



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-194/E-368129/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2026

APPLNRC202515110 / E-89321

Shri Dennanath Krishi Mahavidyalaya, Plot No. 409, Street Road-0, Shiv Nagar, Pawans, Etah, Uttar Pradesh- 207001	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Shiv Nandan, Director
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Shri Dennenath Krishi Mahavidyalaya, Plot No. 409, Street Road-0, Shiv Nagar, Pawans, Etah, Uttar Pradesh-207001** dated 05.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / NRC / PAR / ORDER / NRCAPP 14739 /** dated 07.06.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Shiv Nandan, Director of Shri Dennenath Krishi Mahavidyalaya, Plot No. 409, Street Road-0, Shiv Nagar, Pawans, Etah, Uttar Pradesh-207001 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Show Cause Notice NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/NRCAPP-14739/229020 dated 15-04-2025 is found on dated 26/05/2025 and reply of Show Cause Notice by college letter no NCTE/Show Cause Notice/02/2025 dated 28/05/2025. The PAR 2021-22 and 2022-23 filled by college on dated 30-11-2024 and 02-12-2024 but by technical problem two both word is not mentioned by computer operator. the Show Cause Notice is found very late. According to meeting 441 NRC held on 22 may noted that the institution which have not submitted any reply with the stipulated time period of 15 days but the institution already submit the information of Show Cause Notice on 28/05/2025. Kindly continue the affiliation in D.El.Ed. course."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 8th Meeting held online on 03.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 07.06.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the

appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 07.06.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

"3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

“4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."


In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)
Appeal ID: APPL^{NAC2025}15110

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Shri Dennenath Krishi Mahavidyalaya, Plot No. 409, Street Road-0, Shiv Nagar, Pawans, Etah, Uttar Pradesh-207001.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.**



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-198/E-368964/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2025
APPLWRC202515050/E-89321

Baba Khetanath Mahila Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyalaya, 507 to 517, Bhitara, Rewali, Reh. Behror, Boodhwal Road, Alwar, Rajasthan-301701	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	The Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Baba Khetanath Mahila Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyalaya, 507 to 517, Bhitera, Rewali, Reh. Behror, Boodhwal Road, Alwar, Rajasthan-301701** dated 21.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / ORDER / RJ-725 /** dated 14.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

The Representative of **Baba Khetanath Mahila Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyalaya, 507 to 517, Bhitera, Rewali, Reh. Behror, Boodhwal Road, Alwar, Rajasthan-301701** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "1. That the above appellant institution was granted recognition by the NCTE for D.El.Ed. (Diploma in Elementary Education) course on 09.11.2006 through Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/RJ-725/8000-8009 2. That by the order dated 14.05.2025 issued by the respondent, it was stated that the institution did not submit the Performance Assessment Report (PAR) for the academic session 2022–23 on the online portal in time and did not give any reply to the show cause notice, on this ground the recognition of the institute is withdrawn. 3. That this statement is factually incorrect. The reply to the show cause notice was duly sent by the appellant institute on 08.04.2025 through email (wrc@ncte-india.org) on Apr 8, 2025, 2:38PM and on 09.04.2025, 09:46 AM through registered post (RR3029354321N, IVR NO. 8282302935432). 4. That due to technical problems the reply could not be uploaded through online portal, the reply can be uploaded through the link provided by you. Since it was not possible to send the reply through email, the reply was sent through registered post (RR3029354321N, IVR NO. 8282302935432) on Apr 8, 2025 at 2:38PM and on 09.04.2025. This error was not intentional but due to technical reasons.

