



एन सी टी ई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एन सी टी ई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-117/ E-403927/2026 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202615321/E-89966

Baba Pauhari Shikshan Prashikshan Kendra, Plot No. 465, Street No. NA, Village Pachkhora, Sagri, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh – 276141	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Sh. Rampratap Singh, Clerk
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Baba Pauhari Shikshan Prashikshan Kendra, Plot No. 465, Street No. NA, Village Pachkhora, Sagri, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh - 276141** dated 04.08.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/NRCAPP-11142/231512-231518** dated 14.06.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "1. The justification/reply given by the institution against Show Cause Notice for Non-submission of PAR is not acceptable."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Sh. Rampratap Singh, Clerk of Baba Pauhari Shikshan Prashikshan Kendra, Plot No. 465, Street No. NA, Village Pachkhora, Sagri, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh - 276141 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "Website is running properly, so unable to submit PAR for Academic session 2021-2022 session 2022-23"

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April, 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 03.03.2015. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 22.05.2025 issued on 14.06.2025 on the grounds that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Appeal Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution, wherein it has submitted that “Website is running properly, so unable to submit PAR for Academic session 2021-2022 session 2022-23”

The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, the submissions and documents placed on record by the appellant institution, and the applicable provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended).—The Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements stipulated under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. As per the submissions made by the appellant institution, it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal. The Committee further noted that the appellant institution has neither made any submission nor placed any material on record indicating that any court proceedings were filed or that the matter remained sub judice subsequent to withdrawal of recognition by the concerned Regional Committee. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and,

inter alia, provides that “For TEIs whose recognition currently stands ‘Withdrawn’ on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon’ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee).” The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis. –

The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the stipulated period constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation and that the burden to establish due compliance squarely rests upon the recognized institution through complete, contemporaneous, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in view of the aforesaid binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in accordance with the applicable statutory and regulatory framework. The Committee further noted that the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned

Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed."

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, and the applicable statutory and regulatory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 14.06.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Northern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, and the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 14.06.2025 and remands the matter to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Northern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Baba Pauhari Shikshan Prashikshan Kendra, Plot No. 465, Street No. NA, Village Pachkhora, Sagri, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh - 276141.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-235/E-367621/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLSRC202515065/E-89966

Vivekananda Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, 53/1, Ramnagar Street, Durganagar Road, Mavala, Adilabad, Telangana-504001	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Vivekananda Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, 53/1, Ramnagar Street, Durganagar Road, Mavala, Adilabad, Telangana-504001** dated 25.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / SRC / PAR / ORDER / APSO3244 /** dated 17.05.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one appeared from Vivekananda Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, 53/1, Ramnagar Street, Durganagar Road, Mavala, Adilabad, Telangana-504001 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The institution is composite in nature running Vivekananda College of Education (B.Ed.) and Vivekananda Institute of Elementary Teacher Education under the same roof since 2001 and 2005 respectively. PAR is submitted for the both institution together as usual last year (in time). The Show Cause Notice from SRC date printed as 29/3/2025 but stamped as 07 April 2025 received on 19/04/2025. On the very day explanation as been submitted through regd. post which has been received by SRC on 25/4/2025. In addition to that email has also been sent. Hence an earnest request is made that Vivekananda Institute of Elementary Teacher Education and Vivekananda College of Education are catering to the needs most backward and tribal people. The PAR information is submitted in composite format within the time. These composite institutions are always abiding rules and regulations. Hence humbly requested to consider our appeal sympathetically and set aside the Withdrawal Order."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 26.08.2005. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by SRC vide order dt. 17.05.2025 on the ground that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. The Appeal Committee noted that this is the case of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As per the public notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024.

The Appeal Committee considered and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, namely Vivekananda College of Education (B.Ed.) and Vivekananda Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, wherein the appeal has been preferred against the withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR). The appellant institution submitted that it is a composite institution running both the aforesaid programmes under the same management and premises since 2001 and 2005 respectively, and that the PAR was submitted in a composite format for both institutions within the prescribed timeline, as done in previous years. It has been further submitted that the Show Cause Notice, though dated 29.03.2025, was received by the institution on 19.04.2025, and that the reply/explanation was submitted on the same day through registered post, which was received by the Southern Regional Committee on 25.04.2025, and was also communicated through email. The appellant has submitted that both institutions have been functioning in compliance with the applicable norms and are catering to the educational needs of backward and tribal populations, and has requested that the composite PAR submission be treated as compliance and that the withdrawal order be set aside.

