



**एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date – 11.06.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
 89-191/E-409159/2026 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026  
 APPLWRC202615654/E-90207

Smt. Kamla Devi Gauridutt Mittal Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Survey no. 331, Village - Sardarshahar, Churu, Rajasthan -331403	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G- 7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Representative of the Institution</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	Regional Director, WRC
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	01 <sup>st</sup> & 2 <sup>nd</sup> June 2026
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	11.06.2026

## आदेश/ ORDER

### I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Smt. Kamla Devi Gauridutt Mittal Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Survey no. 331, Village - Sardarshahar, Churu, Rajasthan -331403** dated 30.04.2026 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2627202510033629/ RAJASTHAN/2025/REJC/ 292** dated 24.04.2026 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "i. The name of the applicant institution is Smt. Kamla Devi Gauridutt Mittal Mahila Mahavidyalaya, and the name of Multidisciplinary institution is Smt. Kamla Devi Gauridutt Mittal Mahila (PG) Mahavidyalaya. There is a difference in the names of the two institutions. ii. In the Land Details Built Up area mentioned in the Affidavit (in sq m) is 6861. The institution is running multidisciplinary and teacher education programme courses in the same campus/premises i.e., B.A. 320x3=960, B.Com. 160x3=480, B.Sc.140x3=420. M.A.40x2=80, M.Com. 40x2=80, M.Sc. 40x2=80, PGDCA 40x2=80. ABST course approved capacity not mentioned. The sufficiency of land and built-up area for the above courses with of multidisciplinary courses and intake for B.A. B.Ed. Secondary (2 unit), Middle (2 unit), B.Sc. B.Ed. Secondary (2 unit), Middle (2 unit) course cannot be ascertained. The land is not sufficient to run the above courses. iii. From the uploaded document, which is not legible it is difficult to understand whether it is Sale Deed/Gift Deed/Lease Deed. Certified copy registered land documents along with schedule of the property having Survey No.331 is not mentioned in the uploaded Document. iv. The Uploaded Mutation certificate is not proper. The institution has not uploaded Mutation (Jamabandi) Certificate mentioning all Khasra/Plot/Survey No. issued by Competent Authority of State Government. v. In the uploaded Land use Certificate (CLU) For Educational Purpose is not mentioned Survey No.331. vi. Survey No. is not mentioned in the uploaded building plan. Details of built-up area provided for infrastructural facilities regarding academic wing, administrative wing and other amenities are not reflected in the building plan uploaded by the institution as per ITEP Regulations, 2021. vii. The institution is required to submit the de-marketed site plan duly approved by the competent authority of the state government earmarking the land & built-up area for ITEP course and other courses/ school being run in the premises, as per the Guidelines issued by the Government of Rajasthan for available on <https://hte.rajasthan.gov.in/dept/dce/uploads/doc/NOCpolicy202122.pdf>. viii. The institution has not uploaded Not-for-Profit Certificate issued by the concerned govt. competent authority. ix. In the geotagged photo uploaded, the name of the applicant college does not appear at the main entrance. The uploaded geotagged photo shows the name of a different college. And another side of building shows the applicant college name. May be so many institutions are running in

the same premises. x. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the seating capacity of Library reading room is not sufficient as per NCTE norms. xi. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the Multipurpose Hall is not as per NCTE norms furniture in the multipurpose hall is inadequate xii. ICT related facilities are not visible in the uploaded geotagged photographs. xiii. Playgrounds and sports facility related facilities are not visible in the uploaded geotagged photographs. xiv. The institution has not uploaded Exemption Certificate (12A) issued by Income Tax Department instead of that it has uploaded Form 10AC.15. Building safety is in the name of Smt. Kamla Devi Gauridutt Mittal Mahila (PG) Mahavidyalaya not in the applicant institutions name. xvi. The institution has not uploaded latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) issued by Competent Authority of State Government. xvii. The uploaded teaching staff list is not as per NCTE norms and not in the prescribed format of NCTE. xviii. It cannot be ascertained from the bank statement uploaded by the institution that the salary is being paid as per Central/State Govt. The institution has not been able to prove/confirm whether it is paying salary to the teaching staff as per Central/State Govt norms. xix. The website of the institution has not been compliance as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 of para 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) as amended from time to time. The institution is required to update the website as per NCTE regulations.”

## **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Representative of Smt. Kamla Devi Gauridutt Mittal Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Survey no. 331, Village - Sardarshahar, Churu, Rajasthan -331403** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “1. The name of the applicant institution is Smt. Kamla Devi Gauridutt Mittal Mahila Mahavidyalaya. Since the institution has post graduate program (Master of Arts, Master of Commerce and Master of Science in Geography, ABST and Chemistry respectively) therefore al use of term (PG) has been added making it Smt. Kamla Devi Gauridutt Mittal Mahila (PG) Mahavidyalaya. The use of term "PG" in the name of the institution does not make it a different institution nor it can be ascribed as an institution other than the one for which it has submitted application as a multidisciplinary institution. 2. The institution has more than sufficient land measuring 7925.938 sq. mt. and 43246.40 sq. mt. as playground and for other educational activities for the institution purpose in the name of applicant trust namely Mittal Charities Branch Sardarshahar (Churu-Rajasthan). The supporting document in the form of (1) Registered Gift Deed dated 04.05.1982 and 14.08.1984 (2) Registered Sale deed dated 10.04.2017 and its educational purpose conversation order dated 24.12.2018 are uploaded with the appeal. 3. The land document is a Gift deed dated 04.05.1982 and 14.08.1984 as also sale deed 10.04.2017 with the details as shown in the point number two above. 4. The

Mutation Certificate has been uploaded in the portal. This certificate is issued by the competent government authority Executive Officer, Municipal Board, Sardarshahar (Competent Revenue Authority). It clearly mentions Survey No. 331 and certifies that the land is duly mutated in the name of Mittal Charities - Mumbai, Branch -Sardarshahar, who is the absolute legal owner and is running the institution. The documents as issued by the competent government authority has been uploaded in the appeal. 5. Government of Rajasthan vide letter file number 1746 date 01.05.2017 issued the certificate of land use in respect of the land being use by the institution for running educational courses including purposed ITEP. Copy of the said certificate is uploaded with this appeal. 6. The approved building plan having explicit mention of total land area, built-up area, name of applicant institution, survey number as also other details, as approved by Nagar Parishad Sardarshahar is attached with this appeal. 7. Though the demarcated site plan duly approved by the competent authority has been uploaded in the application and thereafter with the reply to the show cause notice. However, a copy of the same is again uploaded with this appeal. 8. The certificate issued by competent authority wide their letter dated 31.01.2025 has been uploaded with the ITEP application and the same is again uploaded with this appeal. 9. Fresh geotagged photos are uploaded. 10. The size of the library is 2500 sq. ft. Out of which 1000 sq. ft. area has been exclusive seating and reading purpose. Which has been demarcated in the building plan uploaded. 11. Fresh geotagged photos are uploaded. 12. Fresh geotagged photos are uploaded. 13. Fresh geotagged photos are uploaded. 14. Form 10AC is the current prescribed official order/format for grant of registration and exemption under Section 12A of the Income Tax Act, 1961. The document uploaded by the institution is the official Order for provisional registration under Section 12A issued by the Income Tax Department. It explicitly mentions registration under Section 12A and is the valid and current Exemption. Certificate / 12A Registration Order. The same has been uploaded with this appeal. 15. The clarification in the respect of this point has already been given under point number one above. 16. The Latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) dated 07.11.2025 issued by the Competent Authority of State Government (Tehsildar, Sardarshahar), clearly mentioning Survey No. 331 (Old), 292 (New), 139/57 (Old Khasra) (New Khasra 127/72) and confirming the land is free from all encumbrances. The same is again uploaded with this appeal. 17. The faculty qualifications as prescribed in the norms for ITEP by NCTE have been appointed and uploaded. The uploaded list of staff is duly reverified by the HEI Principal, because the University approved the staff list in their format only. 18. The institution has been paying each faculty member salary in their bank account. In this regard advise letter to send to the bank with accounts detail to the branch manager by the secretary of the trust. Copy of the letter to the branch manager to payment of the salary to Teach of the faculty is uploaded with this appeal. 19. The college website has been fully updated and brought into complete compliance with NCTE Regulations, 2014 (Paras 7(14) (i),

8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) as amended from time to time). All mandatory disclosures, including Recognition Order, land & building details, staff profile, infrastructure, mandatory disclosure format, and other required information, are properly displayed and easily accessible on the website. The detail of functional website in the form of screenshot of the website are uploaded with the appeal." The appellant institution also submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in compliance with the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 24.04.2026. In the affidavit, the institution undertook/submitted that it complies with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, NCTE Regulations, 2014 and the Guidelines for Transformation into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions and that all documents furnished are genuine and verifiable. The institution clarified that the addition of "(PG)" in the name of the multidisciplinary institution is only indicative of postgraduate programmes and does not denote a different institution. It submitted that sufficient land and built-up area are available and relied upon registered gift deeds, sale deed, conversion order, mutation certificate, CLU certificate, approved building plan, demarcated site plan and latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate. The institution further stated that certified land records and survey details have been furnished. It submitted that the Not-for-Profit Certificate, 12A registration document (Form 10AC) and other statutory approvals stand uploaded. The institution also submitted fresh geo-tagged photographs relating to the entrance, library, multipurpose hall, ICT facilities and sports infrastructure. It stated that the library, instructional facilities and infrastructure are available as per norms. The institution further submitted that faculty have been appointed as per prescribed qualifications and salaries are being paid through bank accounts. It was also submitted that the institutional website has been updated to comply with the disclosure requirements under the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution reiterated that all compliances remain valid and operative and sought consideration of the appeal on the basis of the documents placed on record.

### III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

**The Appeal Committee, in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026 held online on 01<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 05.10.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 24.04.2026.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution that the multidisciplinary institution was Smt. Kamla Devi Gauridutt Mittal Mahila Mahavidyalaya and that the addition of the expression "(PG)" on account of conduct of postgraduate programmes in Arts, Commerce and Science did not alter the identity of the institution. The institution submitted that sufficient land measuring 7,925.938 sq. mtr. and 43,246.40 sq. mtr. for playground and allied educational purposes was available in the name of Mittal Charities, Branch Sardarshahar, supported by registered gift deeds, sale deed and conversion order. It was further submitted that mutation, CLU, approved building plan, demarcated site plan, competency certificate and latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate had been furnished through documents issued by the competent authorities. The institution also submitted that fresh geotagged photographs had been uploaded, the library contained a separate reading area of 1,000 sq. ft. within the total library area of 2,500 sq. ft., and requisite infrastructural facilities were available. The institution further submitted that Form 10AC constituted the valid registration/exemption order under Section 12A of the Income Tax Act, 1961. It was also stated that faculty possessing qualifications prescribed for ITEP had been appointed, salary payments were being made through banking channels, and the institutional website had been updated in compliance with the disclosure requirements under the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in response to the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 24.04.2026. The institution submitted that the addition of "(PG)" in its nomenclature did not denote a separate institution and was only indicative of the postgraduate programmes being conducted. It further placed on record land and infrastructure documents, including revenue records, CLU, mutation records, approved building plan, demarcated site plan and NEC, asserting availability of the requisite land and built-up area. The institution also furnished statutory approvals, Form 10AC and updated geo-tagged photographs relating to institutional facilities. It was further submitted that qualified faculty had been appointed, salaries were being disbursed through banking channels, and the institutional website had been updated in accordance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

The Appeal Committee, upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the impugned order, the Appeal Report, the records available on file, and the submissions advanced during the hearing, observes that the impugned decision of the concerned Regional Committee is founded upon findings of non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended from time to time, read with the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025. The

deficiencies recorded therein pertain to core statutory requirements governing eligibility, including fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status, and are foundational to consideration of recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP). At the appellate stage under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, the appellant institution has placed on record certain additional documents along with a compliance report purportedly in rectification of the deficiencies noted by the Regional Committee. As regards the submissions of the appellant institution that the expression "(PG)" was added to the nomenclature of Smt. Kamla Devi Gauridutt Mittal Mahila Mahavidyalaya only on account of the conduct of postgraduate programmes, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the mere addition of the suffix "(PG)", in itself, cannot be construed as establishing the existence of a separate institution or a change in the identity of the applicant institution, in the absence of any material indicating a change in its management, ownership, location or affiliating status. The Western Regional Committee may, therefore, re-examine this aspect with reference to the records available and consider the claim accordingly.

The Appeal Committee also noted that a substantial portion of such material was either not placed before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order or has not undergone verification and authentication by the competent authority in accordance with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended. The Committee is further of the considered view that eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) is contingent upon the institution being a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the provisions and norms prescribed by the NCTE. Further, while processing and considering applications for ITEP, it is incumbent upon the concerned Regional Committee to ensure that the infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and found to be in conformity with the norms and regulations prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to compliance with the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. Such examination shall necessarily include verification of the availability and adequacy of land area and built-up area of the institution in its capacity as a Multidisciplinary Institution intending to offer the ITEP programme, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, and other applicable statutory requirements, taking into account the land and built-up area requirements prescribed by the respective competent/statutory authority for the programmes already established and proposed to be offered by the institution. It is a settled position that compliance with recognition norms is required to be established through contemporaneous, duly authenticated, and verifiable documentary evidence, and the burden of establishing strict and continuous

compliance rests squarely upon the applicant institution. Mere assertions, post facto submissions, or unverified documents cannot, by themselves, displace or invalidate the findings recorded by the Regional Committee. In this context, the Committee also takes note of the principle enunciated by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in ***Rambha College of Education v. NCTE, W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016***, wherein it has been recognised that subsequent material and developments brought on record during the pendency of appellate proceedings cannot be disregarded merely on the ground that the same were not before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order. The Hon'ble Court has recognised that such material may be considered by the Appellate Authority and, where warranted, the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law. At the same time, the Committee emphasises that consideration of additional material at the appellate stage does not ipso facto establish regulatory compliance or confer validity upon such documents, and that any such material is necessarily required to be subjected to strict factual verification and regulatory scrutiny in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended.

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire matter, and having regard to the aforesaid judicial pronouncements and the factual matrix of the present case, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in furtherance of the principles of fairness and due process, deems it appropriate to set aside the impugned order dated 24.04.2026 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC), strictly for the limited purpose of factual re-examination of the appellant institution's claim regarding fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status and other deficiencies identified in the impugned order. The appellant institution shall submit complete, duly authenticated, contemporaneous, and verifiable documentary evidence before the concerned Regional Committee within fifteen (15) days from the date of issuance of this order. Upon receipt thereof, the Regional Committee shall examine the matter strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, and the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, including verification of the institution's eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP), which is contingent upon the institution qualifying as a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE, and ensuring that infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and conform to the norms prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory

authority, in addition to the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. The Regional Committee shall thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order strictly in accordance with law and uninfluenced by any observations made herein. It is clarified that the present remand is limited in scope and shall not create any presumption, equity, or vested right in favour of the appellant institution.

#### IV. DECISION: -

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, and the submissions made by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 24.04.2026 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for fresh consideration and factual re-examination, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, subject to the directions contained hereinabove. The appellant institution shall submit the requisite documents within fifteen (15) days, whereupon the Regional Committee shall proceed in accordance with law and pass a reasoned and speaking order within a reasonable timeframe.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Smt. Kamla Devi Gauridutt Mittal Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Survey no. 331, Village - Sardarshahar, Churu, Rajasthan -331403.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. The Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date – 12.06.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-195/E-409333/2026 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026**

**APPLWRC202615660 ✓**

Jambukhand Teacher Training Institute, Plot No. 3, Village-Chhota Hamirpura, P.O - Kalinjara, Taluka/Mandal-Bagidora, District-Banswara, Rajasthan-327606	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Mr. Chandraveer Singh, Secretary</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	Regional Director, WRC
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	01st & 2nd June 2026
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	12.06.2026

## आदेश/ ORDER

### I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Jambukhand Teacher Training Institute, Plot No. 3, Village-Chhota Hamirpura, P.O - Kalinjara, Taluka/Mandal-Bagidora, District-Banswara, Rajasthan-327606** dated 12.05.2026 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. NCTE/ WRC/2627202510033620/RAJASTHAN/2025/REJC/514** dated 17.04.2026 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "1. The name of the applicant institution is Jambukhand Teacher Training Institute and the name of the multidisciplinary college is Jambukhand College. There is a difference in the names of the two institutions. 2. Committee noted that, Institution is not located in the geographic address given in the online portal. 3. The institution has not uploaded Not-for-Profit Certificate issued by the concerned govt. competent authority. 4. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the seating capacity of Library reading room is not sufficient as per NCTE norms. 5. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the Multipurpose Hall is not as per NCTE norms. There is not furniture in the multipurpose hall. 6. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the college does not have adequate playgrounds and sports facility. 7. Computer labs and ICT related facilities are not visible in the uploaded geotagged photographs. 8. The website of the institution has not been compliance as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 of para 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) as amended from time to time. The institution is required to update the website as per NCTE regulations. 9. The validity of Fire Safety Certificate is 27/02/2025 and plot area is only 500. The institution has not uploaded the Fire Safety certificate. 10. Committee noted that, College Khasra Numbers/plot numbers and other things are not mentioned in the Uploaded building safety certificate no. 1188 issued on dated 25/10/2023. The institution is required to upload Building Safety Certificate in adherence of safety guidelines as prescribed by National/State Disaster Management Authority issued by Competent Government Authority. 11. As per the Building plan and BCC uploaded, the institution has shown the entire built-up area of 2500 as earmarked for ITEP course. If the entire land area and built-up area is designated for Teacher Education Programmes, it remains unclear where the institution is conducting its Multi-Disciplinary Courses. The institution is required to submit the de-marketed site plan duly approved by the competent authority of the state government earmarking the land & built-up area for ITEP course and other courses/ school being run in the premises, as per the Guidelines issued by the Government of Rajasthan for available on <https://hte.rajasthan.gov.in/dept/dce/uploads/doc/NOCpolicy202122.pdf>. 12. The institution has not uploaded Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of State Government mentioning the name of institution, Khasra/Plot/Survey No. and mentioning the total land area and total built-up area.

But earmarked for each course being run in the premises and the demarcated land and built-up area for the teacher education programmes including multi-disciplinary programmes. 13. It cannot be ascertained from the bank statement uploaded by the institution that the salary is being paid as per Central/State Govt. The institution has not been able to prove/confirm whether it is paying salary to the teaching staff as per Central/State Govt norms. 14. The institution has not uploaded the list of teaching staff in the prescribed format of NCTE and teaching staff should be as per NCTE norms. 15. In the Land Details Built Up area mentioned in the Affidavit (in sq. m) is 2536.36. The institution is running B.A.80x3=240 B.Sc. 70x3=210 and other teacher education programme/ courses in the same campus/premises i.e., The sufficiency of land and built-up area for the above courses with of and intake for B.A. B.Ed. Secondary (1 unit), B.Sc. B.Ed. Secondary (1unit), course cannot be ascertained. The built-up area is not sufficient to run the above courses.”

## II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

**Mr. Chandraveer Singh, Secretary of Jambukhand Teacher Training Institute, Plot No. 3, Village-Chhota Hamirpura, P.O - Kalinjara, Taluka/Mandal-Bagidora, District-Banswara, Rajasthan-327606** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “1. 1. The appellant Jambukhand Shiksha Prasar Samiti, Chhota Hamirpura, District Banswara was granted Recognition for conducting 4year integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed vide recognition Order dated 9/3/17 as per terms of Appendix 13 of NCTE Regulation 2014 under the name "Jambukhand Teacher Training Institute, Chhota Hamirpura, Bagidora, Banswara. 2. That the NCTE Regulations 2014 were amended whereby the Appendix 13 was omitted and NCTE Amended Regulations, 2022 were introduced. All the existing institution running 4-year integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. were required to apply for transition to ITEP course. The Petitioner institution also applied for transition and was allotted 2627202510033620 number. 3. The application of the appellant institution was rejected by WRC in its 456 Meeting on the following grounds: (i). The name of the applicant institution is Jambukhand Teacher Training Institute, and the name of the multidisciplinary college is Jambukhand College. There is a difference in the names of the two institutions. (i)i. Committee noted that, Institution is not located in the geographic address given in the online portal. (iii). The institution has not uploaded Not-for-Profit Certificate issued by the concerned govt. competent authority. (iv). The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the seating capacity of Library reading room is not sufficient as per NCTE norms. (v). The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the Multipurpose Hall is not as per NCTE norms. There is not furniture in the multipurpose hall. (vi). The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the college does not have adequate

playgrounds and sports facility. (vii). Computer labs and ICT related facilities are not visible in the uploaded geotagged photographs. viii. The website of the institution has not been compliance as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 of para 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) as amended from time to time. The institution is required to update the website as per NCTE regulations. ix. The validity of Fire Safety Certificate is 27/02/2025 and plot area is only 500. The institution has not uploaded the Fire Safety certificate. x. Committee noted that, College Khasra Numbers/plot numbers and other things are not mentioned in the Uploaded building safety certificate no. 1188 issued on dated 25/10/2023. The institution is required to upload Building Safety Certificate in adherence of safety guidelines as prescribed by National/State Disaster Management Authority issued by Competent Government Authority. xi. As per the Building plan and BCC uploaded, the institution has shown the entire built-up area of 2500 as earmarked for ITEP course. If the entire land area and built-up area is designated for Teacher Education Programmes, it remains unclear where the institution is conducting its Multi-Disciplinary Courses. The institution is required to submit the de-marketed site plan duly approved by the competent Authority of the state government earmarking the land & built-up area for ITEP course and other courses/ school being run in the premises, as per the Guidelines issued by the Government of Rajasthan for available on <https://hte.rajasthan.gov.in/dept/dce/uploads/doc/NOCpolicy202122.pdf>. xii. The institution has not uploaded Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of State Government mentioning the name of institution, Khasra/Plot/Survey No. and mentioning the total land area and total built-up area. But earmarked for each course being run in the premises and the demarcated land and built-up area for the teacher education programmes including multi-disciplinary programmes. xiii. It cannot be ascertained from the bank statement uploaded by the institution that the salary is being paid as per Central/State Govt. The institution has not been able to prove/confirm whether it is paying salary to the teaching staff as per Central/State Govt norms. xiv. The institution has not uploaded the list of teaching staff in the prescribed format of NCTE and teaching staff should be as per NCTE norms land and built-up area for the above courses with of and intake for B.A. B.Ed. Secondary (1 unit), B.Sc. B.Ed. Secondary (1 unit), course cannot be ascertained. The built-up area is not sufficient to run the above courses. Hence, application is rejected on the grounds of not eligible for processing as mentioned through online transition application. 4. That aggrieved by decision of rejection by WRC the appellant submits present appeal under section 18 on following grounds: - (A) Because the refusal order is bad, arbitrary, perverse and illegal and thus same cannot be sustained in the eyes of law. (B) Because now the institution fulfills all the deficiencies pointed out by the WRC which are as under: (i). Although both the colleges Jambukhand Teacher Training Institute and Jambukhand College are run under the same society and share the same campus but as their names are distinct, the appellant institution has merged with other

institutions to fulfil all the essential characteristics of a "Multidisciplinary Institution" as envisaged in the amended NCTE Regulations, 2014. We are hereby attaching all the documents required for merger i.e., memorandum of merger duly signed by society, the affiliation order of degree college, NOC from University dated 5/5/26 and NOC from State government is under process, Distance certificate, Letter from University certifying that Both the Institutions are affiliated with the same University. ii. The Institution is located on the given address only. As per Online application the latitude and longitude is 23.35' and 74.31. All the geotag photographs also show the same latitude and longitude. We are also hereby attaching the latitude and longitude after pinpointing the location of Institution on google maps. iii. The society was established as a non-profit making entity. There is a clause in The Memorandum of Articles of the society specifically stating that society is non-profit making entity is working on No profit basis. We are attaching herewith the Memorandum of society. iv. As per NCTE norms there is no prescribed Number of Chairs, however institution has enough place to accommodate Large Number of students. Geo-tagged photographs of Library and Reading Rooms showing the Sitting capacity are attached v. Multipurpose Hall adequate furniture having sitting capacity of around 200 people. Geotagged photographs of the same are attached herewith. vi. Institution has sufficient space for Playground which is well maintained. Photographs are attached herewith. vii. Photographs of Computer lab are attached herewith. viii. The address of website given in refusal order is wrong and is not of the institution. The Website of the institution <http://jambukhandttcollege.in> has been operational as per the requirement of NCTE regulation 2014 as amended from time to time, which can be verified at any time. ix. The Land Area in Fire safety certificate has been updated which can be verified online. The fire safety certificate is attached herewith. x. We are hereby attaching the latest Building safety certificate issued by the appropriate authority. xi. The are mentioned in application form is not of the total building it is only mentioned for the ITEP programme. The total Built up area of the institution is around 6049 sq mtr. The area earmarked for ITEP course is 3547 Sq mtr. As per norms the area Required for running 2 units of ITEP course is 2400 sq mtr of Built-up area. The institution is having sufficient built-up area for running these courses together xii. The building plan of the institution shows two Blocks -Block A and Block B. As the Building Plan shows that the Block A having Built up area of 2502.18 sq mtr is used for Liberal (Degree) Course and Block B having Built up area of 3547.75 sq mtr to be used for 4 ITEP Course and have other shared facilities The building plan and BCC earmarking the built-up area for ITEP course is attached herewith. xiii. The Salary is being paid as per Norms and statement of it is attached herewith. xiv. List of teaching staff duly countersigned by the affiliating University is duly attached herewith. xv. We are hereby submitting the land affidavit stating the total land area and Built-up Area. Even though we already had submitted these documents with WRC however for sake

of Convenience we are hereby attaching all the documents to fulfil the shortcomings pointed in Rejection order, for Your Kind Consideration. (C) Because, the details of the application form itself speaks that institution is multidisciplinary which shows that committee has not applied any mind and straight away, without going into the wholesomeness of documents rejected the application of the appellant institution which is liable to be quashed. (D) Because of the judicial pronouncement of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court in the matter of Rambha College of Education Vs NCTE (W.P (C). 3231/2016, judgement dated 23.02.2017 mandates the appeal committee to consider the subsequent documents submitted before it along with the appeal. (E) Because the appellate institute has removed all the deficiencies pointed by the WRC in its Refusal Order, therefore the refusal order should be set aside. (F) Because the appeal has to be filled within 60 days of the communication of the rejection order. If any delay, that may be condoned as there is no wilful delay on the part of appellant. PRAYER - Therefore, it is humbly prayed and requested that the appellant authority may graciously be pleased to accept and allow instant appeal and the same may be considered and decided on merits. The decision of refusal may kindly be set aside and quashed. WRC may be directed to accept institution as multi-disciplinary institutions and process the application of appellant for transmission of 4 Year integrated course to ITEP course. Any other order or direction that may be fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case be passed in favour of appellant.

The appellant institution also submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in compliance with the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 17.04.2026. In the affidavit, the institution undertook/submitted that it complies with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, NCTE Regulations, 2014, applicable Norms and Standards, and the Guidelines for Transformation into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions, and that all documents furnished are genuine and verifiable. The institution submitted that the requirement/recommendation certificate issued by the State Government and approvals relating to the merger between Jambukhand Teacher Training Institute and Jambukhand College by the affiliating university have been furnished. It further stated that geo-tagged photographs and location details, including latitude and longitude coordinates and Google map identification of the campus, have been placed on record. The institution submitted that the society is a non-profit entity and relied upon the relevant provisions of the society's memorandum. It also furnished geo-tagged photographs of the multipurpose hall, library, reading room, laboratories, playground, computer laboratory and other infrastructural facilities, stating that these are available as per prescribed norms. The institution submitted that the website has been updated and contains the mandatory disclosures required under the NCTE Regulations, 2014. It further stated that valid Fire Safety and Building Safety Certificates issued by the competent authorities have been furnished. The institution submitted that sufficient built-up area is available for the proposed ITEP and other programmes and placed

on record the approved building plan and land affidavit indicating the earmarked areas. It also furnished salary records evidencing payment through banking channels and the teaching staff list duly countersigned by the affiliating body in the prescribed format.

