



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 05.08.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-104/E-360687/2025 Appeal/12th (Emergent) Meeting, 2026

APPLSRC202514987 -

Serial No. 01 of 12th (Emergent) Meeting – 2026

North Karnataka D.Ed. College, Sy. No. 36/A, Hulsoor Road, Basvakalyan, Dist. Bidar, Karnataka-585327.	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
--	------------------	--

Representative of Appellant	Mr. Md Anuf, Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	05.08.2026
Date of Pronouncement	05.08.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **North Karnataka D.Ed. College, 36/A, Hulsoor Road, Basvakalyan, Bidar, Karnataka-585327** dated 24.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F.SRC/NCTE/APSO5905/Elementary (D.Ed.)/ 414th Mtg./ KA/2022/140300** dated 27.01.2023 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "(i). The institution failed to submit reply to the Final Show Cause Notice dated 14.09.2022. (ii). Further, it is also observed that the institution has not filled Performance Appraisal Report (PAR)."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Md Anuf, Representative of North Karnataka D.Ed. College, 36/A, Hulsoor Road, Basvakalyan, Bidar, Karnataka-585327 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "We humbly submit that our institution has not receive the final show cause notice. The Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in W.P No. 201231 of 2024 has made order that reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority. Hon'ble court has also noted about appeal to be filed by the institution. Our institution is having all the facilities and documents as per NCTE norms. we are submitting herewith the certified copy of land documents, approved building plan, building completion certificate; land use certificate, non-encumbrance certificate, form 'A' for FDRs for Rs.12 lakhs and approved staff list for kind consideration of the appeal committee and also, we have filled performance appraisal report(par) for the academic year 2021-22& 2022-23. we humbly request the appeal committee to kindly grant recognition for our D.El.Ed. course which is already running from past 18 years and oblige."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 12th (Emergent) Meeting, 2026 held on 5th August, 2026 considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for Elementary (D.Ed.) course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 12.04.2007. The recognition of the institution for D.El.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 27.01.2023.

The Appeal Committee noted that the instant appeal was earlier considered in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held on 04.07.2025, wherein the withdrawal order dated 27.01.2023 issued by the Southern Regional Committee (SRC) was upheld. The appellant institution thereafter challenged the said appellate order before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 2759/2026. The Hon'ble High Court, vide order dated 03.08.2026, directed the Appellate Authority to consider the documents produced by the appellant institution and pass a speaking order within two days. The operative directions of the Hon'ble High Court is reproduced below:

CM APPL.50342/2026

1. This is an application filed under Section 151 of the CPC, 1908 on behalf of the petitioner seeking the following prayer: -
"(a) Allow the Petitioner to participate in the counselling process for admission into two years D.Ed. course for the academic session 2026- 2027 in terms of the notification dated 24.04.2026 issued by the State Educational Research and Training, Bangaluru during the pendency of the accompanying Writ Petition in the interest of justice."
2. For the reasons stated in the application, issue notice.
3. Mr. Rupal, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.
4. The recognition of the petitioner was withdrawn for non-submission of land documents and for non-submission of performance appraisal report (PAR) for the year 2021-2022.
5. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the said documents have now been obtained and are annexed with the writ petition.
6. For the said reasons, it is directed that the Appellate Authority of the respondent No. 1 shall duly consider the documents and pass a speaking order within 2 days from today.
7. The strict timeline is given because the counselling is scheduled to commence from 10.08.2026.
8. Accordingly, the application is allowed and disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

W.P.(C) 2759/2026

9. In view of the aforesaid directions, the petition also stands disposed of with granting liberty to the petitioner to revive the petition in case of any difficulty/grievance.

10. Next date of hearing fixed earlier i.e., 07.08.2026 stands cancelled.

11. *Dasti.*

The Appeal Committee, in compliance with the aforesaid judicial directions and in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, reconsidered the appeal on merits. The Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution that it has now furnished copies of the land documents, approved Building Plan, Building Completion Certificate, Land Use Certificate, Non-Encumbrance Certificate, Form 'A' relating to Fixed Deposit Receipts, approved staff list, website disclosures and the Performance Appraisal Reports for the academic years 2021-22 and 2022-23, together with supporting notarized documents, and contended that the deficiencies forming the basis of the withdrawal order stand complied with.