The instant matter was placed in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held on 03.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Committee observed that while the appellant institution failed to submit the PAR within the stipulated timelines, it had filed a writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration. Accordingly, the Committee decided that the Regional Director as well

as the appellant institution shall apprise the Appeal Committee about the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court in respect of the pending case filed by the institution. In view of the pendency of judicial proceedings, the Committee resolved to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, the submissions and documents placed on record by the appellant institution, and the applicable provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended). The Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***

- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. The Committee further noted that, pursuant to the decision taken by the General Body of the Council in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28.07.2025, the appellant institution had been directed to submit directed to furnish the status of any judicial proceedings instituted in relation to withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of PAR, along with certified copies of relevant pleadings/orders, if any. The Committee observed that, despite issuance of formal communication through e-mail and subsequent reminders, the appellant institution has failed to submit the requisite undertaking and supporting documentary material before the Appeal Division. The Committee further noted that neither the appellant institution nor the concerned Regional Committee has furnished any authenticated material regarding

the pendency or status of any court proceedings. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 17.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Southern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of

opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law. The minutes recorded in Emergent Meeting 2025 is modified accordingly.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 17.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Southern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. Vivekananda Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, 53/1, Ramnagar Street, Durganagar Road, Mavala, Adilabad, Telangana-504001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 3rd Floor, Telangana Secretariat, Hyderabad, Telangana-500022.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-273/E-371991/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLERC202515200 / E-89966

Vivekananda Teachers Training Institute, 881, MJ Block Debipur Road, Debipur, Jiaganj, Murshidabad, West Bengal-742123	<u>Vs</u>	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Mr. Rudrakanta Dhar, Secretary
Respondent by	Regional Director, ERC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Vivekananda Teachers Training Institute, 881, MJ Block Debipur Road, Debipur, Jiaganj, Murshidabad, West Bengal-742123** dated 27.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Minutes of 367th (Hybrid) Meeting held on 04.06.2025 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "As per decision of ERC, SCN was issued to the institution and accordingly, the institution has furnished the reply. The institution has not submitted the fees while filling the PAR."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Rudrakanta Dhar, Secretary of Vivekananda Teachers Training Institute, 881, MJ Block Debipur Road, Debipur, Jiaganj, Murshidabad, West Bengal-742123 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "We have submitted PAR filling in proper time and also paid fees for PAR in due time. Fees payment details transaction id reference no 220331117298656 on 20/12/2024 and another UTR no 494228631360 on 26/12/2024 for 21-22 and 22-23. Though the two payments have not been reached you for any technical glitch. In that circumstances we humbly request to you to kindly give us an opportunity for making another payment through physical or online mode to make the PAR successful. So, you are requested to kindly reverse the withdrawal order dated 04/06/2025 as we are running our institution as per NCTE rules and regulations from 10 years and no complain against us till date. We have also adequate infrastructure and teacher faculties as per NCTE norms."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by ERC

vide minutes dt. 04.06.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable.

The Appeal Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution, wherein the appeal has been preferred against the withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) and related fee compliance. The appellant institution submitted that it had filed the PAR within the stipulated time and also effected payment of the prescribed fees within due time, providing transaction details, namely Transaction ID/Reference No. 220331117298656 dated 20.12.2024 and UTR No. 494228631360 dated 26.12.2024 for the relevant years. It has been stated that, due to technical issues, the said payments were not reflected/received by the authority. The appellant further submitted that, in view of the aforesaid circumstances, it may be permitted to make the payment afresh through physical or online mode to complete the PAR process. It has also been stated that the institution has been functioning for the past ten years in accordance with NCTE norms and regulations, possesses requisite infrastructure and qualified faculty, and that no adverse complaint has been reported against it. The appellant has accordingly requested for setting aside of the withdrawal order dated 04.06.2025.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 9th Meeting, 2025 & Emergent Meeting, 2026 held on 26.07.2025 & 07.01.2026 respectively. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is ‘Active’ or ‘under judicial stay’.

- **The Council notes that the status of ‘Withdrawn’ recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands ‘Withdrawn’ on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon’ble**

High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window.”