### III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

**The Appeal Committee, in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026 held online on 01<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 04.10.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 17.04.2026.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution that Jambukhand Shiksha Prasara Samiti, Chhota Hamirpura, District Banswara had been granted recognition for the 4-Year Integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. Programme vide order dated 09.03.2017 under the name "Jambukhand Teacher Training Institute" and had subsequently applied for transition to ITEP pursuant to the NCTE (Amendment) Regulations, 2022. The institution submitted that its transition application was rejected by the WRC on grounds relating to multidisciplinary status, geographic location, not-for-profit status, adequacy of library, multipurpose hall, playground and ICT facilities, website compliance, fire safety and building safety certificates, earmarking of land and built-up area, approved building plan, salary payment, teaching staff particulars and sufficiency of built-up area. In response, the institution submitted that Jambukhand Teacher Training Institute and Jambukhand College, though run by the same society on the same campus, had been merged to fulfil the multidisciplinary requirements, and Memorandum of Merger, affiliation documents, University NOC dated 05.05.2026, distance certificate and a University certificate confirming affiliation of both institutions had been furnished, while the State Government NOC was stated to be under process. It was further submitted that the institution was situated at the declared location and updated latitude and longitude details supported by geotagged photographs and Google Maps evidence had been provided. The institution relied upon the Memorandum of Association to establish its not-for-profit character. It was

stated that geotagged photographs evidencing the library and reading room, multipurpose hall with seating for approximately 200 persons, playground and sports facilities, and computer laboratory/ICT facilities had been furnished. The institution submitted that its website, namely <http://jambukhandttcollege.in>, was functional and compliant with the NCTE Regulations, 2014. It was further stated that the Fire Safety Certificate and Building Safety Certificate had been updated and uploaded. The institution submitted that the total built-up area of the campus was approximately 6,049 sq. mtrs., of which 3,547 sq. mtrs. had been earmarked for ITEP, while the approved Building Plan and Building Completion Certificate reflected Block A with 2,502.18 sq. mtrs. for degree programmes and Block B with 3,547.75 sq. mtrs. for ITEP along with shared facilities. The institution further submitted that salary statements evidencing payment in accordance with applicable norms, a countersigned list of teaching staff, and an affidavit regarding total land and built-up area had also been furnished in support of the appeal. The Appeal Committee also noted that the appellant institution submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in response to the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 17.04.2026. The institution submitted that the requirement certificate issued by the State Government and the merger approvals granted by the affiliating university in respect of Jambukhand Teacher Training Institute and Jambukhand College establish its multidisciplinary status. It further placed on record location details and geo-tagged photographs of the campus and infrastructural facilities, and clarified its not-for-profit character with reference to the society's memorandum. The institution also furnished the approved building plan, land affidavit, Fire Safety and Building Safety Certificates, asserting the availability of adequate infrastructure for the proposed programmes. It was further submitted that the website had been updated in accordance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and that the prescribed teaching staff particulars and salary records evidencing payment through banking channels had been placed on record.

The Appeal Committee, upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the impugned order, the Appeal Report, the records available on file, and the submissions advanced during the hearing, observes that the impugned decision of the concerned Regional Committee is founded upon findings of non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended from time to time, read with the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated

15.05.2025. The deficiencies recorded therein pertain to core statutory requirements governing eligibility, including fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status, and are foundational to consideration of recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP). At the appellate stage under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, the appellant institution has placed on record certain additional documents along with a compliance report purportedly in rectification of the deficiencies noted by the Regional Committee. The Appeal Committee notes that a substantial portion of such material was either not placed before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order or has not undergone verification and authentication by the competent authority in accordance with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended. The Committee is further of the considered view that eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) is contingent upon the institution being a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the provisions and norms prescribed by the NCTE. Further, while processing and considering applications for ITEP, it is incumbent upon the concerned Regional Committee to ensure that the infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and found to be in conformity with the norms and regulations prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to compliance with the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. Such examination shall necessarily include verification of the availability and adequacy of land area and built-up area of the institution in its capacity as a Multidisciplinary Institution intending to offer the ITEP programme, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, and other applicable statutory requirements, taking into account the land and built-up area requirements prescribed by the respective competent/statutory authority for the programmes already established and proposed to be offered by the institution. It is a settled position that compliance with recognition norms is required to be established through contemporaneous, duly authenticated, and verifiable documentary evidence, and the burden of establishing strict and continuous compliance rests squarely upon the applicant institution. Mere assertions, post facto submissions, or unverified documents cannot, by themselves, displace or invalidate the findings recorded by the Regional Committee. In this context, the Committee also takes note of the principle enunciated by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in **Rambha College of Education v. NCTE, W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016**, wherein it has been recognised that subsequent material and

developments brought on record during the pendency of appellate proceedings cannot be disregarded merely on the ground that the same were not before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order. The Hon'ble Court has recognised that such material may be considered by the Appellate Authority and, where warranted, the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law. At the same time, the Committee emphasises that consideration of additional material at the appellate stage does not ipso facto establish regulatory compliance or confer validity upon such documents, and that any such material is necessarily required to be subjected to strict factual verification and regulatory scrutiny in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended.

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire matter, and having regard to the aforesaid judicial pronouncements and the factual matrix of the present case, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in furtherance of the principles of fairness and due process, deems it appropriate to set aside the impugned order dated 17.04.2026 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC), strictly for the limited purpose of factual re-examination of the appellant institution's claim regarding fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status and other deficiencies identified in the impugned order. The appellant institution shall submit complete, duly authenticated, contemporaneous, and verifiable documentary evidence before the concerned Regional Committee within fifteen (15) days from the date of issuance of this order. Upon receipt thereof, the Regional Committee shall examine the matter strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, and the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, including verification of the institution's eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP), which is contingent upon the institution qualifying as a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE, and ensuring that infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and conform to the norms prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards.

The Regional Committee shall thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order strictly in accordance with law and uninfluenced by any observations made herein. It is clarified that the present remand is limited in scope and shall not create any presumption, equity, or vested right in favour of the appellant institution.

#### IV. DECISION: -

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, and the submissions made by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 17.04.2026 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for fresh consideration and factual re-examination, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, subject to the directions contained hereinabove. The appellant institution shall submit the requisite documents within fifteen (15) days, whereupon the Regional Committee shall proceed in accordance with law and pass a reasoned and speaking order within a reasonable timeframe.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Jambukhand Teacher Training Institute, Plot No. 3, Village-Chhota Hamirpura, P.O - Kalinjara, Taluka/Mandal-Bagidora, District-Banswara, Rajasthan-327606.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. The Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.



**एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date – 11.06.2026

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
**89-199/E-410232/2026 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026**  
**APPLWRC202615580 -**

Govindam Teacher Training College, Khasra No. 1701/990, Near Bypass Circle, Nani, Palwas Road, Sikar, Rajasthan – 332001	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G- 7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Mr. Mahaveer Prasad, Member</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>01<sup>st</sup> &amp; 2<sup>nd</sup> June 2026</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>11.06.2026</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Govindam Teacher Training College, Khasra No. 1701/990, Near Bypass Circle, Nani, Palwas Road, Sikar, Rajasthan – 332001** dated 23.05.2026 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F.No. NCTE/WRC/2627202509182855/RAJASTHAN/2025/ REJC/1802** dated 12.05.2026 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that “ 1. The institution has not uploaded the Not-for-profit Certificate issued by Government Competent Authority. 2. In the geotagged photo uploaded, the name of applicant College ‘Govindam Teacher Training College’ and name of multidisciplinary college ‘Govindam Mahavidyalaya’ are reflected on the same building. 3. The institution has not updated and maintained the website ethe compliance to provisions under clause 7(14) (i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time. 4. The name of the institution mentioned in the affiliation order for multi-disciplinary course uploaded by the institution is ‘Govindam Mahavidyalaya’ whereas the name of applicant institution is ‘Govindam Teacher Training College’. The names of institution are mismatched. The institution is not running multi-disciplinary course in the filed of liberal arts, humanities, social sciences, commerce or mathematics as per Regulation No. NCTE-Reg011/80/2018-MS(Regulation)-HQ dated 26.10.2021 as amended from time to time and Public Notice No. NCTE-Regl012/7/2025-Reg. Sec-HQ dated 12.09.2025 related with extension of timeline to existing recognized Teacher Education Institutions for transition from B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Programme to ITEP before the start of Academic Session 2026-27. The institution does not fall in the category of multi-disciplinary institution. 5. Committee noted that, in the geotagged photo uploaded, the name of applicant College ‘Govindam Teacher Training College’ and name of multidisciplinary college ‘Govindam Mahavidyalaya’ are reflected on the same building. 6. The uploaded Teaching Staff list if of as per NCTE norms and not in the prescribed format of NCTE. The institution has not uploaded the list of Teaching Staff for all courses of Teacher Education duly approved and countersigned by its Affiliating Body in the prescribed format of NCTE and Teaching Staff are not as per NCTE norms. 7. It cannot be ascertained from the bank statement uploaded by the institution that the salary is being paid as per Central/State Govt. The institution has not been able to prove/confirm whether it is praying salary to the Teaching Staff as per Central/State Govt. Norms. 8. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the seating capacity of Library reading room is not sufficient as per NCTE norms. 9. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the Multipurpose Hall is not as per NCTE norms and furniture in the multipurpose hall is inadequate. 10. The uploaded Building Plan is not proper. The institution has not uploaded Building Plan approved by the Competent

Authority of State Government mentioning the name of institution, Khasra/Plot/Survey No. and mentioning the total land area and total built-up area, earmarked for each course being run in the premises and the demarcated land and built-up area, earmarked for each course being run in the premises and the demarcated land and built-up area for the Teacher Education Programme including multi-disciplinary programmes. 9. The institution is conducting degree courses i.e. B.A. intake 120x3=720 combined intake of 1080. The sufficiency of land and built-up area for the above courses with of multidisciplinary courses and intake for B.A. B.Ed. Secondary (1 unit), B.Sc. B.Ed. Secondary (1 unit), course cannot be ascertained since the institution has mentioned the total built up area 2692.52 sq. mtr.”

## II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

**Mr. Mahaveer Prasad, Member of Govindam Teacher Training College, Khasra No. 1701/990, Near Bypass Circle, Nani, Palwas Road, Sikar, Rajasthan – 332001** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 01<sup>st</sup> & 02<sup>nd</sup> June, 2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “The institute have a Not-for-Profit Certificate Government Competent Authority. Document are attached. 1. Govindam Teacher Training College and Multidisciplinary Govindam Mahavidyalaya are situated within the same land and same campus they feature separate entrances and distinct buildings, which are interconnected. 2. The institutes website ([www.govindamttcollege.com](http://www.govindamttcollege.com)) is being update time to time as per to provisions under Clause 7(14) (i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 and will be update time to time as per NCTE Guidelines. 4. “(a) Memorandum of Merger issued by Affiliating Body i.e. PDUSU, Sikar, wide letter no. 39314 dated 24.04.2026” 5. Govindam Teacher Training College and Multidisciplinary Govindam Mahavidyalaya are situated within the same land and same campus they feature separate entrances and distinct buildings, which are interconnected. 6. “Our institution has sufficient Teaching Staff as per NCTE Regulation 2014 and subsequent amendments from time to time. The Affiliating University, Pandit Deendayal Upadhyaya Shekhawati University, Sikar, Rajasthan, has duly issued the Teaching Staff Approval List in its prescribed format. The approved list of Teaching Staff as per NCTE Regulation 2014 is attached herewith for your kind reference. 7. “The bank statements of all Teaching Staff have been duly attached for verification. The salary transactions have been highlighted in yellow for each month to clearly demonstrate that payments are being made as per the Central/State Government pay scale norms. Further, our society, Govindam Shiksha Avm Anusandhan Samiti, hereby submits the proposal that after 12 February 2026, all salary transaction payments shall continue to be made strictly as per the Central/State Government pay scale norms. The relevant bank statements are attached for your kind information and verification. We request your kind acceptance of this submission

for compliance purposes.” 8. The Institute have adequate furniture for library reading room. Geotagged Photos are attached. 9. The institute have adequate furniture in the multipurpose hall. Geotagged Photos are attached. 10. The Building Plan approved by the Assistant Engineer, PWD Division, Sikar (Government of Rajasthan). The Teacher Education Programmes earmarked with the red colour & multi-disciplinary programmes demarcated with Blue Colour also. The Khasra No. 1701/990, total land area with total built-up area for each course on the building plan. 11. The institution is running multidisciplinary and Teacher Education Programmes in the same campus however, all require infrastructure facilities, including adequate classrooms, laboratories, library, multipurpose hall, and other essential amenities, are available as per the norms prescribed under NCTE Regulations, 2014 and amendments made from time to time. All course approved Building Plan and a affidavit of Rs. 100 non-judicial stamp paper is attached for your kind reference.” The appellant institution also submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in compliance with the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 12.05.2026. In the affidavit, the institution undertook/submitted that it complies with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, NCTE Regulations, 2014, applicable Norms and Standards, and that all documents furnished are genuine and verifiable. The institution submitted that it already holds recognition for the B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. integrated programme and that the present application pertains to transition into ITEP. It further submitted that the multidisciplinary institution and teacher education institution are situated on the same campus and that documents relating to merger, including a memorandum and University NOC, have been furnished. The institution stated that the Not-for-Profit Certificate, 12AA registration and Form 10AC issued by the competent authorities have been uploaded. It submitted that the institutional website has been updated in accordance with the disclosure requirements under the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution further submitted that the approved teaching staff list issued by the affiliating University has been furnished. It stated that bank statements evidencing salary payments to teaching staff have been uploaded. The institution also submitted geo-tagged photographs showing library and multipurpose hall facilities. It further stated that an approved building plan indicating khasra details, land area, built-up area and earmarked space for teacher education and multidisciplinary programmes has been furnished. The institution submitted that adequate infrastructure and instructional facilities are available for the programmes being conducted on the campus and placed supporting documents and affidavits on record.

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee, in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026 held online on 01<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the**

**records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 05.10.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 12.05.2026.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution that it had furnished a Not-for-Profit Certificate issued by the competent Government authority in support of its eligibility. The institution submitted that Govindam Teacher Training College and the multidisciplinary institution, namely Govindam Mahavidyalaya, were situated on the same land and within the same campus, having separate entrances and distinct but interconnected buildings. It was further submitted that the institutional website, namely [www.govindamttcollege.com](http://www.govindamttcollege.com), was functional and updated from time to time in compliance with Clauses 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution further submitted that the Memorandum of Merger had been approved by the affiliating body, namely Pandit Deendayal Upadhyaya Shekhawati University, Sikar, vide letter No. 39314 dated 24.04.2026. It was stated that adequate teaching staff, as prescribed under the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and subsequent amendments, had been appointed and that the approval list issued by the affiliating University in its prescribed format had been furnished. The institution also submitted bank statements of the teaching staff highlighting salary transactions and stated that salaries were being paid, and would continue to be paid after 12.02.2026, in accordance with applicable Central/State Government pay scale norms. It was further submitted that the library reading room and multipurpose hall were equipped with adequate furniture, supported by geotagged photographs. The institution stated that the Building Plan approved by the Assistant Engineer, PWD Division, Sikar, mentioned Khasra No. 1701/990, total land area, total built-up area and earmarked areas, with teacher education programmes demarcated in red and multidisciplinary programmes in blue. The institution further submitted that both multidisciplinary and teacher education programmes were being conducted within the same campus and that requisite infrastructure facilities, including classrooms, laboratories, library, multipurpose hall and other amenities, were available in accordance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and amendments thereto, supported by the approved Building Plan and an affidavit executed on a non-judicial stamp paper of Rs.100 denomination. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in response to the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 12.05.2026. The institution clarified that it

already holds recognition for the B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. integrated programme and that the present application pertains to transition into ITEP and further submitted that the multidisciplinary and teacher education institutions function from the same campus pursuant to the approvals and documents relating to merger placed on record. It also furnished the Not-for-Profit Certificate, 12AA registration and Form 10AC, along with updated website disclosures in terms of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution further placed on record the approved teaching staff list, salary records evidencing payment through banking channels, geo-tagged photographs of institutional facilities, and the approved building plan indicating the earmarked land and built-up area for teacher education and multidisciplinary programmes. It was submitted that the requisite infrastructure and instructional facilities for the programmes conducted by the institution are available as reflected in the supporting documents and affidavits placed on record.

The Appeal Committee, upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the impugned order, the Appeal Report, the records available on file, and the submissions advanced during the hearing, observes that the impugned decision of the concerned Regional Committee is founded upon findings of non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended from time to time, read with the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025. The deficiencies recorded therein pertain to core statutory requirements governing eligibility, including fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status, and are foundational to consideration of recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP). At the appellate stage under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, the appellant institution has placed on record certain additional documents along with a compliance report purportedly in rectification of the deficiencies noted by the Regional Committee. The Appeal Committee notes that a substantial portion of such material was either not placed before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order or has not undergone verification and authentication by the competent authority in accordance with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended. The Committee is further of the considered view that eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) is contingent upon the institution being a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the provisions and norms prescribed by the NCTE. Further, while processing and considering applications for ITEP, it is incumbent upon the concerned Regional Committee to ensure that the infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and found to be in conformity with the norms and regulations prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory

authority, in addition to compliance with the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. Such examination shall necessarily include verification of the availability and adequacy of land area and built-up area of the institution in its capacity as a Multidisciplinary Institution intending to offer the ITEP programme, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, and other applicable statutory requirements, taking into account the land and built-up area requirements prescribed by the respective competent/statutory authority for the programmes already established and proposed to be offered by the institution. It is a settled position that compliance with recognition norms is required to be established through contemporaneous, duly authenticated, and verifiable documentary evidence, and the burden of establishing strict and continuous compliance rests squarely upon the applicant institution. Mere assertions, post facto submissions, or unverified documents cannot, by themselves, displace or invalidate the findings recorded by the Regional Committee. In this context, the Committee also takes note of the principle enunciated by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in **Rambha College of Education v. NCTE, W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016**, wherein it has been recognised that subsequent material and developments brought on record during the pendency of appellate proceedings cannot be disregarded merely on the ground that the same were not before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order. The Hon'ble Court has recognised that such material may be considered by the Appellate Authority and, where warranted, the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law. At the same time, the Committee emphasises that consideration of additional material at the appellate stage does not ipso facto establish regulatory compliance or confer validity upon such documents, and that any such material is necessarily required to be subjected to strict factual verification and regulatory scrutiny in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended.

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire matter, and having regard to the aforesaid judicial pronouncements and the factual matrix of the present case, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in furtherance of the principles of fairness and due process, deems it appropriate to set aside the impugned order dated 12.05.2026 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC), strictly for the limited purpose of factual re-examination of the appellant institution's claim regarding fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status and other deficiencies identified in the impugned order. The appellant

institution shall submit complete, duly authenticated, contemporaneous, and verifiable documentary evidence before the concerned Regional Committee within fifteen (15) days from the date of issuance of this order. Upon receipt thereof, the Regional Committee shall examine the matter strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, and the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, including verification of the institution's eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP), which is contingent upon the institution qualifying as a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE, and ensuring that infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and conform to the norms prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. The Regional Committee shall thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order strictly in accordance with law and uninfluenced by any observations made herein. It is clarified that the present remand is limited in scope and shall not create any presumption, equity, or vested right in favour of the appellant institution.

#### IV. **DECISION:** -

**After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, and the submissions made by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 12.05.2026 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for fresh consideration and factual re-examination, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, subject to the directions contained hereinabove. The appellant institution shall submit the requisite documents within fifteen (15) days, whereupon the Regional Committee shall proceed in accordance with law and pass a reasoned and speaking order within a reasonable timeframe.**

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

**उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)**

#### **Copy to :-**

1. **The Principal, Govindam Teacher Training College, Khasra No. 1701/990, Near Bypass Circle, Nani, Palwas Road, Sikar, Rajasthan – 332001.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**

3. The Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date – 11.06.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
**89-200/E-410224/2026 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026**  
**APPLNRC202615578 ✓**

Babbar Akali Memorial Khalsa College Garhshankar, Khasra No. 80//26, Anandpur Sahib Road, Hoshiarpur, Punjab – 144527	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Ms. Dr. Amandeep Heera, Principal</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, NRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>01<sup>st</sup> &amp; 2<sup>nd</sup> June 2026</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>11.06.2026</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Babbar Akali Memorial Khalsa College Garhshankar, Khasra No. 80//26, Anandpur Sahib Road, Hoshiarpur, Punjab – 144527** dated 22.05.2026 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. NCTE/NRC/2627202510033624/PUNJAB/2025/REJC/1851** dated 15.05.2026 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "1. The committee noted that the institution has uploaded a list of appointed faculty members comprising of 01 Principal + 01 HOD + 8 Assistant Professors for B.A. B.Ed. Secondary (1 unit) out of which Assistant Professor appointed for teaching history at Sl. No. 3 namely Dr. Harwinder Kaur is not having B.Ed. degree, hence not qualified. Further, the Principal is not having M.Ed. degree, hence not qualified. Deputy Registrar (Colleges), Panjab University, Chandigarh approved the list with remarks that "Sn.1 (a), 1,2,3,4 (transfer), 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12,14 are approved". Conditional approval of the faculty is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations 2014. As such, the institution has not appointed requisite number of faculty duly approved unconditionally by the affiliating University as per NCTE Regulations 2014 in the prescribed format. 2 For B.Sc. B.Ed. Secondary (1 unit), the institution has uploaded another list of appointed faculty members comprising of 01 Principal + 01 HOD + 9 Assistant Professors on which Deputy Registrar (Colleges), Panjab University, Chandigarh remarked that "Sn.1(a), 1,2,3(transfer), 4, (5,6) (transfer), 7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14 are approved". Conditional approval of the faculty is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations 2014. As such, the institution has not appointed requisite number of faculty duly approved unconditionally by the affiliating University as per NCTE Regulations 2014 in the prescribed format."

### II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

**Ms. Dr. Amandeep Heera, Principal of Babbar Akali Memorial Khalsa College Garhshankar, Khasra No. 80//26, Anandpur Sahib Road, Hoshiarpur, Punjab – 144527** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "Consolidated list approved by Panjab University (1 HOD Associate Professor + 18 Assistant Professor) was submitted. The appellant institution also submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in compliance with the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 15.05.2026. In the affidavit, the institution undertook/submitted that it complies with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, NCTE Regulations, 2014, applicable Norms and Standards, and the Guidelines for Transformation into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions. The institution stated that all requisite

documents and compliances required under the applicable regulatory framework have been submitted/uploaded. It further submitted that all documents furnished are genuine, valid, subsisting and capable of verification by the competent authorities. The institution also submitted that the requirements and compliances stipulated pursuant to the Letter of Intent dated 18.02.2026 have been fulfilled. It stated that the statutory, infrastructural, academic, administrative, financial and regulatory requirements prescribed under the NCTE framework stand complied with. The institution further affirmed that it satisfies the requirements relating to land, infrastructure, instructional facilities, faculty, financial resources, governance and statutory approvals. It submitted that all compliances and supporting documents placed before the Appellate Authority continue to remain valid and operative. The institution further undertook that the information furnished is true and correct and that no material fact has been concealed. The affidavit was filed with a request for consideration of the appeal on the basis of the documents and compliances submitted on record.

### III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

**The Appeal Committee, in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026 held online on 01<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Northern Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 04.10.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the NRC vide order dated 15.05.2026.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution that, in response to the deficiency relating to the teaching staff particulars, it had furnished a consolidated list of faculty members duly approved by Panjab University. The institution submitted that the approved teaching staff comprised one Head of Department in the cadre of Associate Professor and eighteen Assistant Professors. It was stated that the said consolidated list had been placed on record as evidence of the faculty strength and approval granted by the affiliating University. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in response to the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 15.05.2026. The institution submitted that it had furnished the requisite documents and compliances under

the NCTE regulatory framework and had fulfilled the requirements stipulated pursuant to the Letter of Intent dated 18.02.2026. It further stated that the statutory, infrastructural, academic, administrative and financial requirements relating to land, instructional facilities, faculty, governance and statutory approvals had been addressed through the documents placed on record. The institution also submitted that the compliances furnished continue to remain valid and verifiable and that the information provided in support of the appeal is true and complete.

The Appeal Committee, upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the impugned order, the Appeal Report, the records available on file, and the submissions advanced during the hearing, observes that the impugned decision of the concerned Regional Committee is founded upon findings of non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended from time to time, read with the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025. The deficiencies recorded therein pertain to mandatory statutory requirements governing duly qualified faculty, and other regulatory parameters, which must be established through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence in terms of NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and are foundational to consideration of recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP). At the appellate stage under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, the appellant institution has placed on record certain additional documents along with a compliance report purportedly in rectification of the deficiencies noted by the Regional Committee. The Appeal Committee notes that a substantial portion of such material was either not placed before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order or has not undergone verification and authentication by the competent authority in accordance with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended. The Committee is further of the considered view that eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) is contingent upon the institution being a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the provisions and norms prescribed by the NCTE. Further, while processing and considering applications for ITEP, it is incumbent upon the concerned Regional Committee to ensure that the infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and found to be in conformity with the norms and regulations prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to compliance with the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. Such examination shall necessarily include verification of the availability and adequacy of land area and built-up area of the institution in its capacity as a Multidisciplinary Institution intending to offer the ITEP programme, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993,

the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, and other applicable statutory requirements, taking into account the land and built-up area requirements prescribed by the respective competent/statutory authority for the programmes already established and proposed to be offered by the institution. It is a settled position that compliance with recognition norms is required to be established through contemporaneous, duly authenticated, and verifiable documentary evidence, and the burden of establishing strict and continuous compliance rests squarely upon the applicant institution. Mere assertions, post facto submissions, or unverified documents cannot, by themselves, displace or invalidate the findings recorded by the Regional Committee. In this context, the Committee also takes note of the principle enunciated by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in ***Rambha College of Education v. NCTE, W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016***, wherein it has been recognised that subsequent material and developments brought on record during the pendency of appellate proceedings cannot be disregarded merely on the ground that the same were not before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order. The Hon'ble Court has recognised that such material may be considered by the Appellate Authority and, where warranted, the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law. At the same time, the Committee emphasises that consideration of additional material at the appellate stage does not ipso facto establish regulatory compliance or confer validity upon such documents, and that any such material is necessarily required to be subjected to strict factual verification and regulatory scrutiny in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended.

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire matter, and having regard to the aforesaid judicial pronouncements and the factual matrix of the present case, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in furtherance of the principles of fairness and due process, deems it appropriate to set aside the impugned order dated 15.05.2026 and remand the matter to the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), strictly for the limited purpose of factual re-examination of the appellant institution's claim regarding fulfilment of requirement of appointment of duly qualified faculty, and other regulatory parameters/deficiencies identified in the impugned order, which must be established through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence in terms of NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended). The appellant institution shall submit complete, duly authenticated, contemporaneous, and verifiable documentary evidence before the concerned Regional Committee within fifteen (15) days from the date of issuance of this order. Upon

receipt thereof, the Regional Committee shall examine the matter strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, and the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, including verification of the institution's eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP), which is contingent upon the institution qualifying as a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE, and ensuring that infrastructure & instructional facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and conform to the norms prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. The Regional Committee shall thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order strictly in accordance with law and uninfluenced by any observations made herein. It is clarified that the present remand is limited in scope and shall not create any presumption, equity, or vested right in favour of the appellant institution.

#### IV. DECISION: -

**After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, and the submissions made by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 15.05.2026 and remands the matter to the Northern Regional Committee (NRC) for fresh consideration and factual re-examination, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, subject to the directions contained hereinabove. The appellant institution shall submit the requisite documents within fifteen (15) days, whereupon the Regional Committee shall proceed in accordance with law and pass a reasoned and speaking order within a reasonable timeframe.**

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Babbar Akali Memorial Khalsa College Garhshankar, Khasra No. 80//26, Anandpur Sahib Road, Hoshiarpur, Punjab – 144527.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **The Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Punjab, Vidya Bhawan, Block E, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Phase-VIII, SAS Nagar (Mohali)-Punjab-160062.**



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date – 11.06.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
**89-201/E-410471/2026 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026**  
**APPLWRC202615582 ✓**

Marwar Mahavidyalaya, Survey No. 1036/682, 1037/682, Palara, Kuchaman City, Station Road (Palada), Nagaur, Rajasthan – 341508	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Shri Sultan Singh Thalor, Secretary</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>01<sup>st</sup> &amp; 2<sup>nd</sup> June 2026</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>11.06.2026</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Marwar Mahavidyalaya, Survey No. 1036/682, 1037/682, Palara, Kuchaman City, Station Road (Palada), Nagaur, Rajasthan – 341508** dated 24.05.2026 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2627202509233086/RAJASTHAN /2025/REJC/ 413** dated 30.04.2026 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that “1. The uploaded Building Plan is not proper. The institution has not uploaded Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of State Government mentioning the name of institution, Khasra/Plot/Survey NO. and mentioning the total land area and total built-up area for the Teacher Education Programmes including multi-disciplinary Programmes. 2. The website of the institution has not been maintained and updated in time to time. 3. NCTE WRC Committee noted that, as per given geographic location Latitude 27.0656049, Longitude 74.8526745 name of institution shows “Marwar P. G. College, Global College”. 4. Computer lab is available, but ICT related facilities are not visible in the uploaded geotagged photographs. 5. A board with the name of the college is seen to have been put up temporarily. This board can be removed at any time. 6. Marwar Keshari Senior Secondary School, Kuchman City is running in this location 27.22390 N, 74.96400E. The committee noted that, in its reply, the organization said, “Our organization has nothing to do with this place and name.” 7. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the seating capacity of Library reading room is not sufficient as per NCTE Norms. 8. The institution is running multi-disciplinary and Teacher Education Programme/ courses in the same campus/premises i.e. B.B.A 240X3=720, B.Com. 80X3=240, B.Sc. 370X3=1110, B.C.A. 60x3=180, M.A. 80x2=160 and M.Sc. 130X2=260. The sufficiency of land and built-up area for the above courses with of multi-disciplinary courses and intake for B.A. B.Ed. Secondary (1 unit), B.Sc. B.Ed. Secondary (1 unit), 100x4=400, course cannot be ascertained. 9. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the Multipurpose Hall is not as per norms, furniture in the multipurpose hall is inadequate. 10. The uploaded prescribed format of Teaching Staff has not been duly countersigned by its Affiliating Body/Registrar of University and not as per NCTE Regulation, 2014 and amendments time by time. 11. It cannot be ascertained from the uploaded statement by the institution that salary is being paid as per the Central/State Government pay scale. The institution has not uploaded the bank statement. The institution has not been able to prove/confirm whether the institution is paying salaries to the Teaching Staff as per Central/State Govt. Norms.”