5. That the institution has been running successfully for the last 18 years and has been following all the standards and instructions prescribed by NCTE, State Government and Directorate of Elementary Education, Bikaner. 6. That the sudden termination of recognition is not only unjust but also against the right to education, keeping in view the future of the students. PAR is being filled regularly by Baba Khetnath Mahila Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya and Baba Khetnath Mahila Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyalyaya is still fully prepared to submit PAR and is ready to follow the regulatory instructions. PRAYER: Therefore You are humbly requested to: (a) Cancel the recognition cancellation order dated 14.05.2025. (b) The appellant should be given an opportunity to re-submit the PAR. (c) Recognition of the institute should be restored so that admission of students can be done as per the session 2025-26. (d) Pass such other order in the interest of justice, which seems appropriate.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 8th Meeting held online on 03.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 14.05.2025 issued by the Western Regional Committee (WRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 50 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the WRC vide order dated 14.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined

the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

"3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on

the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the

statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an “order of restoration” of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as “recognized institution” shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon’ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon’ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon’ble High Court, in consonance

with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Baba Khetanath Mahila Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyalaya, 507 to 517, Bhitara, Rewali, Reh. Behror, Boodhwal Road, Alwar, Rajasthan-301701.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.**



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-206/E-368968/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2025
APPLNRC202515134/E-89321

KP BTC Training College, 6/2, Street/Road-12/12, Malak Raj, GPO Prayagraj, Sadar, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh-211002	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of KP BTC Training College, 6/2, Street/Road-12/12, Malak Raj, GPO Prayagraj, Sadar, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh-211002 dated 05.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / NRC / PAR / ORDER / NRCAPP-14127 /** dated 28.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from KP BTC Training College, 6/2, Street/Road-12/12, Malak Raj, GPO Prayagraj, Sadar, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh-211002 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The Appeal under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, against the order dated 28.05.2025. The appellant beg to submit as follows:- 1. That the appellant were not served with any kind of hard-copy regarding submission of Performance Appraisal Report. 2. That the appellant was also not aware about the fact that Performance Appraisal Report is to be submitted On-line. 3. That the appellant have inquired about the submission of Performance Appraisal Report from the DIET, but could not get any assistance from there. 4. That the appellant is a composite institute imparting B.Ed. course. E-Mail address was mentioned at the time of recognition is exclusively controlled by the staff of B.Ed. College and it appears that due to inadvertent, the message could not be forwarded to the appellant department. 5. That the appellant institute is run by a renowned Society and three Post Graduate Colleges, two Intermediate College, two junior High School – one in Hindi medium and another one in English medium are running. 6. That the election of the Society was held on 25.12.2023, but due to the election dispute, the present Management has taken over the charge on 01.04.2025 and in such a situation there were huge panic and the Committee of Management was not properly functioning. 7. That the appellant have

appointed qualified faculty after due publication of vacancy and the result of the College was always about 100. 8. That in case the order dated 28.05.2025 will not be quashed, the appellant and aspiring students will suffer a great loss. 9. That the appeal is well within limitation as provided under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee, in its 8th Meeting held online on 03.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 28.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record. The Appellant Institution did not appear online to present its case before the Appellate Authority. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by NRC vide order dt. 28.05.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable. The Appeal Committee noted that this is the case of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As per the public notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon’ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon’ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE

giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, KP BTC Training College, 6/2, Street/Road-12/12, Malak Raj, GPO Prayagraj, Sadar, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh-211002.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-208/E-369017/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2025
APPLNRC202515115

Shiksha Bharti B.Ed. College, 2978, 2980, 2981, 2982, 2983, 2984, 2985, 3000, Samoor Khurd (Boul), Samoor Kalan, Bangana, Una, Himachal Pradesh-174303	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Shiksha Bharti B.Ed. College, 2978, 2980, 2981, 2982, 2983, 2984, 2985, 3000, Samoor Khurd (Boul), Samoor Kalan, Bangana, Una, Himachal Pradesh-174303** dated 05.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / NRC / PAR / ORDER/HP-64/** dated 23.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Shiksha Bharti B.Ed. College, 2978, 2980, 2981, 2982, 2983, 2984, 2985, 3000, Samoor Khurd (Boul), Samoor Kalan, Bangana, Una, Himachal Pradesh-174303** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Due to poor connectivity and NCTE website was also showing technical issue from 4:30 pm to 7:00 pm because the site was not working. However, we send e-mail at 6:05 pm about this issue to NCTE office New Delhi. Only two points were remained to fill, but at 11:45 pm par form was closed. Same night we rushed to Delhi and submit application in NCTE office new Delhi by showing our problem. Again, on dated 18/02/2025 we personally submit application in NCTE office New Delhi. After getting show cause notice on 03/04/2025 we replied on dated 17/04/2025 on NCTE website par portal."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee, in its 8th Meeting held online on 03.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 23.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional

Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The appellant institution did not appear online to present its case before Appellate Authority on 03.07.2025. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by NRC vide order dt. 23.05.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable. The Appeal Committee noted that this is the case of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As per the public notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

"3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Shiksha Bharti B.Ed. College, 2978, 2980, 2981, 2982, 2983, 2984, 2985, 3000, Samoor Khurd (Boul), Samoor Kalan, Bangana, Una, Himachal Pradesh-174303.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Shimla-171001, Himachal Pradesh.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-209/E-368987/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2025
APPLNRC202515129/E-89321

LRS Girls Degree College, 81, 89, 90, 91, Nagina, Begampur Mali, Bijnor Road, Moradabad, Bijnour, Uttar Pradesh-246762	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	The Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **LRS Girls Degree College, 81, 89, 90, 91, Nagina, Begampur Mali, Bijnor Road, Moradabad, Bijnour, Uttar Pradesh-246762** dated 11.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/NRCAPP-11423/** dated 28.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

The Representative from **LRS Girls Degree College, 81, 89, 90, 91, Nagina, Begampur Mali, Bijnor Road, Moradabad, Bijnour, Uttar Pradesh-246762** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "We, the management of Lala Radhey Shyam Girls Degree College (NRCAPP-11423), located at Plot No. 81, 89, 90, 91, Begampur Mali, P.O. Nagina, Tehsil Nagina, Town Nagina, District Bijnour, Uttar Pradesh – 246762, respectfully submit the following representation regarding the status of our recognition and the inadvertent withdrawal order passed during the 441st meeting of NRC-NCTE held on 28/05/2025: 1. Submission of PAR and Fee: • Our institution has duly submitted the Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) as follows: PAR 2021-22: Application Code P2122030786 & Institution Code 202211E5F05031 Fee of 17,700/- submitted on 28/11/2024 of PAR 2022-23: Application Code P2223031483 & Institution Code 202211E5F05031 Fee of 17,700/- submitted on 28/11/2024 We have not received any notice, email, or showcase communication from NRC-NCTE indicating any deficiency or non-submission regarding the above PARs. Moreover, the minutes of the 440th NRC meeting did not include our institution in the list of PAR defaulters. 2. Institutional Identity and Name Clarification: • Our institution has been granted recognition by NRC-NCTE under the name L R S Girls Degree College (NRCAPP-11423), which is the abbreviated form of our full name Lala Radhey Shyam Girls Degree College. • The

affiliating university, M.J.P. Rohilkhand University, Bareilly, has granted affiliation under the full name Lala Radhey Shyam Girls Degree College, confirming the consistent identity of our institution. 3. Prayer: In light of the above facts, we humbly request: • Cancellation of the Recognition Withdrawal order passed in the 441st NRC meeting on 28/05/2025, as our institution has fulfilled all obligations regarding PAR submission. • Correction of the Institution Name in the NCTE records from L R S Girls Degree College to the full and correct name Lala Radhey Shyam Girls Degree College, to match all official records and prevent future discrepancies. We remain committed to compliance with all NCTE norms and respectfully seek the restoration of recognition to continue offering the B.Ed. programme from the academic session 2025-26”.

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee, in its 8th Meeting held online on 03.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 28.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by NRC vide order dt. 28.05.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable. The Appeal Committee noted that this is the case of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As per the public notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case

of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

"3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically

clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the

respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an “order of restoration” of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as “recognized institution” shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices.

