradesh, J Block, 3rd Floor, Room No. 312, Andhra Pradesh Secretariat, Hyderabad-500022.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-435/E- 382863/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLWRC202515385 / E-89291

Rajasthan Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyapeeth, 124, 125, 126, 127, 130, Nahargarh, Ramgarh Mode, Amer Road, Jaipur Heritage, Rajasthan-302002	<u>VS</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Rajkumar Joshi, Secretary
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Rajasthan Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyapeeth, 124, 125, 126, 127, 130, Nahargarh, Ramgarh Mode, Amer Road, Jaipur Heritage, Rajasthan-302002** dated 18.09.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **File. No. NCTE/2025/WRC/PAR/ORDER/RJ-34/** dated 29.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT

Dr. Rajkumar Joshi, Secretary of Rajasthan Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyapeeth, 124, 125, 126, 127, 130, Nahargarh, Ramgarh Mode, Amer Road, Jaipur Heritage, Rajasthan-302002 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “The institution was allotted file number RJ-486 instead of RJ-34 in the Shiksha shastri intake order by NCTE in 2006. The same file number RJ-486 is mentioned in the relevant order of NCTE regulation, 2014 regarding conversion of seats into units. in 2017, on the application of the institution for withdrawal of 100 seats- two units, the same file number RJ-486 is also mentioned in the minutes of the NRC meeting held from 29 to 31 august 2017. As mentioned in the 2006 & 2015 orders of NCTE for the intake of 200 seats, the NOC and admission process in psst is also going on by the Rajasthan higher education Sanskrit department and Jagadguru Ramanandacharya Rajasthan Sanskrit university every year. from the year NCTE started the PAR process, the PAR for Shiksha shastri course is being filed through RJ-486 only.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 14th Meeting held online on 20.11.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 29.05.2025 issued by the Western Regional Committee (WRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the B.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 120 students vide order dated 22.07.2005, and that the WRC subsequently withdrew this recognition on 29.05.2025 on the ground of non-submission of the mandatory Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. Although the deadline for submission of PARs, initially fixed as 10.11.2024, was extended up to 31.12.2024 through successive Public Notices, the appellant institution failed to comply. The Committee further observed that the appeal dated 13.08.2025 suffers from a delay of 1 month 20 days beyond the statutory period prescribed under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and that the institution has offered no cogent explanation for such delay. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is ‘Active’ or ‘under judicial stay’.

- ***The Council notes that the status of ‘Withdrawn’ recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands ‘Withdrawn’ on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal***

authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the

stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)
Appeal ID: APPLWRC202515385

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Rajasthan Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyapeeth, 124, 125, 126, 127, 130, Nahargarh, Ramgarh Mode, Amer Road, Jaipur Heritage, Rajasthan-302002.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-436/E- 384566/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515078/ E- 89291

Amtnunna Bano Mahila Mahavidyalaya, 839, Khade Dewar, Gursahaiganj, Farrukhabad, Chhibrampur, Kannauj, Uttar Pradesh-209722	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Ms. Rubi Verma, Teacher
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Amtunna Bano Mahila Mahavidyalaya, 839, Khade Dewar, Gursahaiganj, Farrukhabad, Chhibrampur, Kannoj, Uttar Pradesh-209722** dated 28.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **File No. NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/NRCAPP-4998/** dated 22.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Ms. Rubi Verma, Teacher of Amtunna Bano Mahila Mahavidyalaya, 839, Khade Dewar, Gursahaiganj, Farrukhabad, Chhibrampur, Kannoj, Uttar Pradesh-209722 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "Our institute tried to submit the PAR report for the session 2021-22 and 2022-23 on time, but due to technical reasons it could not succeed. The institute is fully functional from the teaching and administrative point of view. We request NCTE to provide an opportunity to restore the recognition."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 14th Meeting held online on 20.11.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 22.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 31.05.2015, and that the NRC subsequently withdrew this recognition on

22.05.2025 on the ground of non-submission of the mandatory Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. Although the deadline for submission of PARs, initially fixed as 10.11.2024, was extended up to 31.12.2024 through successive Public Notices, the appellant institution failed to comply. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***

- *TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.*
- *TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.*

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- *The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.*
- *For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."*

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. *Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.*

6. *He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.*

7. *He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.*

8. *Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:*

(i) *NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.*

(ii) *The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.*

9. *The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.*

10. *This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.*

11. *Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.*

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an “order of restoration” of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as “recognized institution” shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional

Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Amtunna Bano Mahila Mahavidyalaya, 839, Khade Dewar, Gursahaiganj, Farrukhabad, Chhigramau, Kannoj, Uttar Pradesh-209722.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-441/E- 385026/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLWRC202515075/ E-89291