## II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

**Shri Sultan Singh Thalor, Secretary of Marwar Mahavidyalaya, Survey No. 1036/682, 1037/682, Palara, Kuchaman City, Station Road (Palada), Nagaur, Rajasthan – 341508** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "1. That the institution respectfully submits that the approved Consolidated Building Plan duly approved by the Competent Authority i.e., Executive Engineer, PWD Division, Parbatsar, Government of Rajasthan, has been uploaded on the NCTE Portal and is again attached for ready reference and verification. That the approved Building Plan clearly mentions the name of the institution "MARWAR MAHAVIDHALAYA", along with complete land particulars including Khasra Nos. 1036/682, 1037/682, 1098/682, 1099/682 and 1124/682. The uploaded. of building plan specifically mentions: Total Land Area for Teacher Education Programme: 5000 Sq. Mt. Total Built-up Area for Teacher Education Programme: 3653.57 Sq. Mt. Total Land Area for Multidisciplinary Programme: 8065 Sq. Mt. Total Built-up Area for Multidisciplinary Programme: 5578 Sq. Mt. Total Institutional Land Area of society: 13065 Sq. Mt. Total Institutional Built-up Area of society: 9231.57 Sq. Mt. Further, approved building plans issued by the competent authority were also uploaded separately showing detailed floor-wise layout, classrooms, laboratories, library, multipurpose hall and infrastructure facilities of the institution. Therefore, the institution has fully complied with the requirement relating to approved building plan, land details and demarcated built-up area. 2. [www.marwarcollege.com](http://www.marwarcollege.com) The institution respectfully submits that the official website of the institution has been properly maintained and updated in compliance with Clause 7(14) (i), 8(6) and 8(14) of NCTE Regulation, 2014 as amended from time to time. All mandatory disclosures relating to recognition orders, faculty details. infrastructure, courses, fee structure, approved intake, affidavits, land and building documents, student details and other required information have been uploaded on the institution website. If any information was not visible due to technical reasons or temporary server issues at the time of scrutiny, the same has already been updated and rectified. Further, screenshots of the updated website along with mandatory disclosure pages have also been attached for verification. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 3. That the geo-location coordinates mentioned in the application pertain only to the campus of MARWAR MAHAVIDHALAYA, Kuchaman City. That earlier, the name "Marwar P.G. College, Global College" was being displayed on Google Maps or third- party mapping platforms due to online map database issues beyond the direct control of the institution. That the institution had already initiated corrections with the concerned platform authorities and presently the location/name is correctly updated and displayed only as "MARWAR MAHAVIDHALAYA". Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee stands fully complied with. 4. The institution respectfully submits that adequate ICT related facilities including computers, internet

connectivity, projector, printers, smart teaching aids and other digital learning resources are available in the Computer/ICT Lab as per NCTE norms. Due to limited angle and incomplete coverage in the uploaded geo-tagged photographs, all ICT facilities were not clearly visible at the time of scrutiny. The institution has again attached updated geo-tagged photographs clearly showing the ICT Lab and all available ICT facilities for verification. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 5. The institution respectfully submits that the name board displayed at the institution premises is permanent in nature and installed for identification of the institution campus. The observation regarding temporary display appears to be based only on visual assumption from photographs. The institution has again attached updated geo-tagged photographs clearly showing the permanent display board of MARWAR MAHAVIDHALAYA, painted by a professional painter and permanently fixed on the wall at the main entrance of the institution. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 6. The institution respectfully submits that MARWAR MAHAVIDHALAYA has no relation whatsoever with "Marwar Keshari Senior Secondary School" or the location referred to by the Committee. The coordinates mentioned by the Committee do not pertain to the campus/location of the applicant institution. The said school is situated approximately 24 km away from the location of MARWAR MAHAVIDHALAYA and there is no connection or linkage between the said school and the society running the applicant institution. The institution had already clarified in its earlier reply that it has nothing to do with the said school and its location. Further, the institution has also attached Google Map screenshots showing both institutions at different locations along with a declaration/letter issued by the concerned school confirming that they have no relation with MARWAR MAHAVIDHALAYA or its society. All land documents, approved building plans, affiliation records and geo-tagged photographs clearly establish the independent identity and actual location of MARWAR MAHAVIDHALAYA. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 7. The institution respectfully submits that the Library and Reading Room of the institution are fully developed and adequate seating arrangements are available as per NCTE norms. Due to limited angle and incomplete coverage in the uploaded geo-tagged photographs, the full seating capacity of the reading room could not be properly reflected as at the time of scrutiny. The institution has again attached updated geo-tagged photographs clearly showing the seating arrangements, library infrastructure and reading room facilities available in the institution. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 8. The institution respectfully submits that sufficient land and built-up area are available for all multidisciplinary and teacher education programmes being conducted in the campus affiliating university requirements. The institution again attached the approved A. Consolidated Building Plan approved by the Executive Engineer, PWD Division, to Parbatsar, Government of Rajasthan, clearly showing separate earmarked land and built-

up area for Teacher Education Programme and Multidisciplinary Programmes. The approved plan specifically mentions 5000 Sq. Mt. land and 3653.57 sq. mt. built-up area for Teacher Education Programme and 3653.57 Sq. Mt. built-up area for Teacher Education Programme and 8065 Sq. Mt. land with 5578 Sq. Mt. built-up area for Multidisciplinary Programmes. Separate Block-A has been earmarked for Teacher Education Programme (ITEP Block) and separate Block-B & Block-C have been earmarked for Multidisciplinary Programmes. Separate classrooms, laboratories, library, multipurpose hall, ICT Lab and other instructional facilities are also clearly demarcated in the approved plan. Therefore, the sufficiency of land and built-up area is fully established and the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 9. The institution respectfully submits that a well-developed Multipurpose Hall with adequate furniture and facilities is available in the institution as per NCTE norms. Due to limited angle and incomplete coverage in the uploaded geo-tagged photographs, the complete furniture arrangement and facilities of the Multipurpose Hall were not clearly visible at the time of scrutiny. The institution has again attached updated geo-tagged photographs clearly showing the Multipurpose Hall along with adequate seating and furniture arrangements. The Multipurpose Hall has seating capacity of more than 200 persons with proper seating arrangements as per institutional requirements and NCTE norms. Further, the approved building plan also clearly reflects the existence of the Multipurpose Hall in the Teacher Education Block. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 10. It is respectfully submitted that the teaching staff of MARWAR MAHAVIDHALAYA, Kuchaman City, for the academic session 2025-2026, for the courses B.A. B.Ed. and B.Sc. B.Ed., has been duly approved by the affiliating university, Maharshi Dayanand Saraswati University, Ajmer, vide Order No. F.14/Shiksha-II/B.ED.MDSU/2026/9889 dated 13.03.2026. The prescribed staff profile format along with supporting documents had already been uploaded on the portal and the duly approved/countersigned staff approval documents issued by the affiliating university have again been attached for verification. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 11. It is respectfully submitted that the institution is regularly paying salary/remuneration to its teaching staff through bulk NEFT transfers from AU Small Finance Bank through branch banking. In this regard, the bank statements for the last six months are attached clearly reflecting salary transactions such as staff salary and other monthly salary payments. All relevant bank statements along with salary certificates/letters have again been attached for kind reference and verification. Hence, the requirement stands fully complied with the there is no deficiency in this regard. The appellant institution also submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in compliance with the deficiencies communicated through the refusal order dated 30.04.2026. In the affidavit, the institution undertook/submitted that it complies with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, NCTE Regulations, 2014 and the

applicable Guidelines, and that all documents furnished are genuine and verifiable. The institution submitted that it is a multidisciplinary higher education institution conducting B.A., B.Sc., B.Com., B.C.A., M.A., M.Sc., B.A.B.Ed. and B.Sc.B.Ed. programmes and has received recommendation from the State Government for transition into ITEP. It stated that the approved consolidated building plan indicating khasra details, land area, built-up area and earmarked space for teacher education and multidisciplinary programmes has been furnished. The institution further submitted that the website has been updated with mandatory disclosures as prescribed under the NCTE Regulations, 2014. It clarified that the geo-location pertains to the institution campus and that corrections regarding online map display have been carried out. The institution also submitted updated geo-tagged photographs showing ICT facilities, computer laboratory, permanent name board, library and multipurpose hall. It further clarified that it has no association with Marwar Keshari Senior Secondary School and furnished supporting documents in this regard. The institution submitted that sufficient land, built-up area and infrastructure are available for the programmes being conducted. It stated that the teaching staff has been approved by the affiliating university and that the approved staff list has been furnished. The institution further submitted that salary payments are being made through banking channels and relevant bank statements have been placed on record.

### III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

**The Appeal Committee, in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026 held online on 01<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 05.10.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 30.04.2026.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution that Marwar Mahavidhayala, Kuchaman City, affiliated to Maharshi Dayanand Saraswati University, Ajmer, had furnished an approved consolidated building plan issued by the Executive Engineer, PWD Division, Parbatsar, mentioning the institution's name, Khasra Nos. 1036/682, 1037/682, 1098/682, 1099/682 and 1124/682, with demarcation of 5,000 sq. mtr. land and 3,653.57 sq. mtr. built-up area for Teacher Education Programmes, 8,065 sq. mtr. land and 5,578 sq. mtr. built-up area for multidisciplinary

programmes, aggregating to 13,065 sq. mtr. land and 9,231.57 sq. mtr. built-up area for the institution. The institution submitted that its website, [www.marwarcollege.com](http://www.marwarcollege.com), had been updated in compliance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and mandatory disclosures had been uploaded. It was further submitted that discrepancies relating to geo-location and Google map display had been rectified and the institution was correctly reflected as "MARWAR MAHAVIDHALAYA", with clarification that it had no association with Marwar Keshari Senior Secondary School, stated to be located separately. The institution also submitted updated geo-tagged photographs depicting ICT facilities, permanent display of the institutional name board, library and reading room facilities, multipurpose hall infrastructure and seating arrangements. It was stated that separate blocks had been earmarked for Teacher Education and multidisciplinary programmes, with Block-A designated for ITEP and Blocks B and C for multidisciplinary courses, along with demarcated instructional and infrastructural facilities. The institution further submitted that the teaching staff for B.A.B.Ed. and B.Sc.B.Ed. programmes for the academic session 2025-26 had been approved by Maharshi Dayanand Saraswati University, Ajmer vide Order No. F.14/Shiksha-II/B.ED.MDSU/2026/9889 dated 13.03.2026, and that salary payments to teaching staff were being made through bulk NEFT transfers, supported by bank statements reflecting salary transactions. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in response to the deficiencies communicated through the refusal order dated 30.04.2026. The institution submitted that it is a multidisciplinary higher education institution conducting various undergraduate, postgraduate and integrated teacher education programmes and had received recommendation from the State Government for transition into ITEP. It further placed on record the approved building plan and supporting documents asserting the availability of adequate land, built-up area and infrastructure, and clarified that the geo-location pertains exclusively to its campus with corrections having been carried out in the online map records; it also stated that it has no association with Marwar Keshari Senior Secondary School. The institution furnished updated geo-tagged photographs of institutional facilities, updated website disclosures under the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and the university-approved teaching staff list. It was further submitted that salary payments are being made through banking channels and relevant bank statements had been made on record.

The Appeal Committee, upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the impugned order, the Appeal Report, the records available on file, and the submissions advanced during the hearing, observes that the impugned decision of the concerned Regional Committee is founded upon findings of non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993, the NCTE

(Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended from time to time, read with the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025. The deficiencies recorded therein pertain to core statutory requirements governing eligibility, including fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status, and are foundational to consideration of recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP). At the appellate stage under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, the appellant institution has placed on record certain additional documents along with a compliance report purportedly in rectification of the deficiencies noted by the Regional Committee. The Appeal Committee notes that a substantial portion of such material was either not placed before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order or has not undergone verification and authentication by the competent authority in accordance with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended. The Committee is further of the considered view that eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) is contingent upon the institution being a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the provisions and norms prescribed by the NCTE. Further, while processing and considering applications for ITEP, it is incumbent upon the concerned Regional Committee to ensure that the infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and found to be in conformity with the norms and regulations prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to compliance with the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. Such examination shall necessarily include verification of the availability and adequacy of land area and built-up area of the institution in its capacity as a Multidisciplinary Institution intending to offer the ITEP programme, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, and other applicable statutory requirements, taking into account the land and built-up area requirements prescribed by the respective competent/statutory authority for the programmes already established and proposed to be offered by the institution. It is a settled position that compliance with recognition norms is required to be established through contemporaneous, duly authenticated, and verifiable documentary evidence, and the burden of establishing strict and continuous compliance rests squarely upon the applicant institution. Mere assertions, post facto submissions, or unverified documents cannot, by themselves, displace or invalidate the findings recorded by the Regional Committee. In this context, the Committee also takes note of the principle enunciated by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in ***Rambha College of Education v. NCTE, W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016***, wherein it has been recognised that subsequent material and developments brought on record during the pendency of appellate proceedings cannot be disregarded merely on the ground that the same were not before the Regional

Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order. The Hon'ble Court has recognised that such material may be considered by the Appellate Authority and, where warranted, the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law. At the same time, the Committee emphasises that consideration of additional material at the appellate stage does not ipso facto establish regulatory compliance or confer validity upon such documents, and that any such material is necessarily required to be subjected to strict factual verification and regulatory scrutiny in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended.

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire matter, and having regard to the aforesaid judicial pronouncements and the factual matrix of the present case, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in furtherance of the principles of fairness and due process, deems it appropriate to set aside the impugned order dated 30.04.2026 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC), strictly for the limited purpose of factual re-examination of the appellant institution's claim regarding fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status and other deficiencies identified in the impugned order. The appellant institution shall submit complete, duly authenticated, contemporaneous, and verifiable documentary evidence before the concerned Regional Committee within fifteen (15) days from the date of issuance of this order. Upon receipt thereof, the Regional Committee shall examine the matter strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, and the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, including verification of the institution's eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP), which is contingent upon the institution qualifying as a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE, and ensuring that infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and conform to the norms prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. The Regional Committee shall thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order strictly in accordance with law and uninfluenced by any observations made herein. It is clarified that the present remand is limited in scope and shall not create any presumption, equity, or vested right in favour of the appellant institution.

**IV. DECISION: -**

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, and the submissions made by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 30.04.2026 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for fresh consideration and factual re-examination, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, subject to the directions contained hereinabove. The appellant institution shall submit the requisite documents within fifteen (15) days, whereupon the Regional Committee shall proceed in accordance with law and pass a reasoned and speaking order within a reasonable timeframe.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. **The Principal, Marwar Mahavidyalaya, Survey No. 1036/682, 1037/682, Palara, Kuchaman City, Station Road (Palada), Nagaur, Rajasthan – 341508.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **The Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.**



**एन सी टी ई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date – 11.06.2026

एन सी टी ई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
**89-202/E-410476/2026 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026**  
**APPLWRC202615583 -**

Shree Narayan Shikshan Sansthan, Khasra No. 1577-1581, 1651-1654, 1658-1661, 2578/1432, 2662/1661, Sikar Road Kuchamancity, Nagaur, Rajasthan – 341508	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Shri Gopal Krishna Bhardwaj, Principal</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>01<sup>st</sup> &amp; 2<sup>nd</sup> June 2026</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>11.06.2026</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Shree Narayan Shikshan Sansthan, Khasra No. 1577-1581, 1651-1654, 1658-1661, 2578/1432, 2662/1661, Sikar Road Kuchamancity, Nagaur, Rajasthan – 341508** dated 24.05.2026 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F.No. NCTE/WRC/262720259273386/RAJASTHAN/2025/REJC/83** dated 24.04.2026 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "1. The proposal for 'merger' between the institutions has not been approved by their affiliating university, as required under Clause 4.2.2 of the NCTE Guidelines for transforming into multidisciplinary higher education institutions. 2. The institution has not uploaded the certificate issued by the Government of Rajasthan justifying the requirement for a teacher education programme in the area, as required under Clause 4.2.2 of the NCTE Guidelines for transforming into multidisciplinary higher education institutions. 3. Uploaded Mutation certificate is not proper. The institution has not uploaded Mutation (Jamabandi) Certificate mentioning all Khasra/Plot/Survey No. issued by Competent Authority of State Government. 4. A geotagged photograph shows some part of the building left unrepaired. 5. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the seating capacity of Library reading room is not sufficient as per NCTE norms. 6. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the Multipurpose Hall is not as per NCTE norms. furniture in the multipurpose hall is inadequate 7. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the college does not have adequate playgrounds and sports facility. 8. Computer labs and ICT related facilities are not visible in the uploaded geotagged photographs. 9. Uploaded documents are irrelevant; the institution has not uploaded/provide proof that all khasra number i.e. Kh. No. 1577-1581,1651-1654, 1658 1661,2578/1432,2662/1661 are a single plot, upload a proof issued by government competent authority. 10. Committee noted that, College Khasra Numbers/plot numbers and other details are not mentioned in the uploaded building safety certificate no. 27 issued on dated 12/06/2024. The institution is required to upload Building Safety Certificate in adherence of safety guidelines as prescribed by National/State Disaster Management Authority issued by Competent Government Authority. 11. This land area in the Fire safety is mentioned 3339 sq. mts. Whereas the land area in the land details column is mentioned 6680 sq. mts and built-up area is 9562.98 Both land area are mismatch. The institution has not uploaded the proper Fire Safety Certificate issued Safety Department, Government of Rajasthan verifiable on the official portal of the Fire Department, Government of Rajasthan at URL [https://isgonline.rajasthan.gov.in/track\\_application.aspx](https://isgonline.rajasthan.gov.in/track_application.aspx). 12. Committee noted that, Uploaded building plan approved by Sahayak Abhiyanta, PWD (without date) not signed by Architect with total land area 6686.76 sq. mts. total built up area 9562.98 sq. mts.

Name of three TEIs including institution running multidisciplinary courses run by the society are mentioned in the building plan. Built up area 4781.49 sq. mts. is being used for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course. Khasra no.1659, 1660 mentioned in the plan is not mentioned in the address/land details/CLU/NEC. 13. The uploaded teaching staff list is not as per NCTE norms and not in the prescribed format of NCTE. The Institution has not upload the list of teaching staff for all courses of teacher education duly approved and countersigned by its affiliating body in the prescribed format of NCTE and teaching staff should be as per NCTE norm. 14. It cannot be ascertained from the bank statement uploaded by the institution that the salary is being paid as per Central/State Govt. The institution has not been able to prove/confirm whether it is paying salary to the teaching staff as per Central/State Govt norms. 15. The website of institution has not been updated and maintained in compliance to provisions under Clause 7(14)(1), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time. 16. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the Ramp is not proper. 17. Committee noted that, as per information filled in the portal, BCC is certified dated 12.12.2006 by Sahayak Abhiyanta, PWD without reflecting date of approval in uploaded BCC. Date of inspection of building by Architect and signature not reflected in BCC Khasra no. 1659, 1660 mentioned in the BCC is not mentioned in the address/land details/CLU/NEC. The institution is required to provide proof that all khasra numbers i.e. 1577- 1581,1651-1654,1658-1661,2578/1432,2662/1661 are a single plot, upload a proof issued by Government competent authority. CLU issued date is not reflected in BCC. As per BCC, date of registration of land is dated 12.12.2006 whereas in land details filled date of registration of land 14.11.2006 and in land affidavit mentioned 14.12.2006. All the dates mismatches. 18. Additional Khasra No.1659, 1660 (not mentioned in the online application) is reflected in the uploaded land related affidavit dated 30.01.2025. In the said affidavit, date of registration mentioned 14.12.2006, whereas in Land Details column, date of registration 14.11.2006 is mentioned. Both dates mismatch.”

## II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

**Shri Gopal Krishna Bhardwaj, Principal of Shree Narayan Shikshan Sansthan, Khasra No. 1577-1581, 1651-1654, 1658-1661, 2578/1432, 2662/1661, Sikar Road Kuchamancity, Nagaur, Rajasthan – 341508** appeared online to present the case of the appelland institution. In the appeal report, the appelland institution submitted that “1. The appelland institution respectfully submits that the proposal for merger/transition into a multidisciplinary higher education institution has duly been approved by the affiliating university, Maharshi Dayanand Saraswati University, Ajmer vide Letter No. F.14()Acad-11/2026/1559 dated 04 04.2026 and Dispatch No. 12774-783 dated 09.04.2026. Approval

regarding merger/collaboration has also been granted by the Commissioner, College Education, Rajasthan, Jaipur under ITEP Guidelines. Relevant approval documents are enclosed for verification. Hence, the deficiency is fully complied with. 2. The appellant institution respectfully submits that the required approval/certificate regarding justification and continuation of the Teacher Education Programme in the area has been issued by the Commissioner, College Education, Rajasthan, Jaipur under File No. F-24()ITEP/College/Private/Aakanksha/2026. The same is enclosed for verification. Accordingly, the requirement stands complied with. 3. The institution respectfully submits that the proper Mutation Certificate issued by Nagar Parishad, Kuchaman City vide Letter No. 5756 dated 19.05.2026 has been uploaded and is again attached for verification. The said certificate clearly mentions all relevant Khasra Numbers i.e. 1577, 1578, 1579, 1580, 1581, 1651, 1652, 1653, 1654, 1658, 1659, 1660, 2578/1432 and 2662/1661 and confirms that the land has been issued for institutional purpose. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 4. The institution respectfully submits that at the time of submission of Final SCN reply, minor repair and maintenance work of a small portion of the building was under progress. The said repair and maintenance work has now been fully completed, and the institution has again attached updated geo-tagged photographs clearly showing the properly repaired and maintained building infrastructure. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 5. The institution respectfully submits that the Library and Reading Room of the institution are fully developed and adequate seating arrangements are available as per NCTE norms. Due to limited angle and incomplete coverage in the uploaded geo-tagged photographs, the full seating capacity of the reading room could not be properly reflected at the time of scrutiny. The institution has again attached updated geo-tagged photographs clearly showing the seating arrangements, library infrastructure and reading room facilities available in the institution. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 6. The institution respectfully submits that a well-developed Multipurpose Hall with adequate furniture and facilities is available in the institution as per NCTE norms. Due to limited angle and incomplete coverage in the uploaded geo-tagged photographs, the complete furniture arrangement and facilities of the Multipurpose Hall were not clearly visible at the time of scrutiny. The institution has again attached updated geo-tagged photographs clearly showing the Multipurpose Hall along with adequate seating and furniture arrangements. The Multipurpose Hall has seating capacity of more than 200 persons with proper seating arrangement as per institutional requirements and NCTE norms. Further, the approved building plan also clearly reflects the existence of the Multipurpose Hall in the Teacher Education Block. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 7. The institution respectfully submits that adequate playground and sports facilities are available in the institution campus as per NCTE norms. Due to incomplete

coverage in the uploaded geo-tagged photographs at the time of Final Scn reply, the complete playground and sports facilities could not be properly reflected. The institution has now again attached updated geo-tagged photographs clearly showing the playground area and sports facilities available in the campus. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 8. The institution respectfully submits that adequate ICT related facilities including computers, internet connectivity, projector, printers, smart teaching aids and other digital Learning resources are available in the Computer/ICT Lab as per NCTE norms. Due to limited angle and incomplete coverage in the uploaded geo-tagged photographs, all ICT facilities were not clearly visible at the time of scrutiny. The Institution has again attached updated geo-tagged photographs clearly showing the ICT Lab and all available ICT facilities for verification. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 9. The institution respectfully submits that the competent Government Authority, Tehsildar, Kuchaman City (Didwana-Kuchaman), has issued Land & Building Certificate Dated 21.05.2026 certifying that Khasra Nos. 1577, 1578, 1579, 1580, 1581, 1651, 1652, 1653, 1654, 1658, 1659, 1660, 1661, 2578, 1432 and 2662/1661 are recorded in the name of the society and constitute a single institutional land parcel measuring 13500 Sq. Meter for educational/institutional purpose. The said certificate was uploaded and is again attached for verification. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 10. The institution respectfully submits that the revised Building Safety Certificate has now been issued by the Executive Engineer, Public Works Department (PWD), Kuchaman City vide Letter No. 01 dated 21.05.2026. The said I certificate clearly mentions all relevant Khasra Numbers ic 1577, 1578, 1579, 1580, 1581, 1651, 1652, 1653, 1654, 1658, 1659, 1660, 1661, 2578/1432 and 2662/1661 of Shree Narayan Shikshan Sansthan, Sikar Road, Kuchaman City. The certificate further confirms that the building is structurally safe for educational activities and is valid up to 20.05.2029. The same was uploaded and is again attached for verification. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 11. The institution respectfully submits that the area of 3339 Sq. Mts. mentioned in the Fire Safety Certificate relates only to the constructed/covered building area for which fire safety measures were inspected and certified, whereas the remaining land area is open land/playground and Institutional open space. Therefore, there is no mismatch in the actual institutional land area. Further, the institution uploaded and again attached the proper Fire Safety Certificate issued by the competent Fire Safety Department, Government of Rajasthan, along with the approved Building Plan clearly reflecting the total institutional land area, built- up arca and open land area of the institution. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 12. The institution respectfully submits that the approved Building Plans issued by the competent authority have been uploaded and are again attached for kind verification. The Building Plans are duly signed by "Shree Nakoda Ji Consultant & Architect" and approved

by the Assistant Engineer, PWD, Kuchaman City vide approval dated 21.05.2026. The approved plans clearly reflect separate earmarked land area and built-up area for the Teacher Education Programme and the Multidisciplinary Programme in compliance with NCTE norms and ITEP requirements. The approved Building Plans specifically reflect the following details: Total Land Area of the Society: 13500 Sq. Mtr. Total Built-up Area of the Society: 12750 Sq. Mtr. Total Land Area earmarked for Teacher Education Programme: 6693.30 Sq. Mtr. Total Built-up Area marked for Teacher Education Programme: 6750.00 Sq. Mtr. Total Land Area earmarked for multidisciplinary Programme: 6500.70 Sq. Mu Total Built-up Area 6000.00 sq. mt. Separate buildings have been earmarked for the Teacher Education Programme and the Multidisciplinary Programme. Further, Khasra No. 1659 and 1660 form part of the same institutional land parcel, which already stands clarified through the Land & Building Certificate, CLU/LUC and other land documents issued by the Competent Government Authority and uploaded on the portal. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee stands fully complied with and may kindly be reconsidered. 13. The institution respectfully submits that the Teaching Staff list for B.A. B.Ed. and B.Sc. B.Ed. courses has been prepared in the prescribed format of NCTE and the same has been duly approved and countersigned by the affiliating university, Maharshi Dayanand Saraswati University, Ajmer vide Letter No. F.14 Shiksha-II/Adyapak/2026/8523 dated 27.02.2026. Relevant documents Verification enclosed for verification. 14. It is respectfully submitted that the institution is regularly paying salary re salary/remuneration to its teaching staff through bulk NEFT transfers from AU Small Finance Bank through online banking. In this regard, the bank statements Last six months had already been uploaded, clearly reflecting salary transactions such as "Salary STAFF and other payments. All relevant bank statements monthly salary payments along with salary bank statements/documents have again been attached for kind reference and verification. Hence, the requirements stand fully complied with and there is no Deficiency in this regard. 15 The institution respectfully submits that the official website of the institution website has been properly updated and maintained in compliance with Clause 7(14)(1), 8(6), 8(14) 10(3) (NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time. All mandatory disclosures relating to recognition orders, faculty details, infrastructure, courses, fee structure, approved intake, affidavits, land and building documents, student details and other required information have been uploaded on the institution website. (shreenarayancollege.m) Further, updated screenshots of the website along with mandatory disclosure pages are again attached for verification. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 16. The institution respectfully submits that proper ramp and barrier-free access facilities are available in the institution campus as per NCTE norms and accessibility requirements. At the time of Final SCN reply, the ramp photograph was not clicked properly due to wide angle coverage, because of which the actual structure and dimensions of the ramp were not properly

reflected in the uploaded geo-tagged photographs. The institution has now again attached updated geo-tagged photographs clearly showing the proper ramp facility with barrier-free access for students and staff. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 17 The institution respectfully submits that the revised Building Completion Certificate (BCC) has now been duly issued and certified by the competent authority, PWD Kuchaman City (Didwana-Kuchaman), on dated 21.05.2026. The revised BCC clearly reflects the architect inspection details, signatures and approval particulars. The BCC is duly signed by Architect "Shree Nakoda Ji Consultant & Architect" (Reg. No. M.C.K/17-18/3469) and certified by the Executive Engineer, PWD Kuchaman City. Further, the Office of the Sub-Registrar & Tehsildar, Kuchaman City (Didwana-Kuchaman), Revenue Department, has issued: 1. Land Use Certificate (CLU/LUC) vide Ref. No. 81 dated 21.05.2026. 2. Land & Building Certificate vide Ref. No. 82 dated 21.05.2026. 3. Non-Encumbrance Certificate dated 21.05.2026. All these certificates clearly mention Khasra Nos 1577, 1578, 1579, 1580, 1581, 1651, 1652, 1653, 1654) 1658, 1659, 1660, 1661, 2578/1432 and 2662/1661 and confirm that all the above khasra numbers are adjacent and form a single institutional land parcel measuring 13500 Sq. Mtr. for educational/institutional purpose. Any mismatch in dates or omission of certain Khasra Numbers in earlier uploaded documents occurred due to clerical/typographical errors during preparation/uploading of documents. The institution has now uploaded and again attached all corrected certificates, affidavits and land documents for proper verification. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may kindly be reconsidered. 18. The institution respectfully submits that the competent Government Authority i.e. Office of the Sub-Registrar & Tehsildar, Kuchaman City (Didwana-Kuchaman), Revenue Department, has issued the following certificates on dated 21.05.2026 clearly mentioning all Khasra Numbers including 1659 and 1660: 1. Land Use Certificate (CLU/ LUC) for Educational Purpose vide Ref. No. 81 dated 21.05.2026. 2. Land & Building Certificate (Bhumi-Bhawan Praman Patra) vide Ref. No. 82 dated 21.05.2026. 3. Non- Encumbrance Certificate issued by Sub-Registrar & Tehsildar, Kuchaman City dated 21.05.2026. The above certificates clearly confirm that Khasra Nos. 1577, 1578, 1579, 1580, 1581, 1651, 1652, 1653, 1654, 1658, 1659, 1660, 1661, 2578/1432 and 2662/1661 are adjacent to each other and constitute a single institutional land parcel measuring 13500 Sq. Mtr. for educational/institutional purpose. Further, the revised Building Completion Certificate (BCC) issued on dated 21.05.2026 by the competent authority, PWD Kuchaman City, also clearly reflects all the above Khasra Numbers, architect details and institutional land particulars. Any mismatch in dates or omission of certain Khasra Numbers in earlier uploaded documents occurred due to clerical/typographical errors during preparation/uploading of documents. The institution has now uploaded and again attached all corrected land documents, certificates and affidavits for proper verification. Therefore, the objection raised by the Committee may

kindly be reconsidered. The appellant institution also submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in compliance with the deficiencies communicated through the refusal order dated 24.04.2026. In the affidavit, the institution undertook/submitted that it complies with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, NCTE Regulations, 2014 and the Guidelines for Transformation into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions, and that all documents furnished are genuine and verifiable. The institution submitted that it functions as a multidisciplinary institution conducting multidisciplinary and teacher education programmes and has obtained merger/transition approval from Maharshi Dayanand Saraswati University, Ajmer and recommendation from the Commissioner, College Education, Rajasthan. It further submitted that the requisite requirement certificate and merger approvals issued by the competent authorities have been furnished. The institution stated that mutation, land and building certificates, land use documents, non-encumbrance certificate and other revised land records mentioning the relevant khasra numbers have been uploaded. It also submitted that a single institutional land parcel has been certified by the competent revenue authority. The institution furnished revised building safety, fire safety, building completion and approved building plan documents indicating earmarked areas for teacher education and multidisciplinary programmes. It submitted updated geo-tagged photographs relating to the repaired infrastructure, library, multipurpose hall, playground, ICT facilities and barrier-free access. The institution further stated that the teaching staff list approved by the affiliating university and salary records evidencing payment through banking channels have been placed on record. It also submitted that the institutional website has been updated in compliance with the disclosure requirements prescribed under the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution attributed discrepancies in earlier documents to clerical or typographical errors and furnished revised documents and clarifications in support thereof.