The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, LRS Girls Degree College, 81, 89, 90, 91, Nagina, Begampur Mali, Bijnor Road, Moradabad, Bijnour, Uttar Pradesh-246762.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-214/E-368293/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2025
APPLSRC202515095/ E- 89321

Rousseau College of Elementary Teacher Education Institution, 384, Venkataopally Post, Narsingapoor, Rajannasiric, Boinpally, Karimnagar, Telangana- 505524	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Mr. Siripuram Srinivas, Administrative Officer
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Rousseau College of Elementary Teacher Education Institution, 384, Venkataopally Post, Narsingapoor, Rajannasiric, Boinpally, Karimnagar, Telangana-505524** dated 23.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No.NCTE/2025/SRC/PAR/ORDER/APS02628/** dated 17.05.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Siripuram Srinivas, Administrative Officer of Rousseau College of Elementary Teacher Education Institution, 384, Venkataopally Post, Narsingapoor, Rajannasiric, Boinpally, Karimnagar, Telangana-505524 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "With reference to the Show Cause Notice dated 29.03.2025 regarding the non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic session 2021-22, we humbly submit the following clarification: Our institution, Rousseau College of Elementary Teacher Education, did not admit any students to the D.El.Ed. course during the academic sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23. Therefore, we were unable to run the D.El.Ed. programme during these academic years. As there were no students admitted and no academic activities conducted, we did not file the PAR for the said sessions. We would also like to bring to your kind attention that we have already submitted our reply to the Show Cause Notice on 08.04.2025, both via email and through registered post. We sincerely request the Hon'ble Committee to consider our explanation and the fact that no students were enrolled during the mentioned sessions. We are committed to complying with all future directives and

requirements of the SRC-NCTE. We earnestly appeal for cancellation of the withdrawal order issued in the 460th meeting held on 14.05.2025 and kindly request that we may be permitted to admit students and restart the D.El.Ed. programme from the academic session 2025-26 onwards.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 8th Meeting held online on 03.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 17.05.2025 issued by the Southern Regional Committee (SRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by SRC vide order dt. 17.05.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable. The Appeal Committee noted that this is the case of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As per the public notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is ‘Active’ or ‘under judicial stay’.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Rousseau College of Elementary Teacher Education Institution, 384, Venkatraopally Post, Narsingapoor, Rajannasiric, Boinpally, Karimnagar, Telangana-505524.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 3rd Floor, Telangana Secretariat, Hyderabad, Telangana–500022.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-219/E-369448/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2025
APPLNRC202515125 (E-8932)

Pratap Bahadur Post Graduate College, 2592, 2594, 2593/1, Pratapgarh City, Sadar, Uttar Pradesh-230002	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Pratap Bahadur Post Graduate College, 2592, 2594, 2593/1, Pratapgarh City, Sadar, Uttar Pradesh-230002** dated 31.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / NRC / PAR / ORDER / UP-2310 /** dated 28.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Pratap Bahadur Post Graduate College, 2592, 2594, 2593/1, Pratapgarh City, Sadar, Uttar Pradesh-230002** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "We are writing to explain the circumstances that led to the delayed submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the D.El.Ed. course for the sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23. Despite the course being one of our flagship programs, running successfully since its inception, and being a part of our institutions legacy established in 1964 by Raja Ajit Pratap Singh ji in memory of his father Raja Pratap Bahadur Singh ji. The primary reason for this delay was the prolonged illness and subsequent demise of our clerical staff member, late Sri Rahul Singh, who was responsible for managing the official and administrative tasks of the B.P.Ed. and D.El.Ed. departments. His chronic illness over the past two years significantly impacted our ability to submit the PAR reports within the stipulated timeframe. We regret the oversight and assure you that it was unintentional. Our institution has a good track record of submitting PAR reports for all courses, including D.El.Ed., up to the sessions 2019-20 and 2020-21. Given the circumstances, we kindly request permission to submit the overdue PAR reports for the B.P.Ed. course, as well as for D.El.Ed., for the current and preceding years. We appreciate your

understanding and look forward to your favorable response. Thank you for your consideration.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee, in its 8th Meeting held online on 03.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 28.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record. The appellant institution did not appear online to present its case before Appellate Authority on 03.07.2025. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.P.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by NRC vide order dt. 28.05.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable. The Appeal Committee noted that this is the case of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As per the public notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as “recognized institution” shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Pratap Bahadur Post Graduate College, 2592, 2594, 2593/1, Pratapgarh City, Sadar, Uttar Pradesh-230002.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.**