The Appeal Committee observed that the aforesaid submissions raise issues relating to statutory compliance under the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), including compliance with the principles of natural justice, land and infrastructural requirements, submission of Performance Appraisal Reports and other mandatory regulatory requirements. These matters require verification from the original records maintained by the Southern Regional Committee and, wherever necessary, from the competent authorities. The Appeal Committee further observed that documents produced during appellate proceedings cannot be rejected solely on the ground that they were not before the Regional Committee. These issues necessarily require verification from the original records maintained by the Southern Regional Committee and other competent authorities and cannot appropriately be adjudicated for the first time at the appellate stage without such verification. The Appeal Committee further observed that, in the interest of fair adjudication, documents produced during appellate proceedings cannot be rejected solely on the ground that they were not before the Regional Committee. In this regard, reliance is placed upon the judgment of the **Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No.3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education v. NCTE**, wherein it has been held that such material is required to be considered. However, consideration of such material does not amount to its acceptance, and its authenticity, correctness and legal effect must first be verified by the competent Regional Committee in accordance

with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended).

The Appeal Committee also took note of the observations of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) 4382/2021 dated 08.04.2021, wherein it was observed: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

The Appeal Committee further noted the observations of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) 7260/2021 dated 30.07.2021, wherein it was observed: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, and having regard to the directions of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, the statutory scheme under the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the judicial pronouncements referred to above, and the material now placed on record, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned withdrawal order dated 27.01.2023 warrants interference only to the limited extent of enabling the Southern Regional Committee to verify the additional documents and factual assertions produced during the appellate proceedings. Accordingly, the Southern Regional Committee shall: verify the authenticity, correctness and legal validity of the land documents, Building Plan, Building Completion Certificate, Land Use Certificate, Non-Encumbrance Certificate, Form 'A', Performance Appraisal Reports and all other documents relied upon by the appellant institution; examine compliance with the provisions of the National Council for Teacher

Education Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and other applicable norms and standards; examine the appellant's contention regarding service of notices and compliance with the principles of natural justice; verify the records forming the basis of the impugned withdrawal order as well as the additional material produced before the Appeal Committee; afford the appellant institution a reasonable opportunity of hearing; and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order strictly in accordance with law. It is expressly clarified that this remand is confined solely to factual verification and regulatory scrutiny. The Appeal Committee has expressed no opinion on the merits of the appellant institution's claims. This remand shall not be construed as creating any presumption, equity or vested right in favour of the appellant institution. In the event the appellant institution fails to establish compliance with the statutory and regulatory requirements upon such reconsideration, the Southern Regional Committee shall be at liberty to pass such order as may be warranted strictly in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall furnish to the Southern Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days from receipt of this order, all documents and material relied upon in the present appeal to facilitate expeditious reconsideration.

IV. DECISION: -

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the order dated 03.08.2026 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 2759/2026, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions advanced by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, sets aside the impugned order dated 27.01.2023 and remands the matter to the Southern Regional Committee for limited factual verification and fresh consideration, strictly in accordance with the observations and directions contained herein and in conformity with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the applicable norms, guidelines and decisions of the Council. The Southern Regional Committee shall complete the aforesaid exercise expeditiously and pass a reasoned and speaking order, after affording due opportunity of hearing to the appellant institution, without being influenced by any observation contained in this appellate order except to the extent of the directions issued herein. This remand shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case, which are left open for determination by the Southern Regional Committee in accordance with law.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to: -

1. **The Principal, North Karnataka D.Ed. College, 36/A, Hulsoor Road, Basvakalyan, Bidar, Karnataka-585327.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Kartavya Bhawan 2, Central Secretariat, New Delhi-110001.
3. The Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Secretary Establishment, Higher Education Dept., Room No. 645 A, 2nd Gate, 6th Floor, M.S. Building, Bengaluru, Karnataka-1.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date – 05.08.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-355/E-376986/2025 Appeal/12th (Emergent) Meeting, 2026
APPLERC202515282 -

Serial No. 02 of 12th (Emergent) Meeting – 2026

R S Teachers Training College, Plot No.-332, 333, 334, 338, 339, Street No.- 239, Vil, katras bazar, Street No.- 239, Dhanbad, Jharkhand - 828114.	<u>Vs</u>	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075.
--	------------------	--

Representative of Appellant	Sh. Sachin Kumar Shah, Deputy Secretary
Respondent by	Regional Director, ERC
Date of Hearing	05.08.2026
Date of Pronouncement	05.08.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **R S Teachers Training College, Plot No.-332, 333, 334, 338, 339, Street No.- 239, Vill-Katras Bazar, Street No.-239, Jharkhand, Dhanbad, Pincode - 828114** dated 23.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **F. No. ER-367.23/NCTE/ERCAPP1178/B.Ed./JH/2025/71186/71191** dated 03.07.2025 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that “The Institution has submitted Fire Safety Certificate dated 23.03.2017 issued by the Karyalaya Aghishthan Agnisham Padadhikari, Dhanbad which is very old.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Sh. Sachin Kumar Shah, Deputy Secretary of R S Teachers Training College, Plot No.-332, 333, 334, 338, 339, Street No.- 239, Vil, katras bazar, Street No.-239, Jharkhand, Dhanbad, Pincode - 828114 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “1) That, prior to this withdrawal order dated 03.07.2025 a Final Show cause Notice was issued under section 17 vide F. No. ER.358.11/ NCTE/ERCAPP1178 /B.Ed./JH/2022 (70216 - 70217) dated 21.02.2025. Wherein mentioned in Para 4 “The Institution is required to submit Fire Safety Certificate issued by the competent authority”. Since there is no provision in the NCTE Act for requirement of Fire Safety Certificate issued by the competent authority. 2) That, the institution has already submitted reply of the Show Cause Notice vide Letter No. RSTTC/2025/11 dated 10.03.2025 along with copy of the Fire Safety Certificate and mentioned therein, Para 5 - “The Fire Safety Certificate in original was submitted vide letter no. RSTTC/2021/14