### III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

**The Appeal Committee, in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026 held online on 01<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 04.10.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 24.04.2026.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution that the proposal for merger/transition into a multidisciplinary higher education institution had been approved by the affiliating university, Maharshi Dayanand Saraswati University, Ajmer, vide Letter No. F.14()Acad-11/2026/1559 dated 04.04.2026 and Dispatch No. 12774-783 dated 09.04.2026, and that approval had also been accorded by the Commissioner, College Education, Rajasthan, Jaipur under the ITEP Guidelines, along with issuance of the requirement/recommendation certificate for continuation of the Teacher Education Programme in the area. The institution further submitted that Mutation Certificate issued by Nagar Parishad, Kuchaman City vide Letter No. 5756 dated 19.05.2026, Land and Building Certificate, CLU/LUC, Non-Encumbrance Certificate and related revenue records issued by the competent authorities clearly reflected Khasra Nos. 1577, 1578, 1579, 1580, 1581, 1651, 1652, 1653, 1654, 1658, 1659, 1660, 1661, 2578/1432 and 2662/1661 as constituting a single institutional land parcel measuring 13,500 sq. mtrs. meant for educational purposes. It was also submitted that revised Building Safety Certificate, Building Completion Certificate and approved building plans dated 21.05.2026 had been issued by the competent PWD authorities, specifying separate earmarked land and built-up areas for Teacher Education Programmes and multidisciplinary programmes, namely 6,693.30 sq. mtrs. land and 6,750 sq. mtrs. built-up area for Teacher Education Programmes and 6,500.70 sq. mtrs. land with 6,000 sq. mtrs. built-up area for multidisciplinary programmes. The institution further submitted that updated geo-tagged photographs had been furnished depicting repaired institutional infrastructure, library and reading room facilities, multipurpose hall with seating capacity exceeding 200 persons, playground and sports facilities, ICT laboratory facilities and barrier-free access through ramps. It was stated that the Fire Safety Certificate related to the constructed area of 3,339 sq. mtrs., while the remaining area comprised open institutional land and playgrounds, and that the revised Fire Safety Certificate issued by the competent authority had been submitted. The institution also submitted that the teaching staff list for B.A.B.Ed. and B.Sc.B.Ed. programmes had been prepared in the prescribed NCTE format and duly approved by Maharshi Dayanand Saraswati University, Ajmer vide Letter No. F.14 Shiksha-II/Adyapak/2026/8523 dated 27.02.2026; salary payments to teaching staff were being made through bulk NEFT transfers supported by bank statements; and the institutional website, namely shreenarayancollege.in, had been updated with mandatory disclosures in compliance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in response to the deficiencies communicated through the refusal order dated 24.04.2026. The institution submitted that it functions as a multidisciplinary higher education institution and placed on record merger/transition approval from Maharshi Dayanand Saraswati University, Ajmer and recommendation issued by the Commissioner, College Education, Rajasthan, along with the requisite requirement certificate. It further furnished revised land-related documents, including

mutation, land and building certificates, land use records, Non-Encumbrance Certificate and clarifications regarding khasra particulars, stating that the competent revenue authority had certified the existence of a single institutional land parcel. The institution also placed on record revised Building Safety, Fire Safety, Building Completion and approved building plan documents indicating earmarked areas for teacher education and multidisciplinary programmes, along with updated geo-tagged photographs of institutional facilities and accessibility provisions. It was further submitted that the university-approved teaching staff list, salary records evidencing payment through banking channels, updated website disclosures and revised documents addressing earlier clerical or typographical discrepancies had been furnished for consideration.

The Appeal Committee, upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the impugned order, the Appeal Report, the records available on file, and the submissions advanced during the hearing, observes that the impugned decision of the concerned Regional Committee is founded upon findings of non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended from time to time, read with the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025. The deficiencies recorded therein pertain to core statutory requirements governing eligibility, including fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status, and are foundational to consideration of recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP). At the appellate stage under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, the appellant institution has placed on record certain additional documents along with a compliance report purportedly in rectification of the deficiencies noted by the Regional Committee. The Appeal Committee notes that a substantial portion of such material was either not placed before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order or has not undergone verification and authentication by the competent authority in accordance with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended. The Committee is further of the considered view that eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) is contingent upon the institution being a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the provisions and norms prescribed by the NCTE. Further, while processing and considering applications for ITEP, it is incumbent upon the concerned Regional Committee to ensure that the infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and found to be in conformity with the norms and regulations prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to compliance with the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. Such examination shall necessarily include verification of the availability and adequacy of land area and built-up area of the institution in its capacity as a Multidisciplinary Institution intending to offer the ITEP programme, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions

(MDI) dated 15.05.2025, and other applicable statutory requirements, taking into account the land and built-up area requirements prescribed by the respective competent/statutory authority for the programmes already established and proposed to be offered by the institution. It is a settled position that compliance with recognition norms is required to be established through contemporaneous, duly authenticated, and verifiable documentary evidence, and the burden of establishing strict and continuous compliance rests squarely upon the applicant institution. Mere assertions, post facto submissions, or unverified documents cannot, by themselves, displace or invalidate the findings recorded by the Regional Committee. In this context, the Committee also takes note of the principle enunciated by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in ***Rambha College of Education v. NCTE, W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016***, wherein it has been recognised that subsequent material and developments brought on record during the pendency of appellate proceedings cannot be disregarded merely on the ground that the same were not before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order. The Hon'ble Court has recognised that such material may be considered by the Appellate Authority and, where warranted, the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law. At the same time, the Committee emphasises that consideration of additional material at the appellate stage does not ipso facto establish regulatory compliance or confer validity upon such documents, and that any such material is necessarily required to be subjected to strict factual verification and regulatory scrutiny in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended.

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire matter, and having regard to the aforesaid judicial pronouncements and the factual matrix of the present case, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in furtherance of the principles of fairness and due process, deems it appropriate to set aside the impugned order dated 24.04.2026 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC), strictly for the limited purpose of factual re-examination of the appellant institution's claim regarding fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status and other deficiencies identified in the impugned order. The appellant institution shall submit complete, duly authenticated, contemporaneous, and verifiable documentary evidence before the concerned Regional Committee within fifteen (15) days from the date of issuance of this order. Upon receipt thereof, the Regional Committee shall examine the matter strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, and the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, including verification of the institution's eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP), which is contingent upon the institution qualifying as a Multidisciplinary Institution in

accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE, and ensuring that infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and conform to the norms prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. The Regional Committee shall thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order strictly in accordance with law and uninfluenced by any observations made herein. It is clarified that the present remand is limited in scope and shall not create any presumption, equity, or vested right in favour of the appellant institution.

#### IV. DECISION: -

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, and the submissions made by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 24.04.2026 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for fresh consideration and factual re-examination, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, subject to the directions contained hereinabove. The appellant institution shall submit the requisite documents within fifteen (15) days, whereupon the Regional Committee shall proceed in accordance with law and pass a reasoned and speaking order within a reasonable timeframe.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Shree Narayan Shikshan Sansthan, Khasra No. 1577-1581, 1651-1654, 1658-1661, 2578/1432, 2662/1661, Sikar Road Kuchamancity, Nagaur, Rajasthan – 341508.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. The Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date – 11.06.2026

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
**89-203/E-410564/2026 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026**  
**APPLWRC202615585**

Rajasthan College of Education, Khasra No. 23, 24, 25, 26, Narnaul- Behror Road, Jakhrana, Behror, Alwar, Rajasthan – 301713	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G- 7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Mr. Subhash Singh, Principal</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>01<sup>st</sup> &amp; 2<sup>nd</sup> June 2026</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>11.06.2026</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Rajasthan College of Education, Khasra No. 23, 24, 25, 26, Narnaul-Behror Road, Jakhrana, Behror, Alwar, Rajasthan – 301713** dated 25.05.2026 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2627202509162507/RAJASTHAN/2025/ REJC/ 72** dated 30.04.2026 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "1. The institution has not uploaded the certificate issued by the Government of Rajasthan justifying the requirement for a teacher education programme in the area, as required under Clause 4.3(i) of the NCTE Guidelines for transforming into Multidisciplinary Institutions. 2. The institution has expressed its intention to become a multidisciplinary institution through collaboration, as stipulated in the NCTE Guidelines for transforming NCTE recognized stand-alone teacher education institutions into multidisciplinary higher education institutions. 3. The institution has not uploaded Not-for-Profit Certificate issued by the concerned govt, competent authority. 4. The Institution has not uploaded Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of State Government mentioning the name of institution, Khasra/Plot/Survey No. and mentioning the total land area and total built-up area earmarked for each course being run in the premises and the demarcated land and built-up area for the teacher education programmes including multi-disciplinary programmes. 5. As per the Building plan and BCC uploaded, the institution has mentioned the total built up area of 2518 sqm, which is not sufficient for the existing B.Ed. (Two units) and proposed ITEP course. 6. As per the uploaded Fire NOC on the Fire portal, plot area is 743 sq. mts. whereas the mentioned land area in the land details column is 6500 sq. mts. The land area is mismatched. The institution has not uploaded requisite Fire Safety Certificate issued by Fire Safety Department, Government of Rajasthan verifiable on the official portal of the Fire Department, Government of Rajasthan at URL [https://lsgonline.rajasthan.gov.in/track\\_application.aspx](https://lsgonline.rajasthan.gov.in/track_application.aspx). 7. The website of institution has not been updated and maintained in compliance to provisions under Clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time. 8. In the Land Details Built Up area mentioned in the Affidavit (in sq. m) is 2518, the institution is running multidisciplinary and teacher education programme/courses in the same campus/premises i.e. B.A. 120x3=360, B.Sc. 120x3=360. M.A. 120x2=240. The sufficiency of land and built-up area for the above courses with of multidisciplinary courses and Intake for Bachelor of Education (B.Ed.) degree 2 units, B.A. B.Ed. Secondary (1 unit), B.Sc. B.Ed. Secondary (1unit), course cannot be ascertained. 9. It cannot be ascertained from the bank statement uploaded by the institution that salary is being paid as per the Central/State Government pay scale. The institution has not been able to prove/confirm whether the

institution is paying salaries to the teaching staff as per Central/State Govt norms. 10. The uploaded list of teaching staff has not been duly countersigned by its affiliating body/Registrar of University and is not in the prescribed format of NCTE and not as per NCTE regulation 2014 and amendments time by time. 11. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the seating capacity of Library reading room is not sufficient as per NCTE norms. 12. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the Multipurpose Hall, Seminar Hall and common hall is same and is not as per NCTE norms. There is no furniture in the multipurpose hall. 13. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the college does not have adequate playgrounds and sports facility. 14. Uploaded geotagged photograph shows that Computer lab is not proper, ICT related facilities are not visible in the uploaded geotagged photographs”

## II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

**Mr. Subhash Singh, Principal of Rajasthan College of Education, Khasra No. 23, 24, 25, 26, Narnaul-Behror Road, Jakhrana, Behror, Alwar, Rajasthan – 301713** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “1. We have certificate from the Govt of Rajasthan of this point. 2. We have collaboration with Rao Piyush Singh College and approved by Affiliating University. 3. We are uploading Not for Profit Certificate (DARPAN ID) issued by the concern Govt. Competent Authority. 4. We are uploading Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of State Govt. 5. We are uploading a new Building Plan Print, we have sufficient space for Education Courses. 6. We have uploaded Fire Safety Certificate issued by DC/EO Commissioner, Behror, Govt. of Rajasthan LINK IS: [https:// lsgonline.rajasthan.gov.in/FireNoC/Uploads/44f20ac7-2c79-486b-b77c-2fa07a5e5c85.pdf](https://lsgonline.rajasthan.gov.in/FireNoC/Uploads/44f20ac7-2c79-486b-b77c-2fa07a5e5c85.pdf). 7. We are uploading the main page of the college website. We are maintaining the website time to time as per NCTE Regulation, 2014. 8. Rao Piyush Singh College and Rajasthan College of Education have separate Building with sufficient space. 9. Teaching Staff of all Education Faculty programmed along with Bank Statement. 10. We have Approval Letter of Principal by the University and under process Approval of Teaching Staff. 11. We are uploading geotag photos with different angles of facilities. 12. We have separated seminar and multipurpose hall. 13. We are uploading geotag photos of playground. 14. We are uploading geotag photos labs. The appellant institution also submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in compliance with the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 30.04.2026. In the affidavit, the institution undertook/submitted that it complies with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, NCTE Regulations, 2014, applicable Norms and Standards, and the Guidelines for Transformation into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions, and that all documents furnished are genuine and verifiable. The institution submitted that the collaboration arrangement between Rajasthan College of Education and Rao Piyush Singh College, both

run by the same society, has been approved by the affiliating university and competent authority. It further stated that the requirement/recommendation certificate issued by the Commissioner, College Education, Rajasthan, has been furnished. The institution submitted that the Not-for-Profit certificate and fire safety certificate issued by the competent authority have been uploaded. It also furnished an approved building plan and stated that sufficient infrastructure and separate buildings are available for multidisciplinary and teacher education programmes. The institution submitted revised fire safety documents and clarifications regarding the land area reflected therein. It further stated that the institutional website has been updated in accordance with the disclosure requirements under the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution submitted salary-related documents in support of payment through banking channels and stated that approval of the Principal has been obtained from the affiliating university, while approval of the teaching staff is under process. It also furnished updated geo-tagged photographs relating to the library, multipurpose hall, playground and ICT/computer laboratory facilities. The institution placed the aforesaid documents and clarifications on record in support of the appeal.

### III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

**The Appeal Committee, in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026 held online on 01<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 01.10.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 30.04.2026.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution that it had obtained the requisite certificate from the Government of Rajasthan in respect of the deficiency relating to the requirement/recommendation for the Teacher Education Programme. The institution further submitted that it had entered into collaboration with Rao Piyush Singh College, which had been approved by the affiliating university, and relevant documents had been furnished. It was submitted that a Not-for-Profit Certificate in the form of DARPAN ID issued by the competent Government authority had been uploaded. The institution also stated that approved Building Plans issued by the competent State Government authority had been submitted, along with a

revised building plan indicating sufficient land and built-up area for Teacher Education Programmes. It was further submitted that Rao Piyush Singh College and Rajasthan College of Education function from separate buildings with adequate space and infrastructure. The institution submitted that a valid Fire Safety Certificate issued by the Deputy Commissioner/Executive Officer, Behror, Government of Rajasthan had been uploaded. It was further stated that the institutional website was being maintained and updated from time to time in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and the homepage had been furnished for reference. The institution also submitted that teaching staff details relating to Teacher Education Programmes, together with bank statements evidencing salary transactions, had been furnished; approval of the Principal had been obtained from the affiliating university, while approval of the teaching staff was stated to be under process. Updated geo-tagged photographs depicting institutional facilities, including laboratories, playground, seminar hall, separate multipurpose hall and other infrastructural facilities from different angles, were also stated to have been uploaded. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in response to the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 30.04.2026. The institution submitted that the collaboration arrangement between Rajasthan College of Education and Rao Piyush Singh College had been approved by the affiliating university and competent authority, and placed on record the requirement/recommendation certificate issued by the Commissioner, College Education, Rajasthan. It further furnished the Not-for-Profit Certificate, Fire Safety Certificate, approved building plan and clarified that separate infrastructure and buildings are available for multidisciplinary and teacher education programmes, including clarifications regarding the land area reflected in the fire safety documents. The institution also submitted that the website had been updated in accordance with the disclosure requirements under the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and placed on record salary documents evidencing payment through banking channels, while stating that approval of the Principal had been obtained from the affiliating university and approval of the teaching staff was under process. Updated geo-tagged photographs relating to the library, multipurpose hall, playground and ICT/computer laboratory facilities were also placed on record for consideration.

The Appeal Committee, upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the impugned order, the Appeal Report, the records available on file, and the submissions advanced during the hearing, observes that the impugned decision of the concerned Regional Committee is founded upon findings of non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended from time to time, read with the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025. The

deficiencies recorded therein pertain to core statutory requirements governing eligibility, including fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status, and are foundational to consideration of recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP). At the appellate stage under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, the appellant institution has placed on record certain additional documents along with a compliance report purportedly in rectification of the deficiencies noted by the Regional Committee. The Appeal Committee notes that a substantial portion of such material was either not placed before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order or has not undergone verification and authentication by the competent authority in accordance with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended. The Committee is further of the considered view that eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) is contingent upon the institution being a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the provisions and norms prescribed by the NCTE. Further, while processing and considering applications for ITEP, it is incumbent upon the concerned Regional Committee to ensure that the infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and found to be in conformity with the norms and regulations prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to compliance with the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. Such examination shall necessarily include verification of the availability and adequacy of land area and built-up area of the institution in its capacity as a Multidisciplinary Institution intending to offer the ITEP programme, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, and other applicable statutory requirements, taking into account the land and built-up area requirements prescribed by the respective competent/statutory authority for the programmes already established and proposed to be offered by the institution. It is a settled position that compliance with recognition norms is required to be established through contemporaneous, duly authenticated, and verifiable documentary evidence, and the burden of establishing strict and continuous compliance rests squarely upon the applicant institution. Mere assertions, post facto submissions, or unverified documents cannot, by themselves, displace or invalidate the findings recorded by the Regional Committee. In this context, the Committee also takes note of the principle enunciated by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in ***Rambha College of Education v. NCTE, W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016***, wherein it has been recognised that subsequent material and developments brought on record during the pendency of appellate proceedings cannot be disregarded merely on the ground that the same were not before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order. The Hon'ble Court has recognised that such material may be considered by the Appellate Authority and, where warranted, the

matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law. At the same time, the Committee emphasises that consideration of additional material at the appellate stage does not ipso facto establish regulatory compliance or confer validity upon such documents, and that any such material is necessarily required to be subjected to strict factual verification and regulatory scrutiny in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended.

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire matter, and having regard to the aforesaid judicial pronouncements and the factual matrix of the present case, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in furtherance of the principles of fairness and due process, deems it appropriate to set aside the impugned order dated 30.04.2026 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC), strictly for the limited purpose of factual re-examination of the appellant institution's claim regarding fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status and other deficiencies identified in the impugned order. The appellant institution shall submit complete, duly authenticated, contemporaneous, and verifiable documentary evidence before the concerned Regional Committee within fifteen (15) days from the date of issuance of this order. Upon receipt thereof, the Regional Committee shall examine the matter strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, and the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, including verification of the institution's eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP), which is contingent upon the institution qualifying as a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE, and ensuring that infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and conform to the norms prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. The Regional Committee shall thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order strictly in accordance with law and uninfluenced by any observations made herein. It is clarified that the present remand is limited in scope and shall not create any presumption, equity, or vested right in favour of the appellant institution.

**IV. DECISION: -**

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, and the submissions made by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 30.04.2026 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for fresh consideration and factual re-examination, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, subject to the directions contained hereinabove. The appellant institution shall submit the requisite documents within fifteen (15) days, whereupon the Regional Committee shall proceed in accordance with law and pass a reasoned and speaking order within a reasonable timeframe.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. **The Principal, Rajasthan College of Education, Khasra No. 23, 24, 25, 26, Narnaul-Behror Road, Jakhrana, Behror, Alwar, Rajasthan – 301713.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **The Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.**



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date – 11.06.2026

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
**89-204/E-410486/2026 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026**  
**APPLWRC202615587 –**

Madhav Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 3258/2125, 3288/1665, Safed Aakada Road, Barmer, Rajasthan – 344001	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Mr. Gopal Singh Rajpurohit, Secretary</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>01<sup>st</sup> &amp; 2<sup>nd</sup> June 2026</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>11.06.2026</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### I. GROUNDINGS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Madhav Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 3258/2125, 3288/1665, Safed Aakada Road, Barmer, Rajasthan – 344001** dated 24.05.2026 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2627202509303490/RAJASTHAN/2025/REJC/1670** dated 20.05.2026 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "As the reply given by the institution is not satisfactory, the Committee accepts the report of the visiting team in this regard that, 1. Building Plan Shown to VT Member have some deficiencies like incorrect dimensions and not signed by Council of Architecture registered architect. 2. Break-Up of Built-Up Area Mentioned In The Building Plan For The Teacher Education Programme/S- are not proper. 3. The name and address of Institution is not mentioned in the Building Safety Certificate. There is no provision of Lift or Ramp for the upper floors. 4. The Building Completion Certificate shown to VT Expert does not have this salutation of issuing authority and the construction area is mismatch. 5. Land Use Certificate is not in proper format. 6. Education Encyclopaedia, Title of Book, Electronic Publication, Digital or Online Resources, Professional Research General are not proper and not as per NCTE norms. Number of Books are in library are not verified and Institution fails to confirm the Number of books the institution. Some books and journals need to be added. 7. Building Completion Certificate dose bear issuing date. Construction area is mismatched. Salutation of signatory authority is not correct. 8. Land related details are not satisfactory. 9. Academic facilities are available. Classroom, Lab, furniture are available and shown during the visit. Some books and journals need to be added. Because land related details are not satisfactory so it may not be accepted."

### II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

**Mr. Gopal Singh Rajpurohit, Secretary of Madhav Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 3258/2125, 3288/1665, Safed Aakada Road, Barmer, Rajasthan – 344001** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "1. Land Use Certificate is in proper format issued by Competent Government Authority. 2. New Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by Competent Government Authority on dated. 3. Building Plan prepared by Registered Competent Architecture with correct dimensions. 4. The name and address of institution is mentioned in the Building Safety Certificate. 5. There is provision of Ramp for the upper floor. 6. Building Completion Certificate is according to construction. 7. More than 4500 books and 1600 titles area visible in library."

The appellant institution submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in compliance with the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 20.05.2026. In the affidavit, the institution undertook/submitted that it complies with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, NCTE Regulations, 2014, applicable Norms and Standards, and the Guidelines for Transformation into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions, and that all documents furnished are genuine and verifiable. The institution submitted that it already holds NCTE recognition for the B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. integrated programme and that the present application pertains to transition into ITEP. It further stated that multidisciplinary and teacher education programmes are being conducted with affiliation from Jai Narain Vyas University, Jodhpur. The institution submitted that a Land Use Certificate in the prescribed format issued by the competent authority has been furnished. It also stated that a revised Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the competent authority has been uploaded. The institution furnished a building plan prepared by a registered architect with corrected dimensions and submitted that the Building Safety Certificate reflects the name and address of the institution. It further submitted that barrier-free access facilities, including a ramp for the upper floor, are available. The institution stated that the Building Completion Certificate corresponds with the existing construction. It also submitted that the library is equipped with more than 4,500 books and 1,600 titles in support of compliance with the prescribed norms. The aforesaid documents and clarifications were placed on record in support of the appeal.

### III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

**The Appeal Committee, in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026 held online on 01<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 03.10.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 20.05.2026.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution that, in response to the deficiencies pointed out in the impugned order, it had furnished a Land Use Certificate in the prescribed format issued by the competent Government authority. It was further submitted that a fresh Non-Encumbrance

Certificate issued by the competent Government authority had also been obtained and submitted. The institution stated that the Building Plan had been prepared by a registered competent architect with correct dimensions and that the Building Safety Certificate duly mentioned the name and address of the institution. It was also submitted that the Building Completion Certificate corresponded with the existing construction and had been furnished accordingly. The institution further submitted that barrier-free access facilities had been provided, including a ramp for access to the upper floor of the building. With regard to library facilities, it was stated that the institution maintained a library containing more than 4,500 books and 1,600 titles, which were visible and available as part of the institutional infrastructure. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in response to the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 20.05.2026. The institution submitted that it already holds NCTE recognition for the B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. integrated programme and that the present application relates to transition into ITEP, while stating that multidisciplinary and teacher education programmes are being conducted with affiliation from Jai Narain Vyas University, Jodhpur. It further placed on record the Land Use Certificate, revised Non-Encumbrance Certificate, building plan prepared by a registered architect, Building Safety Certificate and Building Completion Certificate issued by the competent authorities, and clarified that barrier-free access facilities, including ramps, are available in the institution. The institution also submitted that the library possesses more than 4,500 books and 1,600 titles in support of the availability of prescribed learning resources. The aforesaid documents and clarifications were placed on record for consideration of the appeal.

The Appeal Committee, upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the impugned order, the Appeal Report, the records available on file, and the submissions advanced during the hearing, observes that the impugned decision of the concerned Regional Committee is founded upon findings of non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended from time to time, read with the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025. The deficiencies recorded therein pertain to core statutory requirements governing eligibility, including fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status, and are foundational to consideration of recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP). At the appellate stage under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, the appellant institution has placed on record certain additional documents along with a compliance report purportedly in

rectification of the deficiencies noted by the Regional Committee. The Appeal Committee notes that a substantial portion of such material was either not placed before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order or has not undergone verification and authentication by the competent authority in accordance with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended. The Committee is further of the considered view that eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) is contingent upon the institution being a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the provisions and norms prescribed by the NCTE. Further, while processing and considering applications for ITEP, it is incumbent upon the concerned Regional Committee to ensure that the infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and found to be in conformity with the norms and regulations prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to compliance with the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. Such examination shall necessarily include verification of the availability and adequacy of land area and built-up area of the institution in its capacity as a Multidisciplinary Institution intending to offer the ITEP programme, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, and other applicable statutory requirements, taking into account the land and built-up area requirements prescribed by the respective competent/statutory authority for the programmes already established and proposed to be offered by the institution. It is a settled position that compliance with recognition norms is required to be established through contemporaneous, duly authenticated, and verifiable documentary evidence, and the burden of establishing strict and continuous compliance rests squarely upon the applicant institution. Mere assertions, post facto submissions, or unverified documents cannot, by themselves, displace or invalidate the findings recorded by the Regional Committee. In this context, the Committee also takes note of the principle enunciated by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in **Rambha College of Education v. NCTE, W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016**, wherein it has been recognised that subsequent material and developments brought on record during the pendency of appellate proceedings cannot be disregarded merely on the ground that the same were not before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order. The Hon'ble Court has recognised that such material may be considered by the Appellate Authority and, where warranted, the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law. At the same time, the Committee emphasises that consideration of additional material at the appellate

stage does not ipso facto establish regulatory compliance or confer validity upon such documents, and that any such material is necessarily required to be subjected to strict factual verification and regulatory scrutiny in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended.