Patel Kelavani Mandal P.T.C. College, 268, Paiki / B Part, Opp. Motibaug, College Road, Junagadh, Gujarat – 362001	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

આદેશ/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Patel Kelavani Mandal P.T.C. College, 268, Paiki / B Part, Opp. Motibaug, College Road, Junagadh, Gujarat – 362001** dated 22.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / ORDER / 322193 /** dated 14.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT

No one from Patel Kelavani Mandal P.T.C. College, 268, Paiki / B Part, Opp. Motibaug, College Road, Junagadh, Gujarat – 362001 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “1. From 2013 there is no student enrolled in our institution till date. Therefore, all staff resigned and settled down somewhere themselves. Only the Principal and office staff are working. So, we are not able to fill-up students & staff data in PAR report. Therefore, we have not filled-up PAR report. 2. With reference to above subject. You are kindly informed that we have already written a letter along with the email and by post. It was done on 02/05/2025. For your kindly requested to go through it. You are kindly requested to continue our accreditation to the P.T.C. (D.El.Ed.).”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2025 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee, in its 14th Meeting held online on 20.11.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 14.05.2025 issued by the Western Regional Committee (WRC), the documents placed on record. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. programme with an annual

intake of 50 students vide order dated 24.08.2004 , and that the WRC subsequently withdrew this recognition on 14.05.2025 on the ground of non-submission of the mandatory Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. Although the deadline for submission of PARs, initially fixed as 10.11.2024, was extended up to 31.12.2024 through successive Public Notices, the appellant institution failed to comply. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- *TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.*
- *TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.*
- *TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.*

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- *The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.*
- *For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."*

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the

said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for

which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore

disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Patel Kelavani Mandal P.T.C. College, 268, Paiki / B Part, Opp. Motibaug, College Road, Junagadh, Gujarat – 362001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Gujarat, Block No. 5, 8th Floor, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-471/E- 388487/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLSRC202515245/E-89291

S. V. R. Teachers Training Institute, 46, Halakundi, Ballari, Bellary, Karnataka-583102	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	The Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **S. V. R. Teachers Training Institute, 46, Halakundi, Ballari, Bellary, Karnataka-583102** dated 17.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **File. No. NCTE / 2025 / SRC / PAR / ORDER / APS01585 / 149694,149695** dated 02.06.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **S. V. R. Teachers Training Institute, 46, Halakundi, Ballari, Bellary, Karnataka-583102** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “For the academic year 2025-26. The institute is not entitled to admit students in the above refereed programmes from the academic session 2025-26.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 14th Meeting held online on 20.11.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 02.06.2025 issued by the Southern Regional Committee (SRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 29.11.2004, and that the SRC subsequently withdrew this recognition on 02.06.2025 on the ground of non-submission of the mandatory Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. Although the deadline for submission of PARs, initially fixed as 10.11.2024, was extended up to 31.12.2024

through successive Public Notices, the appellant institution failed to comply. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- *The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (*functus officio*) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.*
- *For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."*

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal

or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an “order of restoration” of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as “recognized institution” shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard

Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, S. V. R. Teachers Training Institute, 46, Halakundi, Ballari, Bellary, Karnataka-583102.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Secretary Establishment, Higher Education Dept., Room No. 645 A, 2nd Gate, 6th Floor, M.S.Building, Bengaluru, Karnataka-1.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में / IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-362/E-378080/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515325 / *E-89291*

Ram Pal Singh Satyavati Devi Memorial Degree College, 8, 9, 10, 11, Dhilwari, Salempur Dataganj, Badaun, Uttar Pradesh-243635	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Mr. Sumit Saxena, HoD & Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Ram Pal Singh Satyavati Devi Memorial Degree College, 8, 9, 10, 11, Dhilwari, Salempur Dataganj, Badaun, Uttar Pradesh-243635** dated 06/08/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **File. No. NCTE / 2025 / NRC / PAR / NRCAPP-14344/** dated 26/05/2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that “The justification reply given by the Institution against Show Cause Notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Sumit Saxena, Hod/Principal from Ram Pal Singh Satyavati Devi Memorial Degree College, 8, 9, 10, 11, Dhilwari, Salempur Dataganj, Badaun, Uttar Pradesh-243635 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “Our college strictly follows all the norms and regulations prescribed by NCTE and had been following all the norms, we were not informed on time about online procedure. However, we faced significant challenges due to a lack of timely information regarding the online PAR procedures, further we had replied to show cause notice on time however no reply or information was sent to us. Moreover, when we attempted to submit the PAR report, the portal was closed. Our response to the show cause notice, dated 28-04-2025, was dispatched via post on 12-05-2025, and we included our registered email ID, rpssdataganj2015@gmail.com , in our correspondence but have not received any confirmation, via email or post or otherwise, straightway this withdrawal notice is issued against us, without giving us reasonable chance. In light of these circumstances, we kindly urge you to reconsider our appeal and include our D.EL.ED course conducted at our college in the “Recognized Institute” list. We remain ready to provide any additional information required. Furthermore, we noticed a withdrawal order with delay recently posted on the NCTEs website, as there was no other communication from your good office, hence we are raising this appeal for your kind consideration and we able willing to all or any information