dated 05.03.2021. Although it is admitted by the authority in its withdrawal order dated 03.07.2025 as below: - Para 6 – And whereas the institution has submitted reply dated 10.03.2025 received in ERC office on 21.03.2025. The matter was placed before 367th meeting of the ERC held on 04th June 2025, and the committee decided as under – The institution has submitted reply to the final show cause notice. After carefully observing the reply to the final show cause notice the ERC concluded the following:- The institution has submitted fire safety certificate dated 23.03.2017 issued by the Karyalaya Aghishthan Agnisham Padadhikari, Dhanbad which is very old. 3. That, the ERC had initially issued the final show cause notice dated 21.02.2025 that, Fire Safety Certificate required to be submitted. Later on, The ERC changed its own stand wherein, it has admitted that the institution had submitted the fire safety certificate, but they added a new thing that the said certificate is very old. As such in view of the facts and circumstances mentioned herein above the Final show cause notice dated 21.02.2025 issued U/Section 17 and the withdrawal order dated 03.07.2025 passed by the ERC U/Section 17(1) is not sustainable in eye of the law.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 12th (Emergent) Meeting, 2026 held on 5th August 2026 considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. programme of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 seats vide order dated 31.08.2013, followed by issuance of revised recognition order for B.Ed. programme of two-year duration with an intake of 100 students (Two basic units) from the academic session 2015-2016 vide order dated 31.05.2015. The Appeal Committee of the council vide order dated 12.07.2022 concluded to remand

back the case to ERC with direction mentioned therein. The recognition of the institution for B.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the ERC vide order dated 03.07.2025.

The Appeal Committee noted that the instant appeal was earlier considered in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held on 17.12.2025. The appellant had, inter alia, contended that the height of its building was 13.8 metres and, relying upon an engineer's certificate, claimed exemption from the requirement of obtaining a Fire Safety No Objection Certificate (NOC). The Committee had observed that Clause 6.1(v) of the Norms and Standards under the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 mandates that "adequate safeguards against fire hazards shall be provided in all parts of the building" and had held that institutional assertions or self-certified claims could not substitute compliance certified by the competent Fire Safety Authority. As the appellant institution had neither produced a valid and subsisting Fire Safety NOC nor any certificate issued by the competent Fire Safety Authority granting exemption, the Appeal Committee had upheld the withdrawal order dated 03.07.2025 passed by the Eastern Regional Committee.

The Committee further noted that the appellant institution subsequently challenged the said appellate order before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3084/2026. The Hon'ble High Court, vide order dated 29.07.2026 in CM APPL. No. 48833/2026 and W.P.(C) No. 3084/2026, observed that the appellant institution has obtained a Fire Safety No Objection Certificate dated 28.05.2026 and directed the Appeal Committee to reconsider the representation of the appellant institution afresh and pass a speaking order in view of the changed circumstances. The operative portion of the order is reproduced below: -

CM APPL. 48833/2026

1. This is an application filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 151 of CPC, 1908 on behalf of the petitioner seeking to place on record the NOC received by the petitioner from the fire department dated 28.05.2026.
2. For the reasons stated in the application, issue notice.
3. Mr. Rupal, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

4. Since the recognition of the petitioner was rejected primarily on the ground that the petitioner did not have the requisite fire safety NOC, and now that the petitioner has obtained the same, it would be in the fitness of things that the appeal committee considers the representation of the petitioner afresh and passes a speaking order in view of the changed circumstances.
5. Further, since the academic session is at stake, the appeal committee is directed to consider and dispose of the appeal expeditiously, and not later than 2 weeks from today.

W.P.(C) 3084/2026

6. In view of the above directions, the petition is also disposed of.
7. Hence, the next date of hearing, i.e., 18.11.2026 stands cancelled.