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire matter, and having regard to the aforesaid judicial pronouncements and the factual matrix of the present case, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in furtherance of the principles of fairness and due process, deems it appropriate to set aside the impugned order dated 20.05.2026 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC), strictly for the limited purpose of factual re-examination of the appellant institution's claim regarding fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status and other deficiencies identified in the impugned order. The appellant institution shall submit complete, duly authenticated, contemporaneous, and verifiable documentary evidence before the concerned Regional Committee within fifteen (15) days from the date of issuance of this order. Upon receipt thereof, the Regional Committee shall examine the matter strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, and the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, including verification of the institution's eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP), which is contingent upon the institution qualifying as a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE, and ensuring that infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and conform to the norms prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. The Regional Committee shall thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order strictly in accordance with law and uninfluenced by any observations made herein. It is clarified that the present remand is limited in scope and shall not create any presumption, equity, or vested right in favour of the appellant institution.

**IV. DECISION: -**

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, and the submissions made by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 20.05.2026 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for fresh consideration and factual re-examination, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, subject to the directions contained hereinabove. The appellant institution shall submit the requisite documents within fifteen (15) days, whereupon the Regional Committee shall proceed in accordance with law and pass a reasoned and speaking order within a reasonable timeframe.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. **The Principal, Madhav Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 3258/2125, 3288/1665, Safed Aakada Road, Barmer, Rajasthan – 344001.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **The Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.**



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date – 11.06.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-205/E-410481/2026 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026**

**APPLWRC202615630 ✓**

Tagore Teachers Training College, Plot No. 3, 4, 10, 14, 15, 16, 19, 24, 25, 29, 30, 35-42, One bigha, Kekri, Ajmer Road, Tagore Nagar, Rajasthan – 305404	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G- 7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Dr. Sarita Gupta, HOD</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>01<sup>st</sup> &amp; 2<sup>nd</sup> June 2026</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>11.06.2026</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Tagore Teachers Training College, Plot No. 3, 4, 10, 14, 15, 16, 19, 24, 25, 29, 30, 35-42, One bigha, Kekri, Ajmer Road, Tagore Nagar, Rajasthan – 305404** dated 30.04.2026 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/ 2627202509233104/ RAJASTHAN/2025/REJC/1659** dated 06.03.2026 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "1. The institution has not uploaded the certificate issued by the Government of Rajasthan justifying the requirement for a teacher education programme in the area, as required under Clause 4.3(i) of the NCTE Guidelines for transforming into Multidisciplinary Institutions. The institution is required to upload the same. 2. The proposal for collaboration between the institutions has not been approved by their affiliating university, as required under Clause 4.3(a) of the NCTE Guidelines for transforming into Multidisciplinary Institutions. The institution is required to upload the same. 3. The institution has not uploaded the Exemption Certificate (Form 12A) issued by the Income Tax Department or issued by government competent authority. 4. The institution has not uploaded the Not-for-Profit Certificate issued by government competent authority. 5. The institution has not uploaded Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of State Government mentioning the name of institution, Khasra/Plot/Survey No. and mentioning the total land area and total built-up area earmarked for each course being run in the premises and the demarcated land area and built-up area for the teacher education programmes including multi-disciplinary programmes. 6. The institution has not uploaded the list of teaching staff of all Education Faculty Programmes duly approved and countersigned by its affiliating body. 7. The Institution has not uploaded Fire Safety Certificate Issued by Fire Safety Government of Rajasthan verifiable on the official portal of the Fire Department, Department of Rajasthan at URL [https://sgonline.rajasthan.gov.in/track\\_application.aspx](https://sgonline.rajasthan.gov.in/track_application.aspx). 8. The institution has not uploaded the certified land documents issued by the Competent Government Authority. 9. The institution has not uploaded Mutation Certificate mentioning all Khasra/Plot/Survey No. issued by Competent Authority of State Government. 10. The institution has not uploaded latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) issued by Competent Authority of State Government indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances issued by Competent Government Authority. 11. The website of institution has not been updated and maintained in compliance to provisions under Clause 7(14)(1), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time. 12. The institution has not uploaded Building Completion Certificate (BCC) in the prescribed format of NCTE (17 points) issued by the Competent Government Authority for all the courses being conducted in the premises. 13. The institution

has not uploaded the list of teaching staff duly approved and countersigned by its affiliating body in the prescribed format of NCTE and teaching staff should be as per NCTE norms. 14. It cannot be ascertained from the bank statement uploaded by the institution that salary is being paid as per the Central/State Government pay scale. 15. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the seating capacity of Library reading room is not sufficient as per NCTE norms. 16. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the Multipurpose Hall is not as per NCTE norms/ furniture in the multipurpose hall is inadequate.”

## **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Dr. Sarita Gupta, HOD of Tagore Teachers Training College, Plot No. 3, 4, 10, 14, 15, 16, 19, 24, 25, 29, 30, 35-42, One bigha, Kekri, Ajmer Road, Tagore Nagar, Rajasthan – 305404** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “The Institution has submitted the hard copy of Appeal Documents.” The appellant institution also submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in compliance with the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 30.04.2026. In the affidavit, the institution undertook/submitted that it complies with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, NCTE Regulations, 2014, applicable Norms and Standards, and the Guidelines for Transformation into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions, and that all documents furnished are genuine and verifiable. The institution submitted that the requirement/recommendation certificate issued by the State Government and approvals relating to the collaboration arrangement between Tagore Teachers Training College and Alok Vigyan Mahavidhyalaya have been furnished. It further stated that the Not-for-Profit Certificate has been submitted and that the exemption certificate has been applied for. The institution submitted the approved building plan indicating the relevant land particulars and earmarked built-up area for the courses being conducted. It also furnished the teaching staff list duly countersigned by the Registrar of Maharshi Dayanand Saraswati University, Ajmer, in the prescribed format. The institution stated that a valid Fire Safety Certificate, certified land documents, mutation certificate and latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the competent authorities have been placed on record. It further submitted that the institutional website has been updated and contains the mandatory disclosures prescribed under the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution also furnished the Building Completion Certificate in the prescribed format and salary records evidencing payment through banking channels. It submitted geo-tagged photographs of the reading room and multipurpose hall and stated that adequate seating, furniture and infrastructure are available in accordance with the prescribed norms. The aforesaid documents and clarifications were placed on record in support of the appeal.

### III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

**The Appeal Committee, in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026 held online on 01<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 04.10.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 06.03.2026.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution that, in support of the appeal preferred against the impugned order, it had submitted the hard copy of the appeal documents along with the relevant records and supporting documents for consideration. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in response to the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 30.04.2026. The institution submitted that the requirement/ recommendation certificate issued by the State Government and approvals relating to the collaboration arrangement between Tagore Teachers Training College and Alok Vigyan Mahavidhyalaya had been furnished, and further stated that the Not-for-Profit Certificate had been submitted while the exemption certificate had been applied for. It placed on record the approved building plan, certified land documents, mutation certificate, latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate, valid Fire Safety Certificate and Building Completion Certificate issued by the competent authorities. The institution further submitted the teaching staff list duly countersigned by Maharshi Dayanand Saraswati University, Ajmer, salary records evidencing payment through banking channels, and updated website disclosures in accordance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014. It also furnished geo-tagged photographs of the reading room and multipurpose hall, stating that the requisite seating capacity and infrastructural facilities are available for the programmes being conducted.

The Appeal Committee, upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the impugned order, the Appeal Report, the records available on file, and the submissions advanced during the hearing, observes that the impugned decision of the concerned Regional Committee is founded upon findings of non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993, the NCTE

(Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended from time to time, read with the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025. The deficiencies recorded therein pertain to core statutory requirements governing eligibility, including fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status, and are foundational to consideration of recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP). At the appellate stage under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, the appellant institution has placed on record certain additional documents along with a compliance report purportedly in rectification of the deficiencies noted by the Regional Committee. The Appeal Committee notes that a substantial portion of such material was either not placed before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order or has not undergone verification and authentication by the competent authority in accordance with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended. The Committee is further of the considered view that eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) is contingent upon the institution being a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the provisions and norms prescribed by the NCTE. Further, while processing and considering applications for ITEP, it is incumbent upon the concerned Regional Committee to ensure that the infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and found to be in conformity with the norms and regulations prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to compliance with the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. Such examination shall necessarily include verification of the availability and adequacy of land area and built-up area of the institution in its capacity as a Multidisciplinary Institution intending to offer the ITEP programme, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, and other applicable statutory requirements, taking into account the land and built-up area requirements prescribed by the respective competent/statutory authority for the programmes already established and proposed to be offered by the institution. It is a settled position that compliance with recognition norms is required to be established through contemporaneous, duly authenticated, and verifiable documentary evidence, and the burden of establishing strict and continuous compliance rests squarely upon the applicant institution. Mere assertions, post facto submissions, or unverified documents cannot, by themselves, displace or invalidate the findings recorded by the Regional Committee. In this context, the Committee also takes note of the principle enunciated by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in ***Rambha College of Education v. NCTE, W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016***, wherein it has been recognised that subsequent material and developments brought on record during the pendency of appellate proceedings cannot be disregarded merely on the ground that the same were not before the Regional



Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order. The Hon'ble Court has recognised that such material may be considered by the Appellate Authority and, where warranted, the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law. At the same time, the Committee emphasises that consideration of additional material at the appellate stage does not ipso facto establish regulatory compliance or confer validity upon such documents, and that any such material is necessarily required to be subjected to strict factual verification and regulatory scrutiny in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended.

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire matter, and having regard to the aforesaid judicial pronouncements and the factual matrix of the present case, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in furtherance of the principles of fairness and due process, deems it appropriate to set aside the impugned order dated 06.03.2026 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC), strictly for the limited purpose of factual re-examination of the appellant institution's claim regarding fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status and other deficiencies identified in the impugned order. The appellant institution shall submit complete, duly authenticated, contemporaneous, and verifiable documentary evidence before the concerned Regional Committee within fifteen (15) days from the date of issuance of this order. Upon receipt thereof, the Regional Committee shall examine the matter strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, and the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, including verification of the institution's eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP), which is contingent upon the institution qualifying as a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE, and ensuring that infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and conform to the norms prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. The Regional Committee shall thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order strictly in accordance with law and uninfluenced by any observations made herein. It is clarified that the present remand is limited in scope and shall not create any presumption, equity, or vested right in favour of the appellant institution.

**IV. DECISION: -**

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, and the submissions made by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 06.03.2026 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for fresh consideration and factual re-examination, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, subject to the directions contained hereinabove. The appellant institution shall submit the requisite documents within fifteen (15) days, whereupon the Regional Committee shall proceed in accordance with law and pass a reasoned and speaking order within a reasonable timeframe.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. **The Principal, Tagore Teachers Training College, Plot No. 3, 4, 10, 14, 15, 16, 19, 24, 25, 29, 30, 35-42, One bigha, Kekri, Ajmer Road, Tagore Nagar, Rajasthan – 305404.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **The Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.**



**एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date – 11.06.2026

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
**89-206/E-410485/2026 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026**  
**APPLWRC202615579 ✓**

Maa Vankal Malani Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 1526/668, 1637/625, Chohtan, Barmer, Rajasthan - 344702	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Mr. Jagdish Vishnoi, Secretary</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	Regional Director, WRC
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	01 <sup>st</sup> & 2 <sup>nd</sup> June 2026
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	11.06.2026

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **Maa Vankal Malani Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 1526/668, 1637/625, Chohtan, Barmer, Rajasthan - 344702** dated 22.05.2026 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. NCTE/WRC2627202509273375/RAJASTHAN.2025/REJC/1644** dated 15.05.2026 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "As the reply given by the institution is not satisfactory, the Committee accepts the report of the visiting team in this regard that: 1. No Lift or Ramp for upper floor, accessible washrooms not constructed as per norms. Building is not Barrier free. 2. The institution does not possess basic instructional facilities required for effective teaching-learning processes. Lack of ICT-enabled teaching facilities such as smart boards, projectors, and audio-visual aids. 3. Humanities related laboratories were not properly established and maintained. 4. There was no Language Laboratory. 5. Geography Laboratory was very small dimension wise and was also not having proper equipment's and apparatuses. 6. Psychology Lab also was not having proper paper pencil and performance tests as well as psychological equipment were very few in number. 7. Science laboratories (Physics, Chemistry, Biology) required for B.Sc. B.Ed. are inadequately equipped. 8. The library was found to be inadequately equipped. It does not possess any encyclopaedias or standard reference books. No online resources, e-journals, or digital library facilities were available No students log registers were maintained in the labs as well as in the library. 9. Seating capacity of the library is insufficient for enrolled students. 10. Multipurpose Hall was not properly equipped with digital resources as no provision of electricity was there. 11. No lift facility was there so accessibility issue was there for physically challenged students. 12. Girls common room was not properly maintained having boys urinals in attached washroom, no sanitary pad dispenser was installed. 13. Rainwater harvesting system was not properly managed there was no concept for harvesting the rainwater in such a water scarcity region. 14. Girls common room was not properly maintained having boys urinals in attached washroom, no sanitary pad dispenser was installed. 15. Qualified faculty as per NCTE norms are not adequately appointed. 16. Shortage of subject-specific faculty for science and humanities disciplines. 17. Lack of proper sports and physical education facilities, playgrounds, and equipment. 18. Insufficient sanitation facilities and drinking water arrangements. 19. The institute's physical infrastructure has not been upgraded in terms of humanities labs with advanced equipment. Barrier free environment have not been developed in their campus as per the observations of the Committee."

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Mr. Jagdish Vishnoi, Secretary of Maa Vankal Malani Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 1526/668, 1637/625, Chohtan, Barmer, Rajasthan - 344702** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that

1. Lift and Ramp are available upper floor, washrooms have been constructed as per prescribed norms. Building is barrier free, so that fire safety department of Rajasthan govt provide fire safety certificate.
2. The institution possesses basic instructional facilities required for effective teaching-learning processes. However, there is sufficient of ICT-enabled teaching facilities such as smart boards, projectors, and audio- visual aids.
3. Humanities-related laboratories are properly established and well maintained.
4. Language laboratory facilities are available in the institution.
5. The Geography Laboratory is adequate in dimension and is equipped with proper equipment and apparatus.
6. The Psychology Laboratory has proper paper-pencil and performance tests, as well as adequate psychological equipment.
7. Science laboratories (Physics, Chemistry, and Biology) required for the B.Sc. B.Ed. programme are available in the institution.
8. The library is adequately equipped with encyclopaedias and standard reference books. Online resources, e-journals, and digital library facilities are also available. Student log registers are maintained in the laboratories as well as in the library.
9. The library has adequate seating capacity for enrolled students.
10. The multipurpose hall is properly equipped with digital resources, and adequate provision of electricity is available.
11. Lift facility is available, ensuring accessibility for physically challenged students in case of fire lift not be used in that case ramp is also available.
12. The girls' common room is properly maintained. The attached washroom includes sanitary pad dispenser has been installed.
13. A rainwater harvesting system is properly managed in the institution, promoting the concept of rainwater conservation in this water-scarce region.
14. The girls' common room is properly maintained. The attached washroom includes sanitary pad dispenser has been Installed.
15. Qualified faculty members, as per NCTE norms, are adequately appointed in the institution.
16. Subject-specific faculty members are available for Science and Humanities disciplines.
17. Sports and physical education facilities, playgrounds, and necessary equipment are available in the institution.
18. Sanitation facilities and safe drinking water arrangements are available in the institution.
19. The institutes physical infrastructure has been upgraded with advanced equipment in the humanities Laboratories. A barrier-free environment has also been developed in the institution. The appellant institution also submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in compliance with the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 15.05.2026. In the affidavit, the institution undertook/submitted that it complies with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, NCTE Regulations, 2014, applicable Norms and Standards, and the Guidelines for Transformation into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions, and that all documents furnished are genuine and verifiable. The institution submitted that it already holds NCTE recognition for the B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. integrated programme and that

the present application pertains to transition into ITEP. It further stated that multidisciplinary and teacher education programmes are being conducted with affiliation from Jai Narain Vyas University, Jodhpur. The institution submitted that barrier-free access facilities, including lift, ramp and accessible washrooms, are available and that the building satisfies fire safety requirements. It stated that adequate instructional facilities, including ICT-enabled teaching resources, are available. The institution further submitted that humanities, language, geography, psychology and science laboratories are established and equipped in accordance with programme requirements. It stated that the library is adequately equipped with reference books, digital resources and maintains requisite registers, and that sufficient seating arrangements are available. The institution also submitted that the multipurpose hall is equipped with digital resources and adequate electrical facilities. It further stated that qualified and subject-specific faculty members have been appointed as per NCTE norms and that sports facilities, sanitation and safe drinking water arrangements are available. The institution additionally submitted that rainwater harvesting measures and upgraded infrastructure, including enhanced laboratory facilities and a barrier-free environment, have been developed on the campus.

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee, in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026 held online on 01<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 05.10.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 15.05.2026.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution that barrier-free infrastructure, including lift and ramp facilities for upper floors, accessible washrooms and other prescribed accessibility measures, had been provided, and that the institution possessed a valid Fire Safety Certificate issued by the Government of Rajasthan. The institution further submitted that adequate instructional and ICT-enabled teaching facilities, including smart boards, projectors, audio-visual aids and digital resources, were available. It was submitted that Humanities, Language, Geography and Psychology laboratories were properly established and equipped with the requisite apparatus, tests and instructional resources, and that Physics, Chemistry and Biology laboratories

required for the B.Sc.B.Ed. programme were also available. The institution further stated that the library was adequately equipped with encyclopaedias, reference books, e-resources, e-journals and digital library facilities, with student log registers being maintained, and that sufficient seating capacity was available for enrolled students. The institution also submitted that the multipurpose hall was adequately equipped with digital facilities and electricity provisions; the girls' common room and attached washrooms, including sanitary pad dispensers, were properly maintained; and rainwater harvesting arrangements had been established. It was further stated that qualified faculty, including subject-specific faculty for Science and Humanities disciplines, had been appointed in accordance with NCTE norms. Availability of sports and physical education facilities, playgrounds, sanitation arrangements, safe drinking water facilities and upgraded infrastructure with advanced equipment in humanities laboratories was also asserted. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in response to the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 15.05.2026. The institution submitted that it already holds NCTE recognition for the B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. integrated programme and that the present application pertains to transition into ITEP, while stating that multidisciplinary and teacher education programmes are being conducted under affiliation from Jai Narain Vyas University, Jodhpur. It further stated that barrier-free access facilities, including lift, ramps and accessible washrooms, are available and that adequate instructional infrastructure, ICT-enabled teaching resources, multipurpose hall facilities and fire safety measures have been provided. The institution also submitted that humanities, language, geography, psychology and science laboratories, along with library facilities comprising reference books, digital resources and requisite seating arrangements, are available for the programmes being conducted. It further placed on record that qualified and subject-specific faculty have been appointed as per prescribed norms and that sports facilities, sanitation, safe drinking water arrangements, rainwater harvesting measures and upgraded infrastructure, including enhanced laboratory facilities, are available on the campus.

The Appeal Committee, upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the impugned order, the Appeal Report, the records available on file, and the submissions advanced during the hearing, observes that the impugned decision of the concerned Regional Committee is founded upon findings of non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended from time to time, read with the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025. The deficiencies recorded therein pertain to core statutory requirements

governing eligibility, including fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status, and are foundational to consideration of recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP). At the appellate stage under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, the appellant institution has placed on record certain additional documents along with a compliance report purportedly in rectification of the deficiencies noted by the Regional Committee. The Appeal Committee notes that a substantial portion of such material was either not placed before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order or has not undergone verification and authentication by the competent authority in accordance with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended. The Committee is further of the considered view that eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) is contingent upon the institution being a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the provisions and norms prescribed by the NCTE. Further, while processing and considering applications for ITEP, it is incumbent upon the concerned Regional Committee to ensure that the infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and found to be in conformity with the norms and regulations prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to compliance with the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. Such examination shall necessarily include verification of the availability and adequacy of land area and built-up area of the institution in its capacity as a Multidisciplinary Institution intending to offer the ITEP programme, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, and other applicable statutory requirements, taking into account the land and built-up area requirements prescribed by the respective competent/statutory authority for the programmes already established and proposed to be offered by the institution. It is a settled position that compliance with recognition norms is required to be established through contemporaneous, duly authenticated, and verifiable documentary evidence, and the burden of establishing strict and continuous compliance rests squarely upon the applicant institution. Mere assertions, post facto submissions, or unverified documents cannot, by themselves, displace or invalidate the findings recorded by the Regional Committee. In this context, the Committee also takes note of the principle enunciated by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in ***Rambha College of Education v. NCTE, W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016***, wherein it has been recognised that subsequent material and developments brought on record during the pendency of appellate proceedings cannot be

disregarded merely on the ground that the same were not before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order. The Hon'ble Court has recognised that such material may be considered by the Appellate Authority and, where warranted, the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law. At the same time, the Committee emphasises that consideration of additional material at the appellate stage does not ipso facto establish regulatory compliance or confer validity upon such documents, and that any such material is necessarily required to be subjected to strict factual verification and regulatory scrutiny in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended.

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire matter, and having regard to the aforesaid judicial pronouncements and the factual matrix of the present case, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in furtherance of the principles of fairness and due process, deems it appropriate to set aside the impugned order dated 15.05.2026 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC), strictly for the limited purpose of factual re-examination of the appellant institution's claim regarding fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status and other deficiencies identified in the impugned order. The appellant institution shall submit complete, duly authenticated, contemporaneous, and verifiable documentary evidence before the concerned Regional Committee within fifteen (15) days from the date of issuance of this order. Upon receipt thereof, the Regional Committee shall examine the matter strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, and the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, including verification of the institution's eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP), which is contingent upon the institution qualifying as a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE, and ensuring that infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and conform to the norms prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. The Regional Committee shall thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order strictly in

accordance with law and uninfluenced by any observations made herein. It is clarified that the present remand is limited in scope and shall not create any presumption, equity, or vested right in favour of the appellant institution.

#### IV. DECISION: -

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, and the submissions made by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 15.05.2026 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for fresh consideration and factual re-examination, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, subject to the directions contained hereinabove. The appellant institution shall submit the requisite documents within fifteen (15) days, whereupon the Regional Committee shall proceed in accordance with law and pass a reasoned and speaking order within a reasonable timeframe.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है। The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Maa Vankal Malani Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 1526/668, 1637/625, Chohtan, Barmer, Rajasthan - 344702.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. The Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.



**एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date – 11.06.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-207/E-410675/2026 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026**

**APPLWRC202615624 ✓**

Rajasthan Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyapeeth, Khasra No. 124, 125, 126, 127, 130, Nahargarh, Ramgarh Mode, Amer Road, Jaipur Heritage, Jaipur, Rajasthan – 302002	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Representative</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	Regional Director, WRC
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	01 <sup>st</sup> & 2 <sup>nd</sup> June 2026
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	11.06.2026

## आदेश/ ORDER

### I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Rajasthan Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyapeeth, Khasra No. 124, 125, 126, 127, 130, Nahargarh, Ramgarh Mode, Amer Road, Jaipur Heritage, Jaipur, Rajasthan – 302002** dated 01.05.2026 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F.No. NCTE/WRC/2627202509253254/RAJASTHAN/2025/REJC/182** dated 06.03.2026 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that “1. The institution has not uploaded the certificate issued by the Government of Rajasthan justifying the requirement for a teacher education programme in the area, as required under Clause 4.3(i) of the NCTE Guidelines for transforming into Multidisciplinary Institutions. The institution is required to upload the same. 2. The institution has not uploaded Land Use Certificate (CLU) as per Rajasthan Urban Areas (Permission for use of Agricultural Land for Non-Agricultural Purposes and Allotment) Rules, 2012 in Form-11 of Rule 7(1) while mentioning all Khasra/Plot/Survey No. issued by Competent Government Authority. 3. As per the Documents uploaded by the institution it has acquired 399. 30sq.yrds. of lands at Khasra 11C and 831.33 sq. yards. of lands at Khasra 11B from Jaipur Valmiki Grih Nirman Sehkaari Samiti Ltd. Jaipur. As per the NCTE regulation 2014 as amended time to time there is no provision to acquire the land on private lease basis. 4. The uploaded building plan is not legible. The institution has not uploaded Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of State Government mentioning the name of institution, Khasra/Plot/Survey No. and mentioning the total land area and total built-up area earmarked for each course being run in the premises and the demarcated land area and built-up area for the teacher education programmes including multi-disciplinary programmes. 5. The website is not functional and updated in compliance to Clause 7(14)(1), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time. 6. The institution has not uploaded the list of teaching staff duly approved and countersigned by its affiliating body in the prescribed format of NCTE and teaching staff should be as per NCTE norms. 7. It cannot be ascertained from the bank statement uploaded by the institution that salary is being paid as per the Central/State Government pay scale. 8. The college name board is not visible in the geotagged photographs. 9. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the college does not have adequate playgrounds and sports facility. 10. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the seating capacity of Library reading room is not sufficient as per NCTE norms. 11. The geotagged photographs uploaded show that the Multipurpose Hall is not as per NCTE norms, furniture in the multipurpose hall is inadequate. 12. The institution has not uploaded latest Non- Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) issued by Competent Authority of State Government

indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances issued by Competent Government Authority. 13. As per the uploaded BCC the land area of the institution is 4931.31 sq. mts. whereas per the patta vilekh uploaded by the institution its land area is 4665.50 sq. yards. there is mismatched in the land area as per the uploaded land documents further the land at Khasra No. 124, 127,128,129 and 130 is not mentioned as a single plot. The institution has not uploaded latest Building Completion Certificate (BCC) in the prescribed format of NCTE (17 points) issued by the Competent Government Authority for all the courses being conducted in the premises.”

## II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

**The Representative from Rajasthan Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyapeeth, Khasra No. 124, 125, 126, 127, 130, Nahargarh, Ramgarh Mode, Amer Road, Jaipur Heritage, Jaipur, Rajasthan – 302002** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “1. Attaching the certificate issued by the Government of Rajasthan justifying the requirement for a Teacher Education Programme in the area. 2. The Land Use Certificate (CLU) is attached for inspection in order to resolve the objection. 3. The institutes land was purchased on the basis of an agreement and leased from the Jaipur Valmiki Grih Nirman Sehkari 1. Samiti Ltd. Jaipur based on the terms of the agreement, including 3931 square meters of educational and non-educational use leased out of 4666 square meters. The institute has been operating on the remaining leased land and building since 1967. 4. The institution has uploaded new g Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of State Government (PWD) mentioning the name of the institution, Khasra No. And Total Land and Built-up Area earmarked for each course - B.Ed. I B.A. B.Ed. and Shiksha Shastri courses Block wise being run in the premises. 5. The Institutes Website ([www.rspv.org](http://www.rspv.org)) is already in operation, with required amendments being made from time to 8 time. The website as per the Clause 7(14) (1), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 has been updated for your kind perusal. 6. The institution's Teaching Staff is employed in accordance with the rules and qualifications of the Teaching Staff, NCTE, UGC and University. The List of Teaching Staff alongwith the Approval Letters of Teaching Staff of the University was also attached by the Institute previously. Now attaching the List of Teaching Staff duly approved and countersigned by its affiliating body in the prescribed format of NCTE. 7. The Principal and Lecturers in this institution are paid the pay scales prescribed by the UGC in the State Government. These are as follows:- Principal-37400-67000/- Lecturer 15600/--39100/- The partial orders issued from time to time to Principal and Lecturers in respect of the abovementioned posts and pay scales are enclosed for reference. 8. Attaching the Geo-Tagged photograph of the College Name Board again. 9. The college has full facilities for Outdoor Sports like volleyball, kabaddi, kho-kho and Badminton alongwith the Indoor

Games like Table Tennis, Chess, Ludo, Carrom, etc. Attaching herewith the clear geo-tagged photograph of the playground and Sports Room. The described playground is constructed in accordance with the sports regulations. 10. The library and reading room of the institute is two storeyed, with an area of 347.90 sq.mt. The library and reading room are fully equipped with furniture and desirable equipment. The new geo-tagged photo is attached for your perusal. 11. Please refer to the Building Plan, which will clear that the air-conditioned multipurpose hall is combined with rehearsal space with 23.615.9sq.ft. and 65.10529.6sq.ft. 2302.18 sq.ft./213.88 sq. m. The hall has enough VIP and ordinary seating. The hall also has a permanent dice. The hall has a seating capacity of 225 persons. 12. The latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) is attached. 13. The latest Building Completion Certificate (BCC) is attached. Also, the latest Certificate is also attached which shows the land at Khasra No. 127, 128, 129, 130 is mentioned as a single plot is also attached. The appellant institution submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in compliance with the deficiencies communicated through the impugned communication dated 29-30.05.2026. In the affidavit, the institution undertook/submitted that it complies with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, NCTE Regulations, 2014 and the Guidelines for Transformation into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions, and that all documents furnished are genuine and verifiable. The institution submitted the Requirement Satisfaction/NOC Certificate issued by the Commissionerate College Education, Rajasthan under Clause 4.2.1 of the Guidelines. It further stated that the collaboration arrangement between Rajasthan Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyapeeth and Lal Bahadur Shastri P.G. College had been approved by the affiliating authority and the State Government. The institution placed on record the Fire Safety Certificate valid up to 08.05.2027 issued by the competent authority. It submitted land-related documents including sale deeds, patta, conversion order, mutation/revenue records and Khasra verification reports pertaining to the institutional land. The institution also furnished the Land Use Conversion Certificate, approved building plan and Building Completion Certificate issued by the competent authorities. It stated that the institutional website had been updated and maintained with mandatory disclosures in accordance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014. Geotagged photographs of the multipurpose hall, library, reading room, laboratories and other infrastructure facilities were submitted. The institution further submitted salary statements and bank records indicating payment of salaries through banking channels in accordance with applicable norms. It also furnished the teaching staff list duly countersigned by the affiliating body in the prescribed format. The aforesaid submissions and supporting documents were placed on record for consideration of the appeal.