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-220/E-369878/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2025
APPLNRC202515124/E-89321

Pratap Bahadur Post Graduate College, 2592, 2594, 2593/1, Pratapgarh City, Sadar, Uttar Pradesh-230002	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Pratap Bahadur Post Graduate College, 2592, 2594, 2593/1, Pratapgarh City, Sadar, Uttar Pradesh-230002** dated 31.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / NRC / PAR / ORDER / NRCAPP-11050/** dated 21.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Pratap Bahadur Post Graduate College, 2592, 2594, 2593/1, Pratapgarh City, Sadar, Uttar Pradesh-230002** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "We are writing to explain the circumstances that led to the delayed submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the D.El.Ed. course for the sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23. Despite the course being one of our flagship programs, running successfully since its inception, and being a part of our institutions legacy established in 1964 by Raja Ajit Pratap Singh ji in memory of his father Raja Pratap Bahadur Singh ji. The primary reason for this delay was the prolonged illness and subsequent demise of our clerical staff member, late Sri Rahul Singh, who was responsible for managing the official and administrative tasks of the D.El.Ed., and B.P.Ed. departments. His chronic illness over the past two years significantly impacted our ability to submit the PAR reports within the stipulated timeframe. We regret the oversight and assure you that it was unintentional. Our institution has a good track record of submitting PAR reports for all courses, including D.El.Ed., up to the sessions 2019-20 and 2020-21. Given the circumstances, we kindly request permission to submit the overdue PAR reports for the D.El.Ed. course, as well as for B.P.Ed., for the current and preceding years. We appreciate your

understanding and look forward to your favorable response. Thank you for your consideration.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee, in its 8th Meeting held online on 03.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 21.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record. The appellant institution did not appear online to present its case before Appellate Authority on 03.07.2025. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by NRC vide order dt. 21.05.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable. The Appeal Committee noted that this is the case of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As per the public notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined

the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is ‘Active’ or ‘under judicial stay’.

- *The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.*
- *For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."*

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Pratap Bahadur Post Graduate College, 2592, 2594, 2593/1, Pratapgarh City, Sadar, Uttar Pradesh-230002.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-227/E-369859/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2025

APPLNRC202515157 / E-89321

Tika Ram Girls College, 352, Mission Road, Near Gur Mandi, Sonapat, Haryana-131001	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Mrs. Anita Rathee, Deputy Superintendent
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of Tika Ram Girls College, 352, Mission Road, Near Gur Mandi, Sonapat, Haryana-131001 dated 18.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. File No. NCTE / 2025 / NRC / PAR / ORDER / HR-197 / dated 02.06.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mrs. Anita Rathee, Deputy Superintendent of Tika Ram Girls College, 352, Mission Road, Near Gur Mandi, Sonapat, Haryana-131001 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "i. Because the appellant college is a girl's college has been providing quality education to the students since 1992, especially the girls belonging to the rural region, which eventually helps to shape their future. ii. Because the withdrawal of the recognition of the appellant college is harsh, and there has been no other incident which could hint a doubt towards the working of the appellant college. iii. Because the appellant college inadvertently due to some unavoidable circumstances could not submit the PAR within the stipulated time and vide its response letter dated 16.04.2025 has humbly requested to open the portal for the submission of the PAR for the year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. iv. Because the appellant college has been successfully serving the society by providing quality education and possesses all the necessary infrastructure and instructional facilities required by the NCTE norms v. That so far as the impugned withdrawal order issued by the Northern Regional Committee is concerned, it is submitted that the same is not as per the statutory provisions and also does not survive in light of the judgment of this Hon'ble Court in Shyama Prasad Mukherjee Vs. National Council for Teacher Education / (2010) 171 DLT 459 wherein this Hon'ble Court had clearly interpreted Section 17 of the NCTE Act and observed that