needed. We kindly request your assistance in this matter, as it is crucial for our colleges operations, education of students and compliance with your esteemed council. Kindly consider our appeal.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The instant matter was placed in its 11th Meeting, 2025 held on 26.08.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance with the direction to the Appellant Institution to submit the aforesaid documents mentioned therein.

The Appeal Committee, in its 14th Meeting held online on 21.11.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 26.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 02.05.2016, and that the NRC subsequently withdrew this recognition on 26.05.2025 on the ground of non-submission of the mandatory Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. Although the deadline for submission of PARs, initially fixed as 10.11.2024, was extended up to 31.12.2024 through successive Public Notices, the appellant institution failed to comply. The Committee further observed that the appeal dated 06.08.2025 suffers from a delay of 11 days beyond the statutory period prescribed under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and that the institution has offered no cogent explanation for such delay. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant

records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that

any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be

included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as “recognized institution” shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee,

being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Ram Pal Singh Satyavati Devi Memorial Degree College, 8, 9, 10, 11, Dhilwari, Salempur Dataganj, Badaun, Uttar Pradesh-243635.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-380/E-378108/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515334/E-89291

S. A. Jain College, Jain College Road, Ambala City, Haryana-134003.	<u>VS</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. (Mrs.) Abha Bansal, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	16.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **S. A. Jain College, Jain College Road, Ambala City, Haryana-134003** dated 13/08/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no **File No. NCTE / 2025 / NRC / PAR / ORDER / HR-339/** dated 23/05/2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed. course on the grounds that “The Institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. (Mrs.) Abha Bansal, Principal of S. A. Jain College, Jain College Road, Ambala City, Haryana-134003 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “The college has sent a request to the office of Regional Director northern Regional Committee NCTE New Delhi through registered post as well as through mail on 05.05.2025 to give a chance for a week to submit the PAR report after opening the portal as the par module was showing closed. further the college had also requested the office of the regional director to correct the email id of the college in their record as the necessary information and letters addressed by the NCTE to the college were being sent on wrong email id i.e. sajiancollege@rediffmail.com instead of sajaincollege@rediffmail.com. Thereafter the college again requested the office of the regional director in continuation to the letter no. 453 dated 05.05.2025 for providing a chance and for opening the portal for submitting the par report on 28.07.2025. Thereafter one of the teacher of the college visited the office of the regional director NCTE, New Delhi on 08.08.2025 the said teacher was not allowed to meet the concerned official or to submit the documents in NCTE on 08.08.2025. they said teacher was only allowed to submit the letter in sealed envelope with the help desk of NCTE. the order dated 23.05.2025 passed by the regional director northern regional committee NCTE, new Delhi was never communicated to the college, and the college came to know about the said order dated 23.05.2025 on 12.08.2025 and the present appeal has been filed at the very next day. seeing the future and welfare of the students the delay may kindly be condoned in the interest of justice.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE:

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The instant matter was placed in its 11th Meeting, 2025 held on 26.08.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance with the direction to the Appellant Institution to submit the aforesaid documents mentioned therein.

The Appeal Committee, in its 14th Meeting held online on 21.11.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 23.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the B.P.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 13.08.2008, and that the NRC subsequently withdrew this recognition on 23.05.2025 on the ground of non-submission of the mandatory Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. Although the deadline for submission of PARs, initially fixed as 10.11.2024, was extended up to 31.12.2024 through successive Public Notices, the appellant institution failed to comply. The Committee further observed that the appeal dated 13.08.2025 suffers from a delay of 21 days beyond the statutory period prescribed under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and that the institution has offered no cogent explanation for such delay. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of

the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the

e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution"

shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, S. A. Jain College, Jain College Road, Ambala City, Haryana-134003.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Government of Haryana, Shiksha Sadan, Ground & 1st Floor, Sec-5, Panchkula, Haryana-134105.