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee, in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026 held online on 01<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 04.10.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 06.03.2026.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution that the certificate issued by the Government of Rajasthan justifying the requirement of the Teacher Education Programme in the area had been furnished. The institution submitted that the Land Use Certificate (CLU) had been produced and that the institutional land had been acquired through agreement and lease from Jaipur Valmiki Grih Nirman Sehkar Samiti Ltd., Jaipur, comprising 3931 sq. metres out of 4666 sq. metres for educational and non-educational use, and that the institution had been functioning on the said leased land and building since 1967. It was further submitted that a revised Building Plan duly approved by the competent State Government authority (PWD) had been uploaded, indicating the name of the institution, Khasra particulars, total land area, built-up area and block-wise earmarking for B.Ed., B.A.B.Ed. and Shiksha Shastri programmes. The institution stated that its website ([www.rspv.org](http://www.rspv.org)) had been updated in compliance with Clauses 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution also submitted that teaching staff had been appointed in accordance with NCTE, UGC and University norms and that the list of teaching staff duly approved and countersigned by the affiliating body in the prescribed NCTE format had been furnished. It was further stated that the Principal and faculty members were being paid salary in accordance with UGC/State Government pay scales and supporting orders had been enclosed. The appellant additionally submitted geo-tagged photographs of the college name board, playground and sports facilities, library and reading room, and multipurpose hall. It was stated that the institution had facilities for indoor and outdoor sports; that the two-storeyed library and reading room measuring 347.90 sq. metres was adequately equipped with furniture and reading facilities; and that the air-conditioned multipurpose hall had a permanent dais, adequate seating arrangements and a seating capacity of 225 persons. The institution further submitted the latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate, Building Completion Certificate and a certificate indicating that Khasra Nos. 127, 128, 129 and 130 constituted a single plot. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal

and in response to the deficiencies communicated through the impugned communication dated 29-30.05.2026. The institution submitted that the Requirement Satisfaction/NOC Certificate issued by the Commissionerate College Education, Rajasthan and approvals relating to the collaboration arrangement between Rajasthan Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyapeeth and Lal Bahadur Shastri P.G. College had been furnished under the applicable Guidelines. It further placed on record the Fire Safety Certificate, land-related documents including sale deeds, patta, conversion order, mutation/revenue records and Khasra verification reports, along with the Land Use Conversion Certificate, approved building plan and Building Completion Certificate issued by the competent authorities. The institution also submitted that the website had been updated with mandatory disclosures in accordance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and furnished geo-tagged photographs of the multipurpose hall, library, reading room, laboratories and other infrastructural facilities. Salary statements and bank records evidencing payment through banking channels, together with the teaching staff list duly countersigned by the affiliating body in the prescribed format, were also placed on record for consideration of the appeal.

The Appeal Committee, upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the impugned order, the Appeal Report, the records available on file, and the submissions advanced during the hearing, observes that the impugned decision of the concerned Regional Committee is founded upon findings of non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended from time to time, read with the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025. The deficiencies recorded therein pertain to core statutory requirements governing eligibility, including fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status, and are foundational to consideration of recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP). At the appellate stage under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, the appellant institution has placed on record certain additional documents along with a compliance report purportedly in rectification of the deficiencies noted by the Regional Committee. The Appeal Committee notes that a substantial portion of such material was either not placed before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order or has not undergone verification and authentication by the competent authority in accordance with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended. The Committee is further of the considered view that eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) is contingent upon the institution being a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the provisions and norms prescribed by the NCTE. Further, while

processing and considering applications for ITEP, it is incumbent upon the concerned Regional Committee to ensure that the infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and found to be in conformity with the norms and regulations prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to compliance with the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. Such examination shall necessarily include verification of the availability and adequacy of land area and built-up area of the institution in its capacity as a Multidisciplinary Institution intending to offer the ITEP programme, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, and other applicable statutory requirements, taking into account the land and built-up area requirements prescribed by the respective competent/statutory authority for the programmes already established and proposed to be offered by the institution. It is a settled position that compliance with recognition norms is required to be established through contemporaneous, duly authenticated, and verifiable documentary evidence, and the burden of establishing strict and continuous compliance rests squarely upon the applicant institution. Mere assertions, post facto submissions, or unverified documents cannot, by themselves, displace or invalidate the findings recorded by the Regional Committee. In this context, the Committee also takes note of the principle enunciated by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in ***Rambha College of Education v. NCTE, W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016***, wherein it has been recognised that subsequent material and developments brought on record during the pendency of appellate proceedings cannot be disregarded merely on the ground that the same were not before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order. The Hon'ble Court has recognised that such material may be considered by the Appellate Authority and, where warranted, the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law. At the same time, the Committee emphasises that consideration of additional material at the appellate stage does not ipso facto establish regulatory compliance or confer validity upon such documents, and that any such material is necessarily required to be subjected to strict factual verification and regulatory scrutiny in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended.

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire matter, and having regard to the aforesaid judicial pronouncements and the factual matrix of the present case, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in furtherance of the principles of fairness and due process, deems it appropriate to set aside the impugned order dated 06.03.2026

and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC), strictly for the limited purpose of factual re-examination of the appellant institution's claim regarding fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status and other deficiencies identified in the impugned order. The appellant institution shall submit complete, duly authenticated, contemporaneous, and verifiable documentary evidence before the concerned Regional Committee within fifteen (15) days from the date of issuance of this order. Upon receipt thereof, the Regional Committee shall examine the matter strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, and the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, including verification of the institution's eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP), which is contingent upon the institution qualifying as a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE, and ensuring that infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and conform to the norms prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. The Regional Committee shall thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order strictly in accordance with law and uninfluenced by any observations made herein. It is clarified that the present remand is limited in scope and shall not create any presumption, equity, or vested right in favour of the appellant institution.

#### IV. DECISION: -

**After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, and the submissions made by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 06.03.2026 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for fresh consideration and factual re-examination, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, subject to the directions contained hereinabove. The appellant institution shall submit the requisite documents within fifteen (15) days, whereupon the Regional Committee shall proceed in accordance with law and pass a reasoned and speaking order within a reasonable timeframe.**

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Rajasthan Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyapeeth, Khasra No. 124, 125, 126, 127, 130, Nahargarh, Ramgarh Mode, Amer Road, Jaipur Heritage, Jaipur, Rajasthan – 302002.**

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. The Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.



**एन सी टी ई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date – 11.06.2026

एन सी टी ई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
**89-208/E-410515/2026 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026**  
**APPLNRC202615596 -**

Dwarka Dass Memorial Sai College of Education, Khasra No. 143/152/147, Village – Kallar, Taluka/Mandal – Nadaun, District-Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh-177042	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Representative of the institution</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	Regional Director, NRC
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	01 <sup>st</sup> & 2 <sup>nd</sup> June 2026
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	11.06.2026

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL**

The appeal of **Dwarka Dass Memorial Sai College of Education, Khasra No. 143/152/147, Village – Kallar, Taluka/Mandal – Nadaun, District-Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh-177042** dated 21.05.2026 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **F. No. NRC/NCTE/HP-70/B.Ed./459<sup>th</sup> Meeting (Part-2) (Sl. No. 10)/2026/ 236885-893 Computer No. 50120** dated 20.05.2026 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "1. The Institution has not appointed the requisite number of Teaching Faculty in accordance with NCTE norms for two units of the B.Ed. Programme. 2. The Institution has not submitted any proof regarding disbursement of salary as per the NCTE Regulations 2014. 3. Plot./Khasra No. is not mentioned in the Building Plan and Multipurpose Hall is not depicted therein. 4. The institution has submitted the affiliation order for B.Ed. program of only one year i.e. for 2025-2026 is submitted and institution has stated that regarding affiliation for the past two years the matter was sub-judice."

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Representative of Dwarka Dass Memorial Sai College of Education, Khasra No. 143/152/147, Village – Kallar, Taluka/Mandal – Nadaun, District-Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh-177042** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 01<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> June 2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "1. In this connection, it submitted that at the time of submission of reply of final opportunity letter, under this college letter no. DDMSC/8928 dated 30/12/2025 there were 1+12 approved staff including teacher of Physical Education and Performing Art. For approval of teachers, college had already sent case to the HP University vide letter no, DDMSC/7172 dated 12/09/2024 for appointment of selection committee, but despite reminders, HP University did not appoint the selection committee on account of pendency of court case titled as "HP B.Ed. Colleges Association Vs. State of HP & ORS." in CWP No. 1862 of 2011 and other tagged matters before the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. The Selection Committee was recently appointed by the HP University vide letter No. 1-237/2004-HPU (acad) Vol-VI-40 main file dated 10/04/2026. A meeting was held by the selection committee on 22/04/2026 and 07 teachers were selected and further approved by the HP University vide notification No. 1-237/2004-HPU (acad.) Vol-VI dated 29/04/2026, a copy of which is attached with Annexure - III. Thus, the college has now 1+15 approved staff. Copies of approval of 1+15 faculty as accorded by HP University and list of faculty duly countersigned by the Registrar, HPU are sent herewith as Ann.- III & IV respectively. Thus, this discrepancy stands fully complied with. 2. The salary to the staff is

disbursed through advice to the bank by joint cheque. In this connection, it is submitted that with this college letter dated 30/12/2025 salary statements for the months of July, August and September 2025 were sent without verification of Bank. As usual salary is paid through joint cheque, however now advice is addressed to the Bank Manager. In proof salary statement of staff for the month of April 2026 duly verified by SBI Nadaun is sent herewith along with a copy of cheque as Ann.- V. Thus, this discrepancy also stands complied with. 3. In this connection, it is submitted that while preparing the building plan, the Architect had inadvertently not mentioned the khasra Nos. Moreover, in place of Multipurpose Hall he has depicted only Hal. Now Khasra Nos. have been got incorporated and Multipurpose Hall has also been shown in the building plan. A copy of building plan duly signed by the Competent Authorities is attached as Ann-VI. Thus, the discrepancy stands complied with. 4. In this regard, it is submitted that NCTE had desired affiliation order for the last 3 years i.e. for 2023-24, 2024-25, 2025-26. The college had earlier sent the affiliation order only for the year 2025-26. With regard to other 2 years i.e. 2023-24 and 2024-25, it is brought to your kind notice that due to pendency of court case in CWP No. 1862/2011, the HP University had not inspected the college for the said years, although the college had been paying the Inspection fee regularly every year. Now HP University, vide their letter No. 1-237/2004-HPU (acad.) Vol.VI dated 20-04-2026, appointed Inspection Committee to inspect the college w.e.f. the session 2015-16. The Inspection committee inspected the college on 23-04-2026 and consequently, HP University issued Affiliation orders from the session 2015-16 to 2026-27 vide notification No. 1-237/2004-HPU (acad) Vol.-VI dated 11-05-2026, a copy of which is submitted herewith as Ann. VII. Thus, the discrepancy also stands duly complied with.”

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee, in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026 held online on 01<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (Hundred) students vide order dated 05.07.2003. A Revised Provisional Recognition Order was issued to the institution for conducting B.Ed. programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students i.e. two basic units of 50 students each from the academic session 2015-16

vide order dated 06.06.2015. The recognition of the institution for B.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 20.05.2026.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution that, at the time of submission of the reply to the final opportunity letter vide Letter No. DDMSC/8928 dated 30.12.2025, the institution had 1+12 approved faculty members, including teachers for Physical Education and Performing Arts. It was submitted that the proposal for appointment of the remaining faculty had been forwarded to Himachal Pradesh University vide Letter No. DDMSC/7172 dated 12.09.2024 for constitution of the Selection Committee; however, the matter remained pending due to the proceedings in CWP No. 1862 of 2011 titled "HP B.Ed. Colleges Association Vs. State of H.P. & Ors." and connected matters before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh. Subsequently, the Selection Committee was constituted by Himachal Pradesh University vide Letter No. 1-237/2004-HPU (Acad.) Vol.-VI-40 Main File dated 10.04.2026, interviews were conducted on 22.04.2026, and seven additional teachers were selected and approved vide Notification No. 1-237/2004-HPU (Acad.) Vol.-VI dated 29.04.2026. The institution submitted that it presently has 1+15 approved faculty members and furnished the approval orders and countersigned faculty list issued by Himachal Pradesh University. The institution further submitted that salaries to staff are disbursed through bank advice accompanied by joint cheques. It was stated that the salary statements for July, August and September 2025 submitted earlier were not bank-verified; however, salary statements for April 2026, duly verified by SBI, Nadaun, along with a copy of the cheque, had been furnished in support of salary disbursement. It was also submitted that the omission of Khasra numbers and the depiction of the Multipurpose Hall as merely a "Hall" in the building plan occurred inadvertently during preparation by the architect. A revised building plan incorporating the Khasra particulars and specifically indicating the Multipurpose Hall, duly signed by the competent authorities, was stated to have been submitted. Regarding affiliation, the institution submitted that earlier it had furnished the affiliation order only for the academic session 2025-26. It was explained that affiliation orders for the sessions 2023-24 and 2024-25 could not be issued due to the pendency of the aforesaid court proceedings, notwithstanding regular payment of inspection fees by the institution. Subsequently, Himachal Pradesh University constituted an Inspection Committee vide Letter No. 1-237/2004-HPU (Acad.) Vol.-VI dated 20.04.2026, which inspected the institution on 23.04.2026, following which affiliation orders for the academic sessions from 2015-16 to 2026-27 were issued vide Notification No. 1-237/2004-HPU (Acad.) Vol.-VI dated 11.05.2026.

The Appeal Committee, upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, and the submissions advanced during the hearing, records that at the appellate stage under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, the appellant institution has placed on record certain documents and a compliance report in purported rectification of the deficiencies noted in the impugned order. However, it is evident that a substantial portion of such material was either not placed before the concerned Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order or has not undergone due verification and authentication by the competent authority in accordance with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended from time to time. Under the statutory scheme of the NCTE Act, 1993 read with the aforesaid Regulations, grant, continuation, or modification of recognition is contingent upon strict, demonstrable, and contemporaneous compliance with the prescribed norms and standards relating to land, building, instructional and infrastructural facilities, duly qualified and approved faculty, and other regulatory parameters, which must be established through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence. Mere assertions, undertakings, or reliance upon unverified documents cannot displace or invalidate the findings recorded by the Regional Committee in exercise of its statutory jurisdiction. The Appeal Committee reiterates that the statutory burden to establish compliance rests squarely upon the applicant institution, and no vested, accrued, or equitable right arises merely upon submission of representations or additional documents unless such compliance is established in accordance with law at the time of consideration. The regulatory framework does not contemplate post facto regularization on the basis of unverified, incomplete, or subsequently produced material. At the same time, the Committee notes that the deficiencies recorded in the impugned order are predominantly factual in nature and are capable of objective verification within the statutory framework. The additional documents placed on record during appellate proceedings are relevant to the issues under consideration and, in the interest of procedural fairness, warrant examination by the competent authority. In this context, the Committee takes note of the principle laid down by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016], wherein it has been held that documents produced at the appellate stage may be examined for the limited purpose of verification, subject to scrutiny by the competent authority.

The Appeal Committee also took note of the observations of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) 4382/2021 dated 08.04.2021, wherein it was observed: -

***“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not***

***compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”***

The Appeal Committee further noted the observations of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) 7260/2021 dated 30.07.2021, wherein it was observed: -

***“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”***

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, having regard to the above, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, is of the considered view that limited interference is warranted solely for the purpose of ensuring factual verification and regulatory scrutiny of the appellant institution's claim of compliance. Such interference is confined to ensuring procedural fairness and regulatory consistency and shall not be construed as dilution of the mandatory statutory requirements or as any expression on the merits of the appellant's claims. Accordingly, the impugned order dated 20.05.2026 is set aside and the matter is remanded to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration and comprehensive re-examination of the appellant institution's claim of compliance. The appellant institution shall, within fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of this order, submit to the concerned Regional Committee a duly notarized affidavit affirming the authenticity, correctness, and completeness of all documents relied upon, along with certified copies of all approvals, certificates, and statutory documents issued by the competent authorities in support of its claim. The concerned Regional Committee shall examine the entire record strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), verify the authenticity and adequacy of the documents, and, if deemed necessary, undertake further verification, including through appropriate means such as a Visiting Team, for the purpose of ascertaining factual and regulatory compliance. Thereafter, the Regional Committee shall pass a reasoned and speaking order strictly in accordance with law, uninfluenced by any observations contained herein, within the prescribed timeframe. The Regional Committee, being the custodian of records, shall ensure authenticity, completeness, and proper verification of all documents before passing any consequential order and shall ensure time-bound disposal of the matter. It is expressly clarified that this remand is confined to ensuring due verification and regulatory scrutiny and shall not be construed as conferring

any presumption, equity, or vested right in favour of the appellant institution. Failure to establish strict and complete compliance with the prescribed statutory requirements shall entail rejection in accordance with law, without any further opportunity.

#### IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, and the submissions made by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, sets aside the impugned order dated 20.05.2026 and remands the matter to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration and re-examination in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), subject to the directions contained herein. The appellant institution shall submit the requisite documents within fifteen (15) days, whereupon the Regional Committee shall proceed in accordance with law and pass a reasoned and speaking order within the stipulated timeframe.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Dwarka Dass Memorial Sai College of Education, Khasra No. 143/152/147, Village – Kallar, Taluka/Mandal – Nadaun, District-Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh-177042.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **The Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Shimla-171001, Himachal Pradesh.**



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date – 11.06.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
**89-209/E-410809/2026 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026**  
**APPLWRC202615614 ✓**

Vidhyashram Institute of Teachers Training, Khasra No. 1/146, Village – Uchiyada, P.O. Nandrakalla, Shikargarh, District-Jodhpur, Rajasthan-342015	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Representative of the institution</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	Regional Director, WRC
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	01 <sup>st</sup> & 2 <sup>nd</sup> June 2026
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	11.06.2026

## आदेश/ ORDER

### I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Vidhyashram Institute of Teachers Training, Khasra No. 1/146, Village – Uchiyada, P.O. Nandrakalla, Shikargarh, District-Jodhpur, Rajasthan-342015** dated 18.05.2026 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2627202509152389/RAJASTHAN/2025/REJC** dated 07.04.2026 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that “i. Online First Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution vide F. No. NCTE/WRC/2627202509152389/RAJASTHAN/2025/SCN dated 19.12.2025. The institution has not uploaded any reply of First Show Cause Notice within stipulated time period on the portal. Online Final Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution vide F. No. NCTE/WRC/2627202509152389/RAJASTHAN/2025/SCN dated 06.03.2026. The institution has not uploaded any reply of Final Show Cause Notice within stipulated time period on the portal. Deficiencies pointed out in first SCN and final SCN are persisting. ii. The institution has not expressed its intention to become a multidisciplinary institution through collaboration, as stipulated in the NCTE Guidelines for transforming NCTE recognized stand-alone teacher education institutions into multidisciplinary higher education institutions. The institution has not uploaded memorandum of Collaboration as per the NCTE guidelines. iii. The institution has not uploaded the certificate issued by the Government of Rajasthan justifying the requirement for a teacher education programme in the area, as required under Clause 4.3(i) of the NCTE Guidelines for transforming into Multidisciplinary Institutions. iv. The institution has not uploaded documentary evidence that both institutions proposed for collaboration are affiliated with the same university. v. The proposal for collaboration between the institutions has not been approved by their affiliating university, as required under Clause 4.3(a) of the NCTE Guidelines for transforming into Multidisciplinary Institutions. vi. The institution has not uploaded documentary evidence confirming that 'Vidhyashram Institute of Teachers Training' and collaboration college 'Vidhyashram College' are situated within the radius of 10 Kilometres, as required under Clause 4.3(a) of the NCTE Guidelines for transforming into Multidisciplinary Institutions. vii. Hence, the institution doesn't fulfil the criteria stipulated vide NCTE Public Notice No. NCTE-Regl012/7/2025-Reg. Sec-HQ dated 12.09.2025. viii. The website of institution has not been functional. The institution has failed to create, maintain and update the website in compliance to provisions under Clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time. ix. The institution has not uploaded the Authorization letter on the Rs.100/- stamp paper as per admissible government rate. x. The institution has not uploaded the NOC of affiliating body No. JNVU/AFFL./2024/1273 dated 1/03/2024 as mentioned in the portal. xi. The institution has not uploaded the complete details

of Society/Trust/Members with Aadhar and PAN number issued by the Competent Government Authority. xii. The Institution has not uploaded information of all programme/courses run by institution in the campus and the institution has not uploaded year-wise the admitted students list in respect of all running programme(s) countersigned by Affiliating University. xiii. For confirming the institution's eligible for transition into ITEP course as it is Collaboration institution has not uploaded the affiliation order of liberal arts, science and commerce all programmes run by them with approved intake capacity and present intake capacity as a Multi-Disciplinary Institution. xiv. The institution has uploaded Form No 10 AC. The institution has not uploaded the Exemption Certificate (Form 12A) issued by the Income Tax Department or issued by government competent authority. xv. In the Land Details Built Up area mentioned in the Affidavit (in sq m) is 3005. If the institution is running various teacher Education (B.Ed) degree 2 units, the sufficiency of land and built-up area for the education programme/courses in the same campus/premises i.e. Bachelor of be ascertained. xvi. The institution has not uploaded Certified land Document above courses with of and intake for B.A. B.Ed. Secondary (2 units), course cannot mentioning all Khasra No. issued by the Competent Government Authority. The institution has not uploaded Affidavit on Rs.100/- stamp paper in prescribed format certificate/Jamabandi issued by the Competent Government Authority. xviii. The regarding land documents. xvii. The institution has not uploaded mutation institution has not uploaded latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) issued by Competent Authority of State Government. xix. The institution has not uploaded Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of State Government mentioning the name of institution, Khasra/Plot/Survey No. and mentioning the total land area and total built-up area earmarked for each course being run in the premises and the demarcated land and built-up area for the teacher education programmes including multi-disciplinary programmes. xx. The claim of the institution cannot be ascertained from the mutation certificate uploaded by the institution that it has 9710.97 sq.mts. land area at Khasra No. 1/146. The institution is required to upload mutation certificate/Jamabandi issued by the Competent Government Authority. xxi. As per the uploaded CLU the Khasra no. is mentioned 1/145 whereas in the land details institution has Khasra No. 1/146. Further the land area is not visible in the uploaded CLU. The institution has not uploaded Land Use Certificate (CLU) as per Rajasthan Urban Areas (Permission for use of Agricultural Land for Non-Agricultural Purposes and Allotment) Rules, 2012 in Form-11 of Rule 7(1) while mentioning all Khasra/Plot/Survey No. issued by Competent Government Authority. xxii. As per the uploaded Building plan land area is mentioned 9710.97 sq.ft. which is inadequate as per the NCTE norms for conducting Teacher Education programme. The institution has not uploaded Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of State Government mentioning the name of institution, Khasra/Plot/Survey No. and mentioning the total land area and total built-up area earmarked for each course being run in the premises

and the demarcated land and built-up area for the teacher education programmes including multi-disciplinary programmes. xxiii. The institution has not uploaded Building Safety Certificate in adherence of safety guidelines as prescribed by National/State Disaster Management Authority issued by Competent Government Authority. xxiv. The institution has not uploaded Fire Safety Certificate issued by Fire Safety Department, Government of Rajasthan verifiable on the official portal of the Fire Department, Government of Rajasthan at URL [https://sgonline.rajasthan.gov.in/track\\_application.aspx](https://sgonline.rajasthan.gov.in/track_application.aspx). xxv. The institution has not uploaded geotagged photos with different angles of Lift, Ramp, Electricity, Safe Drinking Water and Accessible Toilet indicating the longitude and latitude with date of photograph. xxvi. The institution has not uploaded geotagged photos with different angles of front view, rear view, multipurpose hall, library, lab 1, lab 2, lab 3 and playground indicating the longitude and latitude with date of photograph. xxvii. As per the uploaded BCC, total built-up area is not mentioned. The institution has not uploaded latest Building Completion Certificate (BCC) in the prescribed format of NCTE (17 points) issued by the Competent Government Authority for all the courses being conducted in the premises. xxviii. The institution has not uploaded the list of teaching staff of all Education Faculty Programmes duly approved and countersigned by its affiliating body. The institution has not uploaded the bank statement of last six months indicating the transaction of the salary/remuneration to its teaching staff.”

## II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

**Representative of Vidhyashram Institute of Teachers Training, Khasra No. 1/146, Village – Uchiyada, P.O. Nandrakalla, Shikargarh, District-Jodhpur, Rajasthan-342015** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “1. The institution most respectfully submits that the First Show Cause Notice and Final Show Cause Notice could not be replied/uploaded on the NCTE online portal within the stipulated time due to unavoidable technical issues and intermittent server-related problems being faced at the time of submission. It is further submitted that the institution had made sincere efforts to comply with the directions of the Hon'ble Committee and, in continuation thereof, the reply to the Final Show Cause Notice along with relevant documents was duly submitted through email on dated 12.03.2026, within reasonable time. The non-uploading of the reply on the portal was purely unintentional and occurred due to technical constraints beyond the control of the institution, and not due to any deliberate negligence. The institution has always remained committed to comply with all NCTE norms and requirements. The institution humbly requests the Hon'ble Committee to kindly take the submitted reply on record and provide an opportunity for reconsideration in the interest of justice. 2. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of Memorandum of Collaboration (MoC), as stipulated under the NCTE Guidelines for

transformation into a Multidisciplinary Institution, is being duly complied with. In this regard, the Memorandum of Collaboration (MOC) executed between Vidhyashram Institute of Teachers Training and the collaborating institution is being submitted herewith for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Committee. 3. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of the Certificate issued by the Government of Rajasthan justifying the requirement of teacher education programme in the area, as stipulated under Clause 4.3(i) of the NCTE Guidelines for transformation into Multidisciplinary Institutions, is being duly complied with. In this regard, the said Certificate issued by the Government of Rajasthan is being submitted herewith for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Committee. 4. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of documentary evidence confirming that both the collaborating institutions are affiliated with the same university is being duly complied with. In this regard, the relevant documentary proof of affiliation of both institutions with the same university is being submitted herewith for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Committee. 5. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of approval of the collaboration proposal by the affiliating university, as stipulated under Clause 4.3(a) of the NCTE Guidelines for transformation into Multidisciplinary Institutions, is being duly complied with. In this regard, the approval of the collaboration proposal granted by the affiliating university is being submitted herewith for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Committee. 6. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of documentary evidence confirming that both the institutions, namely Vidhyashram Institute of Teachers Training and the collaborating institution, are situated within a radius of 10 kilometres, as stipulated under Clause 4.3(a) of the NCTE Guidelines for transformation into Multidisciplinary Institutions, is being duly complied with. In this regard, the relevant documentary evidence establishing the distance between the two institutions within the prescribed limit of 10 kilometres is being submitted herewith for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Committee. 7. The institution most respectfully submits that all the requirements as stipulated under the NCTE Public Notice: dated 12.09.2025 are being duly complied with. The Institution has taken necessary steps to fulfill all the prescribed criteria, including submission of required documents such as Memorandum of Collaboration, Government Certificate, University Approval, and other relevant documentary evidence. Therefore, the institution now fulfils the criteria laid down in the aforesaid Public Notice. 8. The institution most respectfully submits that the website of the institution has now been fully updated, made functional and maintained in strict compliance with the provisions under Clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, as amended from time to time. All the required information, including statutory disclosures, institutional details, infrastructure, faculty, courses, and other mandatory particulars, have been duly uploaded and updated on the website as per NCTE norms. In this

regard, the relevant website link and web pages are being submitted herewith for kind verification and consideration of the Hon'ble Committee. 9. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of the Authorization Letter on Rs.100/- stamp paper as per admissible government rate is being duly complied with, In this regard, the duly executed Authorization Letter on Rs.100/- stamp paper is being submitted herewith for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Committee. 10. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of the No Objection Certificate (NOC) issued by the affiliating body, as mentioned on the portal (No. JNVU/AFFL/2024/1273 dated 01.03.2024), is being duly complied with. In this regard, the said NOC issued by the affiliating university is being submitted herewith for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Committee. 11. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of complete details of Society/Trust Members along with Aadhaar and PAN number issued by the Competent Government Authority is being duly complied with. In this regard, the updated and complete list of Society/Trust/Members along with Aadhaar and PAN details is being submitted herewith for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Committee. 12. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of information of all programme/courses run by the institution in the campus along with year-wise admitted students list duly countersigned by the affiliating university is being duly complied with. In this regard, the programme-wise details of all courses and year-wise list of admitted students, duly approved and countersigned by the affiliating university, are being submitted herewith for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Committee. 13. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of affiliation orders of programmes in liberal arts, science and commerce, along with approved intake and present intake capacity for establishing the status of a Multidisciplinary Institution, is being duly complied with. In this regard, the relevant letter issued by the affiliating university, clearly indicating affiliation of multidisciplinary courses along with intake capacity, is being submitted herewith for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Committee. 14. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of the Exemption Certificate (Form 12A) issued by the Income Tax Department or competent Government Authority is being duly complied with. In this regard, the relevant Exemption Certificate (Form 12A) is being submitted herewith for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Committee. 15. The institution most respectfully submits that as per the provisions of NCTE Regulations, 2014, the required area for B.Ed. Programme (2 Units) is 1500 Sq. Mt. Further, for B.A. B.Ed. Programme, the required built-up area is 500 Sq. Mt. per unit. Since the institution has 2 Units of B.A. B.Ed. Programme, the required built-up area for the said programme comes to 1000 Sq. Mt. Therefore, the total required built-up area for conducting both programmes comes to: 2500 Sq. Mt. The institution respectfully submits that it possesses above built-up area according with the norms prescribed under NCTE Regulations, 2014 for

all the programmes being conducted for in the campus. 16. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of certified land documents mentioning all Khasra numbers issued by the Competent Government Authority, along with the Affidavit on Rs. 100/- stamp paper in the prescribed format, is being duly complied with. In this regard, the certified land documents containing complete Khasra details and the duly executed affidavit on Rs. 100/- stamp paper are being submitted herewith for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Committee. 17. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of Mutation Certificate/Jamabandi issued by the Competent Government Authority is being duly complied with. In this regard, the Jamabandi/Mutation Certificate duly issued and approved by the Competent Government Authority is being submitted herewith for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Committee. 18. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of the latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) issued by the Competent Authority of the State Government is being duly complied with. In this regard, the latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) issued by the Competent Government Authority is being submitted herewith for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Committee. 19. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of the Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of the State Government, mentioning the name of the institution, Khasra/Plot/Survey No., total land area and total built-up area earmarked for each course, including the demarcated area for teacher education and multidisciplinary programmes, is being duly complied with. In this regard, the approved Building Plan containing all the required details as per NCTE norms is being submitted herewith for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Committee. 20. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of Mutation Certificate/Jamabandi issued by the Competent Government Authority, clearly indicating the land area and Khasra details of the institution is being duly complied with. In this regard, the relevant Mutation Certificate/Jamabandi containing complete details of land area and Khasra number, duly issued by the Competent Government Authority, is being submitted herewith for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Committee. 21. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of the Land Use Certificate (CLU) as per Rajasthan Urban Areas Rules, 2012 (Form-11 of Rule 7(1)), mentioning correct Khasra number(s) and total land area issued by the Competent Government Authority, is being duly complied with. In this regard, the updated and corrected CLU clearly indicating the correct Khasra number(s) and total land area of the institution land, duly issued by the Competent Government Authority, is being submitted herewith for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Committee. The institution further submits that the discrepancy in Khasra number mentioned earlier has now been rectified, and the correct details are reflected in the submitted documents. 22. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of the Building Plan approved by the Competent

Authority of the State Government, clearly mentioning the correct land area, built-up area, name of the institution, Khasra/Plot/Survey No., and demarcation of area for each course including teacher education and multidisciplinary programmes, is being duly complied with. In this regard, the revised and approved Building Plan containing all the required details as per NCTE norms is being submitted herewith for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Committee.