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as **TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters** vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

“4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration.

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, the submissions made by the appellant institution, the binding decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the directions of the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 15.12.2025, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal filed by the appellant institution has become infructuous. Accordingly, the appeal stands disposed of in terms of the directions contained hereinabove, in consonance with the applicable provisions of law and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **Vivekananda Teachers Training Institute, 881, MJ Block Debipur Road, Debipur, Jiaganj, Murshidabad, West Bengal-742123.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.

4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of West Bengal, Bikash Bhavan, Salt Lake City, (5th, 6th, 8th, 10th Floor) Kolkata, West Bengal-700 091.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-274/E-371991/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLERC202515201/E-89966

Vivekananda Teachers Training Institute, 881, MJ Block Debipur Road, Debipur, Jiaganj, Murshidabad, West Bengal-742123	<u>Vs</u>	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Mr. Rudrakanta Dhar, Secretary
Respondent by	Regional Director, ERC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Vivekananda Teachers Training Institute, 881, MJ Block Debipur Road, Debipur, Jiaganj, Murshidabad, West Bengal-742123** dated 27.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Minutes of 367th (Hybrid) Meeting held on 04.06.2025 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "As per decision of ERC, SCN was issued to the institution and accordingly, the institution has furnished the reply. The institution has not submitted the fees while filling the PAR."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Rudrakanta Dhar, Secretary of Vivekananda Teachers Training Institute, 881, MJ Block Debipur Road, Debipur, Jiaganj, Murshidabad, West Bengal-742123 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "We have submitted PAR filling in proper time and also paid fees for PAR in due time. Fees payment details transaction id reference no 220331117298656 on 20/12/2024 and another UTR no 494228631360 on 26/12/2024 for 21-22 and 22-23. though the two payments have not been reached you for any technical glitch. In that circumstances we humbly request to you to kindly give us an opportunity for making another payment through physical or online mode to make the PAR successful. So, you are requested to kindly reverse the withdrawal order dated 04/06/2025 as we are running our institution as per NCTE rules and regulations from 10 years and no complain against us till date. We have also adequate infrastructure and teacher faculties as per NCTE norms."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by ERC

vide minutes dt. 04.06.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable.

The Appeal Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution, wherein the appeal has been preferred against the withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) and related fee compliance. The appellant institution submitted that the PAR was filed within the prescribed timeframe and that the requisite fee was also paid within due time, furnishing payment details, namely Transaction ID/Reference No. 220331117298656 dated 20.12.2024 and UTR No. 494228631360 dated 26.12.2024 for the relevant academic years. It has been stated that the said payments were not reflected/received by the authority due to a technical issue. The appellant further submitted that, in view of the aforesaid circumstances, it may be permitted to make the payment afresh through appropriate mode to complete the PAR process. It has also been stated that the institution has been functioning for the past ten years in accordance with NCTE norms and regulations, possesses requisite infrastructure and qualified teaching faculty, and has no adverse record. The appellant has accordingly requested for setting aside of the withdrawal order dated 04.06.2025.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 9th Meeting, 2025 & Emergent Meeting, 2026 held on 26.07.2025 & 07.01.2026 respectively. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is ‘Active’ or ‘under judicial stay’.

- **The Council notes that the status of ‘Withdrawn’ recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands ‘Withdrawn’ on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon’ble**

**High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18)
before the closing date of the portal window.”**

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

“4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an "order of restoration" of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as "recognized institution" shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of."

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellants institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration. The Committee also

noted that ERC in compliance of the order of the Hon'ble High Court order dated 15.12.2025 restored the recognition of the institution vide order dated 17.01.2026.

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. **DECISION:** -

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, the submissions made by the appellant institution, the binding decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the directions of the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 15.12.2025, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal filed by the appellant institution has become infructuous. Accordingly, the appeal stands disposed of in terms of the directions contained hereinabove, in consonance with the applicable provisions of law and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **Vivekananda Teachers Training Institute, 881, MJ Block Debipur Road, Debipur, Jiaganj, Murshidabad, West Bengal-742123.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of West Bengal, Bikash Bhavan, Salt Lake City, (5th, 6th, 8th, 10th Floor) Kolkata, West Bengal-700 091.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-305/E-372702/2025 & 89-236/E-370344/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLERC202515208 & APPLERC202515044 / E-89966

Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B.Ed. College, 972 to 978, 1011- 12, 1020 to 1054, Sector 9, Bokaro Steel City, Chas Bokaro, Jharkhand-827009	<u>Vs</u>	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	The Representatives
Respondent by	Regional Director, ERC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B.Ed. College, 972 to 978,1011-12,1020 to 1054, Sector 9, Bokaro Steel City, Chas Bokaro, Jharkhand-827009** dated 04.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / ERC / PAR / ORDER / ERCAPP1185 / 70684-70690** dated **15.05.2025** of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

The Representatives of Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B.Ed. College, 972 to 978,1011-12,1020 to 1054, Sector 9, Bokaro Steel City, Chas Bokaro, Jharkhand-827009 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report (**APPLERC202515208**), it is submitted that "With due respect it is to say that "Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B. Ed. College, Sector-8/B Bokaro Steel City, Bokaro (Jharkhand) - 827009 is run and managed by Swami Sahajanand Saraswati Sewa Samaj, Bokaro, Jharkhand is Recognized by NCTE through its Letter No. ERC/7-148.6.1/NCTE/B.Ed.2013/15784 dated: 18.01.2013 and affiliated to Vinoba Bhave University Hazaribagh, Jharkhand previously and now affiliated to Binod Bihari Mahto Koylanchal University, Dhanbad (Jharkhand) we want to bring into your kind notice that NCTE issued a Show Cause Notice to the College by its Letter - Ref. NCTE/2025/ERC/PAR/ERCAPP1185/70438 dated 29.03.2025 for Non - Submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic session 2022-2023 of the B. Ed. course and the Recognition / Permission was withdrawn by its meeting no.366 held on 13.05.2025 for not submitting any reply to the "Show Cause Notice" vide Letter No.- File No. NCTE/2025/ERC/PAR/ORDER/ERCAPP1185/70684-70690 Dated 15.05.2025. In this regard college wants to make it clear that PAR for the session 2022-2023 could not be submitted to NCTE within the deadline due to dispute of college management Committee of Swami Sahajanand Saraswati Sewa Samaj, Bokaro/ Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B.Ed. College, Sector-8/B, Bokaro Steel City, Bokaro, Which was started since 2017, details of dispute are as follows- (1) Mr. Prabhu Narayan Rai

(Now Late) Then President Of Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B.Ed. College, 8/B, Boaro Steel City, Bokaro, made a bogus trust with the help of his wife and some other anti -social persons by cheating the rest members and office bearer of the above Said Management Committee. The Bogus trust was similar/resembling to existing society. He named the trust Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B.Ed. College, Chas, Bokaro by registered no. 248/2017 and opened an account In Bank Against the rule by making conspiracy and strictly asked to Trainees /Students to deposit the tuition and other related fees in the new opened bank account.-(related Trust Deed, see Annexure- 01) 2- with the help of bogus Trust Deed and Administrative approach Mr. Prabhu Narayan Rai produced an application before the Bokaro district Administration to restrict the Members & Office Bearer of valid/ Legal Committee of Swami Sahajanand Saraswati Sewa Samaj , Bokaro, the Sub-Division Officer, Chas, Bokaro passed the one sided order to restrict the entry of members & office bearers in to the College premises of Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B.Ed. College, Sector-8/B, Bokaro Steel City, Bokaro without knowing the Pros & Cons. (Order Memo 298 G, Dated 06.02.2018, see Annexure-02) (3) Dr. Tripit Singh, Then President Of Management Committee Of Swami Sahajanand Saraswati Sewa Samaj ,Sector-8/B, Bokaro Steel City, Bokarofilled. The Writ Petition WP(C) 4055/2018, Before the Hon'ble High Court of Jharkhand, Ranchi against the order Memo 298 Dated 06.02.2018 passed by Sub-Division Officer of Bokaro. The Hon'ble High Court of Jharkhand, Ranchi directed to Deputy Commissioner Bokaro for disposal of this matter. (WP(C) 4055/2018, see Annexure- 03) 4- Deputy Commissioner cum Distt. Magistrate, Bokaro organized a meeting on date 26.12.2018 with the Management Committee/ Executive Body of Swami Sahajanand Saraswati Sewa Samaj, Sector-8/B, Bokaro Steel City, Bokaro Jharkhand Registered No. 967/2008-09 dated 13.01.2009. In continuation of the order of Hon'ble High Court of Jharkhand by Writ Petition 4055/2018 date 13.09.2018. The Deputy Commissioner cum Distt. Magistrate passed two (02) orders as per provision of By- Laws- (A) Deputy Commissioner cum Distt. Magistrate Bokaro suggested to constitute the executive body of the Swami Sahajanand Saraswati committee within Two (02) months and fix a date for Election. (B) All the transactions will be made with the consent/order of additional collector of Bokaro. (Memo: 4090/2018 dated: 27.12.2018 & memo: 4087/2018, dated: 27.12.2018 see annexure-04) 5- Deputy Commissioner Cum Distt, Magistrate , Bokaro issued a letter memo:4087/2018 in compliance to Hon'ble High Court Jharkhand, Ranchi but Late Prabhu Narayan Rai