Accordingly, in compliance with the aforesaid judicial directions and in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, the Appeal Committee proceeded to reconsider the matter. The Committee observed that the Fire Safety No Objection Certificate dated 28.05.2026 constitutes subsequent material which was not available for consideration when the earlier appellate order dated 23.01.2026 was passed. The authenticity, validity, applicability and regulatory sufficiency of the said Fire Safety No Objection Certificate, together with its effect on the deficiencies recorded in the impugned order, necessarily require factual verification by the Eastern Regional Committee, being the statutory authority competent to examine compliance under the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended).

The Appeal Committee, upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, and the submissions advanced during the hearing, records that at the appellate stage under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, the appellant institution has placed on record certain documents and a compliance report in purported rectification of the deficiencies noted in the impugned order. However, it is evident that a substantial portion of such material was either not placed before the concerned Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order or has not undergone due verification and authentication by the competent authority in accordance with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended from time

to time. Under the statutory scheme of the NCTE Act, 1993 read with the aforesaid Regulations, grant, continuation, or modification of recognition is contingent upon strict, demonstrable, and contemporaneous compliance with the prescribed norms and standards relating to land, building, instructional and infrastructural facilities, duly qualified and approved faculty, and other regulatory parameters, which must be established through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence. Mere assertions, undertakings, or reliance upon unverified documents cannot displace or invalidate the findings recorded by the Regional Committee in exercise of its statutory jurisdiction. The Appeal Committee reiterates that the statutory burden to establish compliance rests squarely upon the applicant institution, and no vested, accrued, or equitable right arises merely upon submission of representations or additional documents unless such compliance is established in accordance with law at the time of consideration. The regulatory framework does not contemplate post facto regularization on the basis of unverified, incomplete, or subsequently produced material. At the same time, the Committee notes that the deficiencies recorded in the impugned order are predominantly factual in nature and are capable of objective verification within the statutory framework. The additional documents placed on record during appellate proceedings are relevant to the issues under consideration and, in the interest of procedural fairness, warrant examination by the competent authority. In this context, the Committee takes note of the principle laid down by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016], wherein it has been held that documents produced at the appellate stage may be examined for the limited purpose of verification, subject to scrutiny by the competent authority.

The Appeal Committee also took note of the observations of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) 4382/2021 dated 08.04.2021, wherein it was observed: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

The Appeal Committee further noted the observations of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) 7260/2021 dated 30.07.2021, wherein it was observed: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, having regard to the above, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, is of the considered view that limited interference is warranted solely for the purpose of ensuring factual verification and regulatory scrutiny of the appellant institution's claim of compliance. Such interference is confined to ensuring procedural fairness and regulatory consistency and shall not be construed as dilution of the mandatory statutory requirements or as any expression on the merits of the appellant's claims. Accordingly, the impugned order dated 03.07.2025 is set aside and the matter is remanded to the Eastern Regional Committee for fresh consideration and comprehensive re-examination of the appellant institution's claim of compliance. The appellant institution shall, within fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of this order, submit to the concerned Regional Committee a duly notarized affidavit affirming the authenticity, correctness, and completeness of all documents relied upon, along with certified copies of all approvals, certificates, and statutory documents issued by the competent authorities in support of its claim. The concerned Regional Committee shall examine the entire record strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), verify the authenticity and adequacy of the documents for the purpose of ascertaining factual and regulatory compliance. Thereafter, the Regional Committee shall pass a reasoned and speaking order strictly in accordance with law, uninfluenced by any observations contained herein, within the prescribed timeframe. The Regional Committee, being the custodian of records, shall ensure authenticity, completeness, and proper verification of all documents before passing any consequential order and shall ensure time-bound

disposal of the matter. It is expressly clarified that this remand is confined to ensuring due verification and regulatory scrutiny and shall not be construed as conferring any presumption, equity, or vested right in favour of the appellant institution. Failure to establish strict and complete compliance with the prescribed statutory requirements shall entail rejection in accordance with law, without any further opportunity.

IV. DECISION: -

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, and the submissions made by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, sets aside the impugned order dated 03.07.2025 and remands the matter to the Eastern Regional Committee for fresh consideration and re-examination in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), subject to the directions contained herein. The appellant institution shall submit the requisite documents within fifteen (15) days, whereupon the Regional Committee shall proceed in accordance with law and pass a reasoned and speaking order within the stipulated timeframe.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to: -

1. The Principal, R S Teachers Training College, Plot No.-332, 333, 334, 338, 339, Street No.-239, Vill-Katras Bazar, Street No.-239, Dhanbad, Jharkhand – 828114.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Kartavya Bhawan 2, Central Secretariat, New Delhi-110001.
3. The Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher and Technical Education, 3rd Floor, Yojana Bhawan, Nepal House, Doranda Ranchi, Jharkhand – 834002.