23. The institution most respectfully submits that the Building Safety Certificate duly issued by the Competent Government Authority is being submitted herewith for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Committee.

24. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of the Fire Safety Certificate issued by the Fire Safety Department, Government of Rajasthan, verifiable on the official portal, is being duly complied with. In this regard, the Fire Safety Certificate issued by the competent Fire Department, Government of Rajasthan, is being submitted herewith for kind consideration. The same is also verifiable on the official portal as prescribed.

25. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of geotagged photographs from different angles of facilities such as Lift, Ramp, Electricity, Safe Drinking Water and Accessible Toilet, indicating latitude, longitude and date of photograph, is being duly complied with. In this regard, the geotagged photographs of the aforesaid facilities from different angles, clearly showing latitude, longitude and date, are being submitted herewith for kind consideration.

26. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of geotagged photographs from different angles of front view, rear view, multipurpose hall, library, laboratories and playground, indicating latitude, longitude and date of photograph, is being duly complied with. In this regard, the geotagged photographs of the aforesaid infrastructure facilities from different angles, clearly indicating latitude, longitude and date, are being submitted herewith for kind consideration.

27. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of the Building Completion Certificate (BCC) in the prescribed format of NCTE (17 points), clearly mentioning the total built-up area for all the courses being conducted in the premises, is being duly complied with. In this regard, the updated Building Completion Certificate (BCC), duly issued by the Competent Government Authority, indicating the total built-up area and all other required particulars as per NCTE norms, is being submitted herewith for kind consideration.

28. The institution most respectfully submits that the requirement regarding submission of the list of teaching staff of all Education Faculty Programmes, duly approved and countersigned by the affiliating university, along with the bank statement indicating salary/remuneration transactions for the last six months, is being duly complied with. In this regard, the approved and countersigned staff list along with the relevant bank statements reflecting salary transactions are being submitted herewith for kind consideration.”

The appellant institution submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal. In the affidavit, the institution undertook/submitted that it fulfills the requirement of a multidisciplinary institution

under the applicable NCTE framework and is a constituent institution of a multidisciplinary institution. The institution stated that it has obtained the No Objection Certificate from the State Government for establishment and conduct of the proposed ITEP and has also furnished the consent/NOC issued by Jai Narain Vyas University, Jodhpur. It further submitted that the approved building plan issued by the competent authority has been placed on record. The institution also stated that, in compliance with the directions of the Appeal Committee during the online hearing held on 01.06.2026, the aforesaid documents were furnished for verification and record, while affirming that the statements made therein are true and that no material fact has been concealed.

### III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

**The Appeal Committee, in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026 held online on 01<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 05.10.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 07.04.2026.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution that replies to the First Show Cause Notice and Final Show Cause Notice could not be uploaded on the NCTE portal within the stipulated period due to technical and server-related issues; however, the reply to the Final Show Cause Notice along with supporting documents was submitted through email on 12.03.2026. The institution submitted that it had complied with the requirements relating to transformation into a multidisciplinary institution by furnishing the Memorandum of Collaboration, the Certificate issued by the Government of Rajasthan justifying the requirement of the Teacher Education Programme, documentary evidence establishing that both collaborating institutions are affiliated with the same university, approval of the collaboration proposal by the affiliating university, and proof that both institutions are situated within the prescribed radius of 10 kilometres, besides claiming compliance with the requirements contained in the NCTE Public Notice dated 12.09.2025. The institution further submitted that its website had been updated and made functional in accordance with Clauses 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014; that the authorization letter on Rs.100/- stamp paper, affiliating body's

NOC, details of Society/Trust members along with Aadhaar and PAN particulars, programme-wise and year-wise admitted students' lists duly countersigned by the affiliating university, affiliation orders for multidisciplinary programmes with approved intake, and Form 12A exemption certificate had been furnished. It was also submitted that the institution possessed the requisite built-up area in accordance with NCTE norms and had furnished certified land documents, affidavit in prescribed format, Mutation Certificate/Jamabandi, latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate, Land Use Certificate (CLU), approved and revised Building Plans indicating the institution's name, Khasra particulars, land and built-up area earmarked for teacher education and multidisciplinary programmes, Building Safety Certificate, Fire Safety Certificate verifiable on the official portal, and Building Completion Certificate in the prescribed format. The institution additionally submitted geotagged photographs depicting infrastructure and accessibility facilities, including lift, ramp, electricity, safe drinking water, accessible toilets, front and rear views of the campus, multipurpose hall, library, laboratories and playground, with latitude, longitude and date details. It further furnished the list of teaching staff for all teacher education programmes duly approved and countersigned by the affiliating university along with bank statements reflecting salary/remuneration transactions for the preceding six months. The appellant institution submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal. In the affidavit, the institution undertook that it fulfills the requirement of a multidisciplinary institution under the applicable NCTE framework and functions as a constituent institution of a multidisciplinary institution. The institution submitted that it has obtained the No Objection Certificate from the State Government for establishment and conduct of the proposed ITEP and has also furnished the consent/No Objection Certificate issued by Jai Narain Vyas University, Jodhpur. It further stated that the approved building plan issued by the competent authority has been placed on record. The institution also submitted that, in compliance with the directions of the Appeal Committee during the online hearing held on 01.06.2026, the aforesaid documents were furnished for verification and record, while affirming that the statements made in the affidavit are true and that no material fact has been concealed.

The Appeal Committee, upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the impugned order, the Appeal Report, the records available on file, and the submissions advanced during the hearing, observes that the impugned decision of the concerned Regional Committee is founded upon findings of non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended from time to time, read with the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025. The deficiencies recorded therein pertain to core statutory requirements

governing eligibility, including fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status, and are foundational to consideration of recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP). At the appellate stage under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, the appellant institution has placed on record certain additional documents along with a compliance report purportedly in rectification of the deficiencies noted by the Regional Committee. The Appeal Committee notes that a substantial portion of such material was either not placed before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order or has not undergone verification and authentication by the competent authority in accordance with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended. The Committee is further of the considered view that eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) is contingent upon the institution being a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the provisions and norms prescribed by the NCTE. Further, while processing and considering applications for ITEP, it is incumbent upon the concerned Regional Committee to ensure that the infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and found to be in conformity with the norms and regulations prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to compliance with the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. Such examination shall necessarily include verification of the availability and adequacy of land area and built-up area of the institution in its capacity as a Multidisciplinary Institution intending to offer the ITEP programme, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, and other applicable statutory requirements, taking into account the land and built-up area requirements prescribed by the respective competent/statutory authority for the programmes already established and proposed to be offered by the institution. It is a settled position that compliance with recognition norms is required to be established through contemporaneous, duly authenticated, and verifiable documentary evidence, and the burden of establishing strict and continuous compliance rests squarely upon the applicant institution. Mere assertions, post facto submissions, or unverified documents cannot, by themselves, displace or invalidate the findings recorded by the Regional Committee. In this context, the Committee also takes note of the principle enunciated by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in **Rambha College of Education v. NCTE, W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016**, wherein it has been recognised that subsequent material and developments brought on record during the pendency of appellate proceedings cannot be

disregarded merely on the ground that the same were not before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order. The Hon'ble Court has recognised that such material may be considered by the Appellate Authority and, where warranted, the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law. At the same time, the Committee emphasises that consideration of additional material at the appellate stage does not ipso facto establish regulatory compliance or confer validity upon such documents, and that any such material is necessarily required to be subjected to strict factual verification and regulatory scrutiny in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended.

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire matter, and having regard to the aforesaid judicial pronouncements and the factual matrix of the present case, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in furtherance of the principles of fairness and due process, deems it appropriate to set aside the impugned order dated 07.04.2026 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC), strictly for the limited purpose of factual re-examination of the appellant institution's claim regarding fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status and other deficiencies identified in the impugned order. The appellant institution shall submit complete, duly authenticated, contemporaneous, and verifiable documentary evidence before the concerned Regional Committee within fifteen (15) days from the date of issuance of this order. Upon receipt thereof, the Regional Committee shall examine the matter strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, and the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, including verification of the institution's eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP), which is contingent upon the institution qualifying as a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE, and ensuring that infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and conform to the norms prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. The Regional Committee shall thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order strictly in

accordance with law and uninfluenced by any observations made herein. It is clarified that the present remand is limited in scope and shall not create any presumption, equity, or vested right in favour of the appellant institution.

#### IV. DECISION: -

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, and the submissions made by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 07.04.2026 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for fresh consideration and factual re-examination, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, subject to the directions contained hereinabove. The appellant institution shall submit the requisite documents within fifteen (15) days, whereupon the Regional Committee shall proceed in accordance with law and pass a reasoned and speaking order within a reasonable timeframe.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Vidhyashram Institute of Teachers Training, Khasra No. 1/146, Village – Uchiyada, P.O. Nandrakalla, Shikargarh, District-Jodhpur, Rajasthan-342015.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. The Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date – 11.06.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-211/E-410830/2026 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026**

**APPLWRC202615600 -**

Department of Education, Maharaj Vinayak Global University, Plot No. 313, Village – Harwar, Street/Road – NH11C, Tehsil – Amer, District – Jaipur, Rajasthan- 302028	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Dr. Yogesh Yadav, Chief Executive Officer</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>01<sup>st</sup> &amp; 2<sup>nd</sup> June 2026</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>11.06.2026</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Department of Education, Maharaj Vinayak Global University, Plot No. 313, Village – Harwar, Street/Road – NH11C, Tehsil – Amer, District – Jaipur, Rajasthan- 302028** dated 28.05.2026 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F.No.NCTE/WRC/2627202509243174/RAJASTHAN/2025/REJC/370** dated 20.05.2026 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that “As the reply given by the institution is not satisfactory, the Committee accepts the report of the visiting team in this regard that:- 1. The floor plans are mismatching with building plan. Floor wise built-up area (in sq. m) has not accepted, the areas of the built-up area are not matching. 2. The University has uploaded the Fire Safety Certificate bearing LSG/JAIPUR/FIRENOC/2025-26/65467 dated 13.04.2026 valid up to 12.04.2028 in the name of "MAHARAJA VINAYAK SOCIETY, 313, NH11C, HARWAR, JAIPUR, RAJASTHAN" issued by Fire Safety Department, Government of Rajasthan showing on the official portal of the Fire Department, Government of Rajasthan at URL [https://lsgonline.rajasthan.gov.in/track\\_application.aspx](https://lsgonline.rajasthan.gov.in/track_application.aspx). As per the land details Column mentioned by the university land area is 201705.13 sq. mts. and the built-up area is 41734.87 whereas mentioned land area in the Fire NOC portal is 6040 sq. mts. The land area is mismatch. The University has not uploaded the proper Fire Safety Certificate issued by Fire Safety Department, Government of Rajasthan verifiable on the official portal of the Fire Department, Government of Rajasthan at URL [https://lsgonline.rajasthan.gov.in/track\\_application.aspx](https://lsgonline.rajasthan.gov.in/track_application.aspx) 3. Committee noted that, as per VT remarks seating capacity of Multipurpose Hall 150 against 200 required as per NCTE norms. 4. The building plans are not matching with other relevant documents. The library e-resources are unavailable. 5. Electronic Publications are not available. Digital or Online Resources are not available. Library part is not digitalized. The electronic publications and online resources in the library were not in accordance with the NCTE guidelines. There is no proper arrangement for library resources. The institution has not procured online resources in accordance with NCTE norms 6. Whether the building of the institution has been constructed as per National Building Code and the same is fully safe and structurally sound having load bearing capacity as per the Code/ Standards, to run the teacher training course? The remarks of VT member is-Not for academic use...not for classrooms...so its not barrier free. 7. "Building Safety Certificate Issuing Authority"- not accepted by VT member. 8. Whether safeguard against fire hazard has been provided in all parts of the building.- Not Accepted by VT member-The lift facility is not available in the building so it's a barrier for the Divyangans. 9. Committee noted that, as per VT remarks, Floor Wise Built-Up Area (in sq. m)- The areas of the built-up

area is not matching. Not Accepted by VT member 10. Committee noted that, as per VT remarks the building plans are not matching. - No appropriate organization for the library resources. 11. Committee noted that, as per VT remarks -The lab parts has not upgraded, the floor plans are mismatching with building plan, and library part is not digitalized. 12. Committee noted that, as per VT remarks -The building areas did not match.”

## II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

**Dr. Yogesh Yadav, Chief Executive Officer of Department of Education, Maharaj Vinayak Global University, Plot No. 313, Village – Harwar, Street/Road – NH11C, Tehsil – Amer, District – Jaipur, Rajasthan- 302028** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 01<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> June 2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “**Compliance/Reply - 1** (1. The institution respectfully submits that the mismatch in floor plans and floor-wise built-up area occurred due to earlier technical/clerical discrepancies in the submitted drawings and calculations. II. The institution has the building plans through the competent architect/authority i.e., Assistant Engineer, PWD, Sub-Division Amer, Jaipur, Government of Rajasthan. III. The updated floor plans along with accurate floor-wise built-up area (in 1510 S.Q.M./16253.50 S.Q.F. in each Floor Ground Floor to Third Floor) is duly certified by the competent authority i.e., Assistant Engineer, PWD, Sub-Division Amer, Jaipur, Government of Rajasthan are being submitted/uploaded. (Annexure-1) IV. That the institution categorically affirms that sufficient land and built-up area are available and earmarked for all Teacher Education Programmes and multidisciplinary programmes being conducted. V. That separate and adequate infrastructural facilities, including earmarked built-up area, classrooms, laboratories, multipurpose hall, library, reading room, faculty rooms, ICT facilities and other infrastructural components exist in conformity with the norms prescribed under the NCTE Regulations, 2014. VI. That the institution specifically undertakes that the land area and built-up area available are fully adequate and compliant with NCTE norms and standards applicable to the sanctioned intake and programmes being conducted. VII. The correct and verified plans and built-up area statements are fully matching with the approved building plan and institutional records. VIII. Therefore, the institution humbly requests the Hon'ble Committee to kindly consider the Floor Plan & Built-up area documents which verified by the competent authority i.e., Assistant Engineer, PWD, Sub-Division Amer, Jaipur, Government of Rajasthan and condone the discrepancy. **Compliance/ Reply 2.** (I. That the institution has uploaded a valid and subsisting Fire Safety Certificate / Fire NOC issued by the competent Fire Safety Department, Government of Rajasthan. II. That the said certificate is verifiable through the official Government portal and remains valid as on date. III. That the institution further undertakes to maintain fire safety measures, emergency exits, fire extinguishing systems and safety protocols in compliance with applicable law and statutory

safety requirements. IV. That the institution respectfully Submits that it fully complies with fire safety norms and standards prescribed by the competent authority. A copy of Fire NOC Certificate issued by the DC/EO/Commissioner Jaipur, Government of Rajasthan, s attached (Annexure-2) V. The Institute has uploaded the Fire Safety Certificate bearing No. LSG/JAIPUR/FIRENOC/2025-26/65467 dated 13.04.2026 valid up to 12.04.2028 in the name of MAHARAJA VINAYAK SOCIETY, 313, NHIIC, HARWAR, JAIPUR, RAJASTHAN" issued by the Fire Safety Department, Government of Rajasthan and verifiable on the official portal of the Fire Department, Government of Rajasthan which is showing on URL [https://lsgonline.rajasthan.gov.in/track\\_application.aspx](https://lsgonline.rajasthan.gov.in/track_application.aspx). (Annexure-2) VI. It is respectfully submitted that the Fire Safety Certificate has been issued specifically for the constructed Institutional building/block for which fire safety measures are required under the applicable norms. The land area / Built Up area reflected on the Fire NOC portal pertains only to the covered/built-up portion and the premises for which the Fire Safety NOC has been sought and granted, and not to the entire land holding of the Society. VII. Further, the total land area of the University/Society as submitted before the Hon'ble Committee is 201705.13 sq. mts., whereas the built-up area is 41734.87 sq. mts. The Fire Safety Certificate is related to the relevant constructed campus/building area under use and occupancy, therefore the mention of 6040 S.Q.M. /55014.02 SQ.FT. is Built-up area (it is Not the land Area as mentioned in refusal order of NCTE) and is allotted to Teacher Training institute (Department of Education, Maharaj Vinayak Global University) on the Fire NOC portal does not amount to any discrepancy or mismatch in ownership or land records/ Built-up Area. VIII. The Fire Safety Certificate has been duly issued by the competent authority i.e., Fire Safety Department, Government of Rajasthan, and the same is valid and verifiable on the official Government portal. Hence, the objection regarding mismatch of Built-up may kindly be treated as complied with and the submitted Fire Safety Certificate may kindly be accepted.). **Compliance/Reply 3.** (I. That with regard to the observation concerning adequacy of Multipurpose Hall, it is respectfully submitted that the institution has uploaded Geo tagged photographs (Annexure-3) clearly demonstrating the existence, adequacy and functionality of the Multipurpose Hall. II. That adequate furniture, seating arrangements and facilities exist in the Multipurpose Hall in accordance with applicable NCTE norms. III. That the institution specifically undertakes and affirms that the Multipurpose Hall fulfils the infrastructural standards prescribed by NCTE and remains available for academic and institutional purposes. IV. The Multipurpose Hall has sufficient floor area to accommodate more than 200 persons as required under the NCTE norms. The institution regularly utilizes the hall for seminars, workshops, cultural activities, meetings, training programmes and other academic activities V. Hence, the institution fulfils the requirement of Multipurpose Hall as per NCTE norms and the objection raised in this regard may kindly be dropped.). **Compliance/Reply 4.** (I. It is respectfully submitted that the

building plans uploaded by the institution have been duly approved by the competent authority i.e. Assistant Engineer, PWD, Sub-Division Amer, Jaipur, Government of Rajasthan and correspond to the existing institutional infrastructure. (Annexure-1) II. Regarding library e-resources, it is respectfully submitted that the institution has adequate library facilities including books, journals, reference facilities are available through institutional digital platforms/subscription-based resources and are being regularly utilized for academic purposes. III. The complete details and proof regarding availability of library e-resources, subscriptions and digital access facilities for verification are enclosed as Annexure-4 IV. Therefore, the deficiencies pointed out may kindly be treated as complied with and the same may kindly be dropped.). **Compliance/ Reply 5.** (1. It is respectfully submitted that the institution has a well-established library with adequate physical as well as digital learning resources for the benefit of students, researchers, and faculty members. The library contains prescribed textbooks, reference books, journals, educational magazines, research publications, and other available electronic publications, digital learning materials, and online academic resources through within the library premises. The digital/online planning, research, and academic enrichment purposes. III. The library is also equipped with 10 computer systems, internet connectivity, and digital access arrangements for facilitating the use of e-resources by students and staff. Necessary steps for further strengthening and proper display/documentation of digital library resources in accordance with NCTE guidelines have already been undertaken by the institution. IV. The complete documentary proof regarding electronic publications, online subscriptions, digital library facilities, internet-enabled access systems, and other online academic resources are enclosed as Annexure-4 V. Therefore, the observations regarding non-availability of electronic publications, online resources, digitalization of the library, and procurement of online resources may kindly be treated as duly complied with and the deficiencies may kindly be dropped.). **Compliance/Reply 7.** (1. It is respectfully submitted that the institutional building has been constructed Strictly in accordance with the approved building plan, applicable building bye-laws, and relevant provisions of the National Building Code. The institution has obtained the requisite Building Safety/Structural Stability Certificate (Certificate No. 24/2025-26) from the competent authority i.e. Executive Engineer, PWD, Shahpura, Jaipur, Government of Rajasthan certifying that the building is structurally safe, sound, and possesses adequate load-bearing capacity for conducting teacher education programmes. (Annexure-5) II. The building consists of duly established classrooms, laboratories, library, multipurpose hall, office rooms, sanitation facilities, and other instructional infrastructure required under NCTE norms. The premises are being continuously and regularly utilized for academic and teacher training purposes. III. The observation of the Visiting Team stating "Not for academic use/not for classrooms" appears to be an inadvertent observation and is not supported by the approved building documents,

institutional records, and actual utilization of the premises. The approved building plan and infrastructure clearly demonstrate that the building is intended and being used for academic purposes. IV. Further, regarding the observation relating to barrier-free access, it is respectfully submitted that the institution has already provided accessibility facilities within the campus. Necessary arrangements such as ramps, lift and accessible movement facilities have been made for students, staff, and persons with disabilities. Geo tag Photograph of Ramps and Lift facilities for Accessibility are enclosed as (Annexure-6) V. Therefore, the institutional building is fully safe, structurally sound, suitable for academic use, and fit for running teacher training courses as per prescribed norms and standards. Hence, the objection raised in this regard may kindly be treated as complied with and dropped.). **Compliance/Reply 8.** (I. It is respectfully submitted that the Building Safety Certificate submitted by the institution has been duly issued by the competent Government authority/authorized technical authority competent architect/authority i.e. Executive Engineer, PWD, Shahpura, Jaipur, Government of Rajasthan to certify the structural safety and stability of institutional buildings under the applicable State Government rules and regulations. (Annexure-5) II. The said certificate clearly certifies that the building is structurally safe, sound, and suitable for running educational and teacher training programmes. The institution has constructed and maintained the building in accordance with the approved building plan, applicable building bye-laws, and safety standards. III. The observation of the Visiting Team regarding "Building Safety Certificate Issuing Authority not accepted" appears to be due to inadvertent non-consideration of the status jurisdiction of the issuing authority at the time of inspection. However, the certificate has been issued by the competent authority authorized under law and therefore remains valid and legally acceptable. IV. Therefore, the Building Safety Certificate submitted by the institution fulfils the requirement prescribed under NCTE norms and may kindly be accepted. Accordingly, the objection raised in this regard may kindly be treated as complied with and dropped.). **Compliance/Reply 9.** (I. That the institution categorically affirms that sufficient land and built-up area are available and earmarked for all Teacher Education Programmes and multidisciplinary programmes being conducted. II. The institution respectfully submits that the mismatch in floor plans and floor-wise built-up area occurred due to earlier technical/clerical discrepancies in the submitted drawings and calculations. III. The institution has the building plans through the competent architect/authority i.e., Assistant Engineer, PWD, Sub-Division Amer, Jaipur, Government of Rajasthan. IV. The updated floor plans along with accurate floor-wise built-up area (in 1510 S.Q.M./16253.50 S.Q.F. in each Floor Ground Floor to Third Floor) is duly certified by the competent authority i.e., Assistant Engineer, PWD, Sub-Division Amer, Jaipur, Government of Rajasthan are being submitted/uploaded. (Annexure-1) V. Accordingly, after including all floors and the separate library area, the total built-up area of the institution comes to approximately 6893 SQ.M/74195.63 SQ.F., which is in conformity with the

institutional records, approved building plans, and infrastructure documents submitted by the institution. Annexure-1) VI. That the institution categorically affirms that sufficient land and built-up area are available and earmarked for all Teacher Education Programmes and multidisciplinary programmes being conducted. VII. That separate and adequate infrastructural facilities, including earmarked built-up area, classrooms, laboratories, multipurpose hall, library, reading room, faculty rooms, ICT facilities and other infrastructural components exist in conformity with the norms prescribed under the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The details are as follows:- VIII. That the institution specifically undertakes that the land area and built-up area available are fully adequate and compliant with NCTE norms and standards applicable to the sanctioned intake and programmes being conducted. IX. The correct and verified plans and built-up area statements are fully matching with the approved building plan and institutional records. X. Therefore, the institution humbly requests the Hon'ble Committee to kindly consider the Floor Plan & Built-up area documents which verified by the competent authority i.e., Assistant Engineer, PWD, Sub-Division Amer, Jaipur, Government of Rajasthan and condone the discrepancy.). **Compliance/ Reply 10.** (I. It is respectfully submitted that the building plans uploaded by the institution are duly approved by the competent architect/authority i.e. Assistant Engineer, PWD, Sub-Division Amer, Jaipur, Government of Rajasthan (Annexure-1) II. The institution respectfully submits that the mismatch in floor plans and floor-wise built-up area occurred due to earlier technical/clerical discrepancies in the submitted drawings and calculations. III. The institution has the building plans through the competent architect/authority i.e Assistant Engineer, PWD, Sub-Division Amer, Jaipur, Government of Rajasthan. IV. The updated floor plans along with accurate floor-wise built-up area (in 1510 S.Q.M./16253.50 S.Q.F. in each Floor Ground Floor to Third Floor) is duly certified by the competent authority i.e Assistant Engineer, PWD, Sub-Division Amer, Jaipur, Government of Rajasthan are being submitted/uploaded. (Annexure-1) V. That the institution categorically affirms that sufficient land and built-up area are available and earmarked for all Teacher Education Programmes and multidisciplinary programmes being conducted. VI. That separate and adequate infrastructural facilities, including earmarked built-up area, classrooms, laboratories, multipurpose hall, library, reading room, faculty rooms, ICT facilities and other infrastructural components exist in conformity with the norms prescribed under the NCTE Regulations, 2014. VII. That the institution specifically undertakes that the land area and built-up area available are fully adequate and compliant with NCTE norms and standards applicable to the sanctioned intake and programmes being conducted. VIII. The correct and verified plans and built-up area statements are fully matching with the approved building plan and institutional records. IX. Therefore, the institution humbly requests the Hon'ble Committee to kindly consider the Floor Plan & Built-up area documents which verified by the competent authority i.e Assistant Engineer, PWD, Sub-Division Amer, Jaipur, Government of Rajasthan

and condone the discrepancy. X. Further, regarding the observation relating to organization of library resources, it is respectfully submitted that the institution has a well-established library with adequate textbooks, reference books, journals, educational magazines, research materials, and digital learning resources as prescribed under NCTE norms. The library resources are properly arranged subject-wise/course-wise and are accessible to students and faculty members for academic and research purposes. (Annexure-4) XI. The library is managed systematically with proper shelving, cataloguing, accession records, issue/return system, reading facilities, and digital access support. XII. Therefore, the observations regarding mismatch of building plans and organization of library resources may kindly be treated as duly complied with and the deficiencies may kindly be dropped.).

**Compliance/Reply 11.** (1. It is respectfully submitted that the institution possesses all required laboratories and academic infrastructure as prescribed under NCTE norms. The laboratories are functional, adequately equipped, and are being regularly utilized for practical training and academic activities. Geo tag Photographs of Laboratories are enclosed as (Annexure-7) II. Further, regarding the observation that the floor plans are mismatching with the building plan, it is respectfully submitted that there is no material discrepancy in the approved infrastructure documents. The approved building plans, infrastructure details, and actual physical structure pertain to the same institutional premises and are substantially consistent with each other and are issued by Assistant Engineer, PWD, Sub-Division, Amer, Jaipur, Government of Rajasthan. (Annexure-1) III. Regarding the observation that the library part is not digitalized, it is respectfully submitted that the institution has already provided digital and online support facilities in the library through computer systems, internet connectivity, e-resources, and online academic access facilities for students and faculty members. (Annexure-4) IV. Therefore, the observations raised by the Visiting Team may kindly be treated as duly complied with and the deficiencies may kindly be dropped.).