the Regional Committee cannot take decision to withdraw recognition of an institution without any specific deficiencies verified by inspection team of the NRC, NCTE under the provisions of NCTE Act, 1993, particularly when the institution does not lack infrastructural & instructional facilities required as per NCTE norms. vi. Because such kind of show cause notices, as the aforesaid been issued to petitioner herein, were / are being issued by all the Regional Committees of the NCTE, to various institutions of the Country, on similar lines / grounds, and no specific reason or fact or history pertaining to concerned institution, are mentioned in the said show cause notices and thus, the aforesaid show cause notice issued to petitioner herein, apparently, has been issued by the Northern Regional Committee, erroneously and hastily, without looking into the case file of the petitioner institution.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 8th Meeting held online on 03.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 02.06.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.P.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by NRC vide order dt. 02.06.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable. The Appeal Committee noted that this is the case of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As per the public notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents

submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public

notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the

statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an “order of restoration” of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as “recognized institution” shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance

with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Tika Ram Girls College, 352, Mission Road, Near Gur Mandi, Sonapat, Haryana-131001.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Government of Haryana, Shiksha Sadan, Ground & 1st Floor, Sec-5, Panchkula, Haryana-134105.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-154/E366527/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2025

APPLSRC202515049/E-89321

Srinidhi Teacher Training Institute, 104/C/2, 105/E/2, 45, Dr. Rejendra Prasad College of Education, Opposite, Asifabad, Buruguda, Adilabad, Telangana-504293	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Mr. T. Shivaprasad, Secretary
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Srinidhi Teacher Training Institute, 104/C/2, 105/E/2, 45, Dr. Rajendra Prasad College of Education, Opposite, Asifabad, Buruguda, Adilabad, Telangana-504293** dated 18.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / SRC / PAR / ORDER / APS02786 /** dated 16.05.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. T. Shivaprasad, Secretary of Srinidhi Teacher Training Institute, 104/C/2, 105/E/2, 45, Dr. Rajendra Prasad College of Education, Opposite, Asifabad, Buruguda, Adilabad, Telangana-504293 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The institution is composite in nature running dr. Rajendra prasad B.Ed. College and Srinidhi Teacher Training Institute under one roof since 2003 and 2005 respectively. P.A.R. is submitted for both institutions together. SRC viewing Srinidhi Teacher Training Institution is different from B.Ed. College issued withdrawal order even without considering our detailed reply to the notice served by SRC. Therefore, an earnest request is made as it is composite institution and functioning since last two decades successfully. Keeping in view the future of faculty, non-teaching staff and the students on rolls the recognition order issued by SRC to the Srinidhi Teacher Training Institution may be set aside. Further the institution is fully equipped and from the beginning working efficiently with highest pass percentage and to the best satisfaction of affiliating bodies. The institution is primarily serving the educational needs of tribals as it is located in the district Adilabad which is considered to be one among the few districts in the country with lowest literacy rate. The decision of SRC of withdrawing recognition will come in the way of functioning of the institution in a notified tribal area going against

educational interests of tribal youth. It is not out of context to state that the students who pursued B.Ed. And D.El.Ed. programmes in our institution are placed to the extent of 90 per cent mostly located in the tribal areas of Telangana state.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The instant matter was placed in its 6th Meeting, 2025 held on 28.05.2025. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The Appeal Committee, in its 8th Meeting held online on 03.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 16.05.2025 issued by the Southern Regional Committee (SRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by SRC vide order dt. 16.05.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable. The Appeal Committee noted that this is the case of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As per the public notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case

of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

"3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically

clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the

respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an “order of restoration” of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as “recognized institution” shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all

applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Srinidhi Teacher Training Institute, 104/C/2, 105/E/2, 45, Dr. Rejendra Prasad College of Education, Opposite, Asifabad, Buruguda, Adilabad, Telangana-504293.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 3rd Floor, Telangana Secretariat, Hyderabad, Telangana-500022.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-155/E-366243/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2025
APPLWRC202515048/E-89321