**Compliance/Reply 12** (1. It is respectfully submitted that there is no actual mismatch in the building areas of the institution. The building area details issued by Assistant Engineer, PWD, Sub-Division, Amer, Jaipur, Government of Rajasthan and submitted by the institution are based on the approved building plans and the actual constructed infrastructure available on the campus. (Annexure-1) II. The institution has a properly constructed multi-storey academic building along with separate instructional and library facilities, and the total built-up area has been calculated after including all eligible constructed portions of the institutional infrastructure. Therefore, the area reflected in the institutional records and submitted documents is correct and supported by the approved plans and infrastructure details. III. Therefore, the building area details submitted by the institution are correct and in conformity with the actual infrastructure available. (Annexure-1) Hence, the objection raised in this regard may kindly be treated as complied with and dropped.)” The appellant institution also submitted

an affidavit in support of the appeal and in compliance with the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 20.05.2026. In the affidavit, the institution undertook/submitted that it complies with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, NCTE Regulations, 2014 and the Guidelines for Transformation into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions, and that all documents furnished are genuine and verifiable. The institution submitted revised floor plans and floor-wise built-up area statements duly certified by the Assistant Engineer, PWD, Sub-Division Amer, Jaipur, and stated that earlier discrepancies arose due to technical or clerical errors. It further submitted that adequate land, built-up area and separate infrastructural facilities are available for teacher education and multidisciplinary programmes. The institution stated that the Fire Safety Certificate issued by the competent authority is valid and verifiable and clarified that the area reflected therein pertains to the relevant constructed portion of the campus. It also submitted geo-tagged photographs and clarifications regarding the adequacy of the multipurpose hall, stating that it accommodates more than 200 persons. The institution furnished details of physical and digital library resources, including e-resources, online subscriptions, computer systems and internet-enabled access facilities. It further submitted that the building has been constructed in accordance with approved plans and applicable safety standards and is supported by a structural safety certificate issued by the competent authority. The institution also stated that barrier-free facilities, including ramps and lift access, have been provided. It submitted that laboratories are functional and adequately equipped and that the library resources are systematically organized and digital access facilities are available. The aforesaid documents, revised certificates and clarifications were placed on record in support of the appeal.

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee, in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026 held online on 01<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 29.09.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 20.05.2026.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution that the discrepancies relating to floor plans and floor-

wise built-up area were attributable to earlier technical/clerical errors in the submitted drawings and calculations. The institution submitted revised and certified floor plans, approved by the Assistant Engineer, PWD, Sub-Division Amer, Jaipur, indicating a built-up area of 1510 sq. m. on each floor from the Ground Floor to the Third Floor, and affirmed that sufficient land and built-up area, along with separate and adequate infrastructural facilities, were earmarked for teacher education and multidisciplinary programmes in conformity with NCTE norms. The institution further submitted that a valid Fire Safety Certificate/Fire NOC bearing No. LSG/JAIPUR/FIRENOC/2025-26/65467 dated 13.04.2026, valid up to 12.04.2028 and issued by the Fire Safety Department, Government of Rajasthan, had been uploaded and was verifiable through the official portal. It was clarified that the area reflected in the Fire NOC pertained to the relevant constructed institutional building under occupancy and not the entire land holding of the Society. It was also submitted that geo-tagged photographs evidencing the existence and adequacy of the Multipurpose Hall, with requisite furniture and seating capacity for more than 200 persons, had been furnished. The institution further stated that adequate library facilities, including books, journals, digital resources, electronic publications, internet-enabled access systems and subscription-based e-resources, were available, supported by documentary proof and digital access arrangements through computer systems. The institution submitted that the building had been constructed in accordance with approved plans and applicable safety standards and that the Building Safety/Structural Stability Certificate issued by the Executive Engineer, PWD, Shahpura, Jaipur certified the premises as structurally safe and suitable for academic purposes. It was further stated that accessibility measures, including ramps and lift facilities, had been provided, supported by geo-tagged photographs. The institution reiterated that the approved building plans and revised built-up area statements, certified by the competent authority, established the adequacy of land and infrastructure, with the total built-up area being approximately 6893 sq. m. after accounting for all floors and the separate library area. It was further submitted that the laboratories were functional and adequately equipped as per NCTE norms, the library resources were systematically organized and digitally supported, and the infrastructure details reflected in the approved plans corresponded to the actual facilities available on campus. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in response to the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 20.05.2026. The institution submitted revised floor plans and floor-wise built-up area statements duly certified by the Assistant Engineer, PWD, Sub-Division Amer, Jaipur, and clarified that the discrepancies noted earlier had arisen due to technical or clerical errors, while stating that adequate land, built-up area and separate infrastructural facilities are available for the programmes being conducted. It further furnished the Fire Safety Certificate and clarified that the area reflected therein pertains to the relevant constructed portion of the campus,

besides placing on record geo-tagged photographs and clarifications regarding the adequacy of the multipurpose hall. The institution also submitted details of physical and digital library resources, including e-resources, online subscriptions and internet-enabled access facilities, and stated that laboratories are functional and adequately equipped. It further stated that the building conforms to approved plans and applicable safety standards, supported by the structural safety certificate issued by the competent authority, and that barrier-free facilities, including ramps and lift access, have been provided on the campus.

The Appeal Committee, upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the impugned order, the Appeal Report, the records available on file, and the submissions advanced during the hearing, observes that the impugned decision of the concerned Regional Committee is founded upon findings of non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended from time to time, read with the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025. The deficiencies recorded therein pertain to core statutory requirements governing eligibility, including fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status, and are foundational to consideration of recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP). At the appellate stage under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, the appellant institution has placed on record certain additional documents along with a compliance report purportedly in rectification of the deficiencies noted by the Regional Committee. The Appeal Committee notes that a substantial portion of such material was either not placed before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order or has not undergone verification and authentication by the competent authority in accordance with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended. The Committee is further of the considered view that eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) is contingent upon the institution being a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the provisions and norms prescribed by the NCTE. Further, while processing and considering applications for ITEP, it is incumbent upon the concerned Regional Committee to ensure that the infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and found to be in conformity with the norms and regulations prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to compliance with the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. Such examination shall necessarily include verification of the availability and adequacy of land area and built-up area of the institution in its capacity as a Multidisciplinary Institution intending to offer the ITEP programme, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993,

the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, and other applicable statutory requirements, taking into account the land and built-up area requirements prescribed by the respective competent/statutory authority for the programmes already established and proposed to be offered by the institution. It is a settled position that compliance with recognition norms is required to be established through contemporaneous, duly authenticated, and verifiable documentary evidence, and the burden of establishing strict and continuous compliance rests squarely upon the applicant institution. Mere assertions, post facto submissions, or unverified documents cannot, by themselves, displace or invalidate the findings recorded by the Regional Committee. In this context, the Committee also takes note of the principle enunciated by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in ***Rambha College of Education v. NCTE, W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016***, wherein it has been recognised that subsequent material and developments brought on record during the pendency of appellate proceedings cannot be disregarded merely on the ground that the same were not before the Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order. The Hon'ble Court has recognised that such material may be considered by the Appellate Authority and, where warranted, the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law. At the same time, the Committee emphasises that consideration of additional material at the appellate stage does not ipso facto establish regulatory compliance or confer validity upon such documents, and that any such material is necessarily required to be subjected to strict factual verification and regulatory scrutiny in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended.

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire matter, and having regard to the aforesaid judicial pronouncements and the factual matrix of the present case, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in furtherance of the principles of fairness and due process, deems it appropriate to set aside the impugned order dated 20.05.2026 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC), strictly for the limited purpose of factual re-examination of the appellant institution's claim regarding fulfilment of the prescribed shortlisting criteria for Multidisciplinary Institution (MDI) status and other deficiencies identified in the impugned order. The appellant institution shall submit complete, duly authenticated, contemporaneous, and verifiable documentary evidence before the concerned Regional Committee within fifteen (15) days from the date of issuance of this order. Upon receipt thereof, the Regional Committee shall examine the matter strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE

(Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended, and the NCTE Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (MDI) dated 15.05.2025, including verification of the institution's eligibility for offering the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP), which is contingent upon the institution qualifying as a Multidisciplinary Institution in accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE, and ensuring that infrastructure facilities, including land earmarked for the undergraduate-level programme, are duly authenticated and conform to the norms prescribed by the UGC and/or the concerned statutory/regulatory authority, in addition to the applicable NCTE Norms and Standards. The Regional Committee shall thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order strictly in accordance with law and uninfluenced by any observations made herein. It is clarified that the present remand is limited in scope and shall not create any presumption, equity, or vested right in favour of the appellant institution.

#### IV. DECISION: -

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, and the submissions made by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 20.05.2026 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for fresh consideration and factual re-examination, strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, subject to the directions contained hereinabove. The appellant institution shall submit the requisite documents within fifteen (15) days, whereupon the Regional Committee shall proceed in accordance with law and pass a reasoned and speaking order within a reasonable timeframe.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Department of Education, Maharaj Vinayak Global University, Plot No. 313, Village – Harwar, Street/Road – NH11C, Tehsil – Amer, District – Jaipur, Rajasthan- 302028.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. The Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.



**एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date – 11.06.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-215/E-410823/2026 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026**

**APPLSRC202515306**

Nagai College of Education, Plot No. 14/2, Sattayappar South Street, Nagapattinam, Tamilnadu-611001	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>No one appeared</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	Regional Director, SRC
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	01 <sup>st</sup> & 2 <sup>nd</sup> June 2026
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	11.06.2026

## आदेश/ ORDER

### I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Nagai College of Education, Plot No. 14/2, Sattayappar South Street, Nagapattinam, Tamilnadu-611001** dated 30.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision of SRC taken in its 461<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 26<sup>th</sup> – 27<sup>th</sup> May, 2025 in respect of the appellant institution whereby recognition was withdrawn u/s 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 from the academic session 2025-26. (However no impugned order was issued).

### II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

**No one appeared of Nagai College of Education, Plot No. 14/2, Sattayappar South Street, Nagapattinam, Tamilnadu-611001** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “When we try to submit the response, the server was busy and never allowed us to move to the next step”

### III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

**The Appeal Committee, in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026 held online on 01<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (Hundred) students vide order dated 13.11.2006. Thereafter, on promulgation of NCTE Regulations, 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit dt. 22.01.2015 for its willingness for adherence of provisions of new Regulations. A Revised Provisional Recognition Order was issued to the institution for conducting B.Ed. programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students (two units) from the academic session 2015-16 vide order dated 31.05.2015. The SRC vide order dated 11.09.2017 on the request of the institution for reduction of intake from 2 units to 1 unit permitted the same. Thereafter, as per decision taken by the SRC in its 461<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 26<sup>th</sup> – 27<sup>th</sup> May, 2025 in respect of the appellant institution recognition was withdrawn u/s 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 from the academic session 2025-26. (However no impugned order was issued)

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution that its recognition had been withdrawn by the SRC

on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021 and 2022, which, according to the institution, could not be filed due to technical and server-related issues. The institution stated that, aggrieved by the withdrawal order, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court of Madras and obtained an interim stay against the operation of the withdrawal order. It further submitted that, pursuant to the directions of the Hon'ble High Court, an appeal under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 was preferred before the Appellate Authority on 30.07.2025 seeking restoration and continuation of recognition. The institution clarified that no response had been received from the Appellate Authority on the said appeal till the date of submission of the representation. In support of its submissions, the institution placed on record copies of the relevant court proceedings and documents pertaining to the appeal filed before the Appellate Authority.

The Appeal Committee noted the contention of the appellant institution that an online appeal had been filed on 30.07.2025 pursuant to the directions of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras. However, the Committee further noted from the records that the appellant institution did not submit the requisite hard copy of the appeal along with the prescribed documents within the stipulated period in terms of the applicable procedure. The hard copy of the appeal was received in the Appeal Division only on 29.05.2026. Accordingly, the Committee observed that, although the online appeal had been filed on 30.07.2025, the institution failed to complete the appeal process within the prescribed time by submitting the requisite hard copy and supporting documents. The Appeal Committee further noted that the case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. The Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the decision of the General Body of the Council in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024 and the subsequent Public Notices issued in this regard, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory, statutory, and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The PAR process necessarily comprises both submission of institutional data through the designated online portal and successful payment of the prescribed fee, which constitutes an integral part of the compliance mechanism. The Committee further noted that wide publicity was accorded through multiple modes to ensure that all TEIs were duly apprised of the requirement of submission of PAR.

The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68<sup>th</sup> (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

**“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL**

**3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:**

**(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:**

**The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.**

**(B) Scope of Submission:**

**The opportunity is structured as follows:**

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

**(C) Stipulation of Consequence:**

**The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.**

**(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:**

**Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is ‘Active’ or ‘under judicial stay’.**

- **The Council notes that the status of ‘Withdrawn’ recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands ‘Withdrawn’ on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon’ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window.”**

The above decision of the General Body being binding in nature is required to be strictly complied with by the Regional Committees. The Committee noted that the appellant institution had failed to submit the PAR within the stipulated timelines and was, therefore, deficient in complying with the mandatory statutory requirements. However, it is also noted that at the appellate stage, the appellant institution has expressed its willingness to submit the PAR upon reopening of the portal and has placed certain documents on record in support of its submissions. The Committee further noted that documents produced at the appellate stage may be examined for the limited purpose of verification by the competent authority, as held by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016], wherein it has been observed:

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”***

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”***

Noting the submissions made by the appellant institution and having regard to the foregoing statutory provisions, the binding decision of the General Body of the Council dated 25.11.2025, and the settled legal position, the Appeal Committee, upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire material available on record, is of the considered view that the impugned decision of SRC taken in its 461<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 26<sup>th</sup> – 27<sup>th</sup> May, 2025 in respect of the appellant institution whereby recognition was withdrawn u/s 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 from the academic session 2025-26 is liable to be set aside. Accordingly, the matter is remanded to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh examination. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the concerned Regional Committee, within a period of fifteen

(15) days from the date of receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material relied upon. The remand is considered necessary to facilitate due verification of the documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the statutory framework governing recognition, including the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions contained in the binding resolution of the General Body dated 25.11.2025. The Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned assessment of the case, including verification of all documents and claims made by the appellant institution, strictly in accordance with law. It is expressly clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed norms and standards through duly authenticated documentary evidence, and failure to do so shall entail rejection of its case in accordance with law, without any further opportunity.

#### IV. DECISION:

**After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, and the submissions made by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the decision of SRC taken in its 461<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 26<sup>th</sup> – 27<sup>th</sup> May, 2025 in respect of the appellant institution whereby recognition was withdrawn u/s 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 from the academic session 2025-26 and remands the matter to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The Southern Regional Committee shall strictly comply with and give effect to the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE dated 25.11.2025 and examine the case afresh in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and applicable guidelines issued from time to time. The appellant institution shall forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee within fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of this order. The Regional Committee shall thereafter undertake due verification and pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner, strictly in accordance with law. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.**

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Nagai College of Education, Plot No. 14/2, Sattayappar South Street, Nagapattinam, Tamilnadu-611001.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**

3. The Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Govt. of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George, Chennai, Tamil Nadu-600009.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date – 11.06.2026

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
**89-480/E-390717/2026 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026**  
**APPLWRC202615439**

Harnam Dass Lekhraj Institute of Education, Chak 24 B.B., Killa No. 18, 23 Gajsinghpur Road, Padampur, Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan – 335041  <b>APPELLANT</b>	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075  <b>RESPONDENT</b>
---	------------------	--

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Representative of the institution</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	Regional Director, WRC
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	01 <sup>st</sup> & 2 <sup>nd</sup> June 2026
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	11.06.2026

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **Harnam Dass Lekhraj Institute of Education, Chak 24 B.B., Killa No. 18, 23 Gajsinghpur Road, Padampur, Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan – 335041** dated 20.11.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/CF-84623/RJ-1593/430<sup>th</sup> (Part-III)/2025/7905** dated 04.11.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawn recognition for conducting B.Ed. programme on the grounds that "i. Photocopy of Building Plan approved by Sahayak Abhiyanta, PWD, Sub-Division, Palampur, Rajasthan submitted by the institution has not been approved by local govt. authority and date not reflected therein. ii. Photocopy of faculty list for the year 2024-25 submitted by the institution comprising 01 Principal + 15 Lectures, which is not in NCTE prescribed format and same is not approved by Registrar of Affiliating University. Faculties in Perspectives in Education and Pedagogy subjects as required under NCTE norms-Appendix-4 are not reflected in the faculty list/have not been appointed. iii. Letter of approved regarding selected/appointed faculties issued by Affiliating University as per laid down procedure/policy of Affiliating Body is not submitted. iv. Details of salary disbursed to the faculties for last 6 months are not submitted. v. Duly filled in Form 'A' in original issued by Authorized Signatory of concerned bank towards maintain of Joint FDRs towards Endowment and Reserved Fund as per provision of NCTE Regulations is not submitted. vi. Submitted copy of Building Completion Certificate is not approved by Competent Government Authority and date of approval of BCC is not reflected therein. vii. Fire Safety Certificate issued by designated Fire Safety Department of Government of Rajasthan is not submitted. viii. The institution has not submitted Certificate to the effect that the building is differently abled friendly form the Competent Government Authority. ix. Download copies of documents form the institutional website with hyperlink of the same in compliance with provisions under Clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time is not submitted."

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Representative of Harnam Dass Lekhraj Institute of Education, Chak 24 B.B., Killa No. 18, 23 Gajsinghpur Road, Padampur, Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan – 335041** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 01<sup>st</sup> & 02<sup>nd</sup> June, 2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that ". That copy of building plan duly approved by E.O., Nagar Palika, Palampur Distt. - Sri Ganganagar (Raj.) and Sahayak

Abhiyanta, P.W.D., Sub-Division-Palampur Distt. -Sri Ganganagar (Raj.) is attached. 2. That copy of list of teaching staff in prescribed format of NCTE duly approved by the Registrar, Maharaja Ganga Singh University, Bikaner (Raj.) from 2022-23 to 2024-25 are attached. 3. That copy of approval letter of teaching staff issued by the Registrar, Maharaja Ganga Singh University, Bikaner (Raj.) from 2022-23 to 2024-25 is attached. 4. That copy of salary disbursed to the faculties for last 6 months duly certified by Canara Bank, Palampur, Distt. – Sri Ganganagar (Raj.) is attached. 5. That copy of Form "A" duly sealed and signed by the Branch Manager, ICICI Bank Ltd., Palampur, Distt. -Sri Ganganagar (Raj.) and copy of FDRs for Endowment Fund and Reserve Fund in joint account are attached. 6. That copy of Building Completion Certificate duly approved by Sahayak Abhiyanta, P.W.D., Sub-Division- Palampur Distt. -Sri Ganganagar (Raj.) is attached. 7. That copy of Fire Safety Certificate No. LSG/ PADAMPUR/ FIRENOC/ 2025-26 / 57716 dated 15.09.2025 which is valid up to 14.09.2027 issued by Nagar Palika, Palampur Distt. -Sri Ganganagar (Raj.) is attached. 8. That copy of certificate to the effect that the building is differently abled friendly issued by Nagar Palika, Palampur Distt. -Sri Ganganagar (Raj.) is attached. 9. That the name of website of this college is [www.hlie.in](http://www.hlie.in). All documents and information has been uploaded on the website of this institution as per provisions under clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time. Copy of home page with hyperlink is attached. The appellatant institution also submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in compliance with the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 04.11.2025. In the affidavit, the institution undertook/submitted that it complies with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, NCTE Regulations, 2014, applicable Norms and Standards, and that all documents furnished are genuine and verifiable. The institution submitted the approved building plan issued by the Assistant Engineer, Sub-Division Padampur, Sri Ganganagar, indicating the relevant land particulars and earmarked built-up area. It further furnished the teaching staff list duly countersigned by Maharaja Ganga Singh University, Bikaner, along with the approval letter issued by the affiliating university. The institution submitted salary records and stated that salaries are disbursed through banking channels, supported by salary registers acknowledged by the concerned bank. It also furnished Form 'A' relating to the joint Fixed Deposit Receipts maintained towards the Endowment Fund and Reserve Fund in accordance with the NCTE Regulations. The institution submitted the Building Completion Certificate issued by the competent authority in the prescribed format. It further placed on record a valid Fire Safety NOC issued by the competent Fire Safety Department. The institution also furnished a Disability-Friendly Certificate issued by the competent Government authority. It submitted that the institutional website is functional and contains the mandatory disclosures prescribed under the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The aforesaid documents and clarifications were placed on record in support of the appeal.

### III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2026 held online on 01<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. programme one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 26.08.2008. Thereafter, Revised Recognition Provisional Order (RPRO) was issued to the institution vide order no. F.No./NRC/NCTE/RJ-1593/2015/96088-093 dated 29.04.2015 for B.Ed. programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students (two basic units of 50 students each) from the academic session 2015-2016. The recognition of the institution for B.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the WRC vide order dated 04.11.2025.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appeal of the appellant institution had previously been adjudicated upon and rejected vide order dated 06.04.2026. Aggrieved thereby, the appellant institution approached the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi by way of W.P.(C) No. 6293/2026 along with CM APPL. No. 30916/2026 and has placed on record a copy of the order dated 08.05.2026 passed therein. The relevant extracts of the said order are reproduced hereunder:

#### CM APPL. 30916/2026

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

#### W.P. (C) 6293/2026

***"13. I am of the view that the limited relief acceptable to the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner may be given another fresh and meaningful opportunity of hearing by the appellate authority and may be permitted to effectively represent its case.***

***14. Without going into the effective merits of the petition and the issue raised therein or the question whether the petitioner was unable to pursue its case or not, the interest of justice, to my mind, would be met if the petitioner is given another opportunity to present its case in detail before the appellate authority, and the issue whether they were unable to effectively pursue its case or not.***

***15. For the said reasons, the appellate authority shall give an opportunity of personal hearing to the representative of the petitioner institute as per the applicable rules within 4 weeks from today and after hearing the petitioner, shall pass an order in accordance with law.***

***16. Consequently, the impugned order of the appellate authority dated 06.04.2026 is set aside, with the above directions.***

**17. With these directions, the petition is disposed of.”**

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution that, it had furnished a copy of the Building Plan duly approved by the Executive Officer, Nagar Palika, Padampur, District Sri Ganganagar, and the Assistant Engineer, PWD Sub-Division, Padampur, District Sri Ganganagar. The institution submitted that lists of teaching staff in the prescribed NCTE format, duly approved by the Registrar, Maharaja Ganga Singh University, Bikaner, for the academic sessions 2022-23 to 2024-25, along with the corresponding approval letters issued by the University, had been furnished. It was further submitted that salary statements evidencing disbursement of salaries to faculty members for the preceding six months, duly certified by Canara Bank, Padampur, District Sri Ganganagar, had been enclosed. The institution also submitted Form 'A', duly signed and sealed by the Branch Manager, ICICI Bank Ltd., Padampur, together with copies of Fixed Deposit Receipts pertaining to the Endowment Fund and Reserve Fund maintained in the joint account. The institution further stated that a Building Completion Certificate duly approved by the Assistant Engineer, PWD Sub-Division, Padampur had been submitted. It was also submitted that Fire Safety Certificate No. LSG/PADAMPUR/FIRENOC/2025-26/57716 dated 15.09.2025, issued by Nagar Palika, Padampur and valid up to 14.09.2027, had been furnished. The institution further submitted that a certificate certifying the building as differently-abled friendly, issued by Nagar Palika, Padampur, had been enclosed. The institution also stated that its website, namely [www.hlie.in](http://www.hlie.in), had been updated and maintained in compliance with Clauses 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, as amended from time to time, and that relevant documents and disclosures had been uploaded thereon, supported by a copy of the homepage containing the requisite hyperlinks.

The Appeal Committee also noted that the appellant institution submitted an affidavit in support of the appeal and in response to the deficiencies communicated through the impugned order dated 04.11.2025. The institution placed on record the approved building plan and Building Completion Certificate issued by the competent authority, along with the teaching staff list duly countersigned by Maharaja Ganga Singh University, Bikaner and the corresponding approval letter issued by the affiliating university. It further submitted salary records and clarified that salaries are disbursed through banking channels, supported by salary registers acknowledged by the concerned bank, and furnished Form 'A' relating to the joint Fixed Deposit Receipts maintained towards the Endowment Fund and Reserve Fund in terms of the NCTE Regulations. The institution also submitted a valid Fire Safety NOC, Disability-Friendly Certificate and stated that the institutional website is functional and contains

the mandatory disclosures prescribed under the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The aforesaid documents and clarifications were placed on record for consideration of the appeal.

The Appeal Committee noted that the Western Regional Committee, vide Withdrawal Order dated 04.11.2025 issued under Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993, had recorded that a verified complaint had been received against the institution and that the matter had been referred to the affiliating body and the District Magistrate, Sri Ganganagar, for their comments. The records indicate that a reply from the affiliating University was received on 29.01.2025; however, the findings contained therein do not appear to have been taken into consideration or reflected in the impugned withdrawal order. It was further noted that no response had been received from the District Magistrate, Sri Ganganagar, prior to the issuance of the withdrawal order. The impugned order does not disclose any determination on the merits of the complaint or record any conclusive findings based on verified material, with the result that the substantive allegations forming the basis of the complaint remain factually unexamined. The Appeal Committee, upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, and the submissions advanced during the hearing, records that at the appellate stage under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, the appellant institution has placed on record certain documents and a compliance report in purported rectification of the deficiencies noted in the impugned order. However, it is evident that a substantial portion of such material was either not placed before the concerned Regional Committee at the time of passing of the impugned order or has not undergone due verification and authentication by the competent authority in accordance with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended from time to time. Under the statutory scheme of the NCTE Act, 1993 read with the aforesaid Regulations, grant, continuation, or modification of recognition is contingent upon strict, demonstrable, and contemporaneous compliance with the prescribed norms and standards relating to land, building, instructional and infrastructural facilities, duly qualified and approved faculty, and other regulatory parameters, which must be established through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence. Mere assertions, undertakings, or reliance upon unverified documents cannot displace or invalidate the findings recorded by the Regional Committee in exercise of its statutory jurisdiction. The Appeal Committee reiterates that the statutory burden to establish compliance rests squarely upon the applicant institution, and no vested, accrued, or equitable right arises merely upon submission of representations or additional documents unless such compliance is established in accordance with law at the time of consideration. The regulatory framework does not contemplate post facto regularization on the basis of unverified, incomplete, or subsequently produced material. At the same time, the Committee notes that

the deficiencies recorded in the impugned order are predominantly factual in nature and are capable of objective verification within the statutory framework. The additional documents placed on record during appellate proceedings are relevant to the issues under consideration and, in the interest of procedural fairness, warrant examination by the competent authority. In this context, the Committee takes note of the principle laid down by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016], wherein it has been held that documents produced at the appellate stage may be examined for the limited purpose of verification, subject to scrutiny by the competent authority.

The Appeal Committee also took note of the observations of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) 4382/2021 dated 08.04.2021, wherein it was observed: -

***“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”***

The Appeal Committee further noted the observations of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) 7260/2021 dated 30.07.2021, wherein it was observed: -

***“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”***

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, having regard to the above, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, is of the considered view that limited interference is warranted solely for the purpose of ensuring factual verification and regulatory scrutiny of the appellant institution's claim of compliance. Such interference is confined to ensuring procedural fairness and regulatory consistency and shall not be construed as dilution of the mandatory statutory requirements or as any expression on the merits of the appellant's claims. Accordingly, the impugned order dated 04.11.2025 is set aside and the matter is remanded to the Western Regional Committee for fresh consideration and comprehensive re-examination of the appellant institution's claim of compliance. The appellant institution shall, within fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of this order, submit to the concerned Regional Committee

a duly notarized affidavit affirming the authenticity, correctness, and completeness of all documents relied upon, along with certified copies of all approvals, certificates, and statutory documents issued by the competent authorities in support of its claim. The concerned Regional Committee shall examine the entire record strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), verify the authenticity and adequacy of the documents, and, if deemed necessary, undertake further verification, including through appropriate means such as a Visiting Team, for the purpose of ascertaining factual and regulatory compliance. Thereafter, the Regional Committee shall pass a reasoned and speaking order strictly in accordance with law, uninfluenced by any observations contained herein, within the prescribed timeframe. The Regional Committee, being the custodian of records, shall ensure authenticity, completeness, and proper verification of all documents before passing any consequential order and shall ensure time-bound disposal of the matter. It is expressly clarified that this remand is confined to ensuring due verification and regulatory scrutiny and shall not be construed as conferring any presumption, equity, or vested right in favour of the appellant institution. Failure to establish strict and complete compliance with the prescribed statutory requirements shall entail rejection in accordance with law, without any further opportunity.

#### IV. DECISION:

**After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, and the submissions made by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee of the Council, in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, sets aside the impugned order dated 04.11.2025 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee for fresh consideration and re-examination in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), subject to the directions contained herein. The appellant institution shall submit the requisite documents within fifteen (15) days, whereupon the Regional Committee shall proceed in accordance with law and pass a reasoned and speaking order within the stipulated timeframe.**

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Harnam Dass Lekhraj Institute of Education, Chak 24 B.B., Killa No. 18, 23 Gajsinghpur Road, Padampur, Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan – 335041.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**

3. The Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.