Som-Lalit College of Education, 220/1, B/H Hindu Colony, Near Sardar Patel Stadium, Shekhpur- Khanpur Ahmedabad, Navarangpura, Maganbhai Barot Marg, Ahmedabad City, Ahmedabad, Gujarat-380009	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Poonamben A. Yadav, Vice Principal and Dr. Bhavesh Shastri, Trustee
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Som-Lalit College of Education, 220/1, B/H Hindu Colony, Near Sardar Patel Stadium, Shekhpur-Khanpur Ahmedabad, Navarangpura, Maganbhai Barot Marg, Ahmedabad City, Ahmedabad, Gujarat-380009** dated 17.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / ORDER / APW04323 / 323429** / dated 14.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Poonamben A. Yadav, Vice Principal and Dr. Bhavesh Shastri, Trustee of Som-Lalit College of Education, 220/1, B/H Hindu Colony, Near Sardar Patel Stadium, Shekhpur-Khanpur Ahmedabad, Navarangpura, Maganbhai Barot Marg, Ahmedabad City, Ahmedabad, Gujarat-380009 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Our institute Som-Lalit College of Education which is affiliated to Gujarat University and recognised by NCTE since 2007. After the Covid pandemic our institute was not functioning for the academic year 2023-24 and we did not enrolled students in our institute. So for the academic year 2023-24 we have not filled the PAR. We have started enrolling the students from academic year 2024-25. So now onwards we will be filling the PAR without fail. We are requesting to please take note of this and do the needful."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 8th Meeting held online on 03.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 14.05.2025 issued by the Western Regional Committee (WRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the

appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by WRC vide order dt. 14.05.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable. The Appeal Committee noted that this is the case of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As per the public notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

"3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

“4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Appeal ID: APPLWR202515048

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Som-Lalit College of Education, 220/1, B/H Hindu Colony, Near Sardar Patel Stadium, Shekhpur-Khanpur Ahmedabad, Navarangpura, Maganbhai Barot Marg, Ahmedabad City, Ahmedabad, Gujarat-380009.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Gujarat, Block No. 5, 8th Floor, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-238/E-370660/2025 Appeal/Emergent Meeting, 2025

APPLNRC202515099 / E-89321

St. Bedes College, 182 - 184, 236 - 326, Navbahar Road, Shimla, Upmohal Navbahar Patwar Vrith Station Ward Chotta, Simla, Himachal Pradesh-171002	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector - 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Neeta Khanna, HoD., D.El.Ed. Dept.
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	07.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **St. Bedes College, 182 - 184, 236 - 326, Navbahar Road, Shimla, Upmohal Navbahar Patwar Vrith Station Ward Chotta, Simla, Himachal Pradesh-171002** dated 04.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / NRC / PAR / ORDER / HP-13/** dated 23.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Neeta Khanna, HoD., D.El.Ed. Dept. of St. Bedes College, 182 - 184, 236 - 326, Navbahar Road, Shimla, Upmohal Navbahar Patwar Vrith Station Ward Chotta, Simla, Himachal Pradesh-171002 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 07.01.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "No official mail from NCTE was received by the college to fill the PAR."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its Emergent Meeting, 2026 held online on 7th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 8th Meeting held online on 03.07.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 23.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 26.12.2000. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by NRC vide order dt. 23.05.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable. The

Appeal Committee noted that this is the case of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As per the public notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its Emergent Meeting (2026) held online on 07.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of

the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by

the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed

by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal of the appellant institution has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, St. Bedes College, 182 - 184, 236 - 326, Navbahar Road, Shimla, Upmohal Navbahar Patwar Vrith Station Ward Chotta, Simla, Himachal Pradesh-171002.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Shimla-171001, Himachal Pradesh.