wrote an application to Chief Secretary, Jharkhand, Govt. Ranchi to cancel the order memo 4087/ 2018, which was passed by the Deputy Commissioner cum Distt. Magistrate, Bokaro, The Chief Secretary, Jharkhand Govt. Ranchi cancelled the order issued by Deputy Commissioner Bokaro by issuing his letter - Memo 1349/Cs dated 31.12.2018. (Chief Secretary Of Jharkhand Govt. Ranchi order dated 31.12.2018, See Annexure- 05) 6- Dr. Tripit Prasad Singh, President of Management committee of Swami Sahajanand Saraswati Sewa Samaj, Bokaro , Jharkhand , filled a Writ Petition WP(C)-2178/2019 In Hon'ble High Court of Jharkhand against the order 1349/ Cs dated 31.12.2018, passed by Chief Secretary, Jharkhand Govt. Ranchi took back his order memo no. 1349/ Cs dated 31.12.2018 before the Hon'ble High Court of Jharkhand. So, in this condition order Memo 4087/2018 passed by Deputy Commissioner, Bokaro Re-Came in Force Automatically. (WP(C) 2178/2019, see Annexure- 06) 7- Order of the Hon'ble High Court Jharkhand WP(C) 4055/2018 and WP(C)2178/2019 could not come in force due to Covid-19 and administrative negligence. In this situation Illegal occupiers maintain their position and election of Swami Sahajanand Saraswati Sewa Samaj, Sector-8/B, Bokaro Steel City could not take place. Election of new management committee / Executive Body Of Swami Sahajanand Saraswati Sewa Samaj , Sector- 8/B, Bokaro Jharkhand (Registered no. 967/2008-09, date 13.01.2009) held on 10.09.2023 in the gracious presence of Respected Deputy Commissioner, Bokaro in compliance of Writ Petition WP(C) 4055/2018 & WP(C) 2178/2019 dated 27.12.2018 and memo no. 4090/2018 of the Office of Deputy Commissioner, Bokaro filed in the Hon'ble High Court of Jharkhand for the Swami Sahajanand Saraswati Sikshan Sansthan, Bokaro & Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B. Ed. College, Sector-8/B, Bokaro Steel City Bokaro , Jharkhand which is run & managed by Swami Sahajanand Saraswati Sewa Samaj, Bokaro. (Attested copy of newly elected Management Committee , see Annexure-07) 8- an application was moved before the respected Deputy Commissioner cum Magistrate Bokaro on 10.09.2023 to take possession to the newly elected management committee of Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B. Ed. College and flush out the Illegal occupier from the college campus, (Letter dated: 29.07.2024, see Annexure- 08) 9- On dated 10.09.2023, respected Deputy Commissioner cum Magistrate , Bokaro Appointed Mrs. Satya Bala Sinha resident Magistrate Bokaro to give possession to the newly elected all members and office Bearers of Management Committee of Swami Sahajanand Saraswati Sewa Samaj Jharkhand and flush out the Illegal occupiers from the campus

of Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B. Ed. College, Sector- 8/B, Bokaro Steel City, Bokaro, Jharkhand. (Memo: 41, Dated: 22.01.2025, See Annexure-09) 10- All the necessary documents of Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B. Ed. College, Sector- 8/B, Bokaro Steel City, Bokaro, Jharkhand Was Made Disappear by the office Bearer of Previous Illegal Management Committee of Swami Sahajanand Saraswati Sewa Samaj, Bokaro. Information Regarding Disappear of records was given to near By Police Station, Sector-4 Thana Bokaro on dated 26.03.2025. (Fir Dated :26.03.2025, Annexure-10) 11- Campus of Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B. Ed.-College, Sector- 8/B, Bokaro Steel City, Jharkhand Was Handed Over to Susma Devi (President), Mr. Ramakant Sharma (Secretary) & Team by the Executive Magistrate of Bokaro on dated 03.03.2025 for the smooth running of Educational Activities in College, (Letter dated 03.03.2025, see Annexure-11) 12- Respected Magistrate cum Executive Magistrate, Chas, Bokaro issued a letter to the Manager of Distt. Leading Bank, Bokaro, that Mr. Ramakant Sharma (Secretary) of newly organized Committee is Authorized to operate the Bank Account by the Letter No. Memo- 07/kary/D.-01 Dated 17.03.2025. (Memo : 07, Dated: 17.03.2025, See Annexure-12) Prayer: Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B, Ed. College, Sector-8/B, Bokaro Steel City, Bokaro (Jharkhand) could not submit the performance appraisal report (PAR) Within the deadline of 31.12.2024, Due to Management Dispute of Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B.Ed. College, Sector-8/B, Bokaro Steel City, Bokaro Jharkhand . We got/received the Letter : NCTE/2025/ERC/ERCAPP1185/70438 dated 29.03.2025 of Show Cause Notice received on 11.04.2025 and correspondent to NCTE on 13/04/2025 by e-mail & hard copy sent by speed post on dated 21.04.2025 (Evidence attached on Annexure-13) But, NCTE did not accept the letter and passed on Withdrawal Order No. [File No. NCTE/2025/ERC/PAR/Order/ERCAPP1185/70684-70690, dated 15.05.2025] to withdrawal the recognition of the B.Ed. course. By seeing the above-mentioned facts Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B.Ed. College, Sector-8/B, Bokaro Steel City, Bokaro Jharkhand urges to NCTE to rethink over this matter as it was our first offence So, forgive the mistake in favour of Tribal students and Society. We are very much ashmed for this untoward situation but assure you that all the Norms & Regulations as amended by time to time Will Be followed by the college in near Future. Atleast we again urge you to rethink over this matter and restore the Recognition / Permission of B.Ed. Course from Session 2025-2026. We Will Be thankful to you for your kindness. Sir, kindly accept my prayer.”

In the appeal report (**APPLERC202515044**), it is submitted that “While filling the PAR for session 2021-22, the last date came and I could not get it, for the next session 2022-23, due to the server being busy. please give us time to fill the PAR of session 2022-23.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 02.05.2017. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by ERC vide order dt. 14.05.2025 on the grounds that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. The Appeal Committee noted that this is the case of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As per the public notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025, 13th Meeting, 2025 & Emergent meeting, 2026 held on 03.07.2025, 24.09.2025 & 07.01.2026 respectively. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon’ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon’ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The Appeal Committee also noted that the appellant institution filed two appeals. The appellant vide Appeal (**APPLERC202515208**) submitted that appellant

institution, namely Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B.Ed. College, Sector-8/B, Bokaro Steel City, Bokaro (Jharkhand), run by Swami Sahajanand Saraswati Sewa Samaj, Bokaro, recognized by NCTE vide order dated 18.01.2013 and affiliated earlier to Vinoba Bhave University, Hazaribagh and presently to Binod Bihari Mahto Koylanchal University, Dhanbad. The appeal has been preferred against the withdrawal of recognition by ERC vide order dated 15.05.2025 on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic session 2022–2023. The appellant submitted that the PAR could not be submitted within the stipulated time due to a prolonged management dispute within the sponsoring society since 2017, involving formation of an alleged parallel/bogus trust, administrative restrictions on entry of the lawful management, and multiple proceedings before the Hon'ble High Court of Jharkhand. It was submitted that pursuant to directions of the Hon'ble High Court and the District Administration, the matter was resolved, and a new management committee was constituted on 10.09.2023 under the supervision of the Deputy Commissioner, Bokaro. The appellant further submitted that possession of the institution was restored to the newly elected management on 03.03.2025, and administrative control, including operation of bank accounts, was regularized thereafter. It was also submitted that institutional records had been removed/made unavailable by the previous management, for which a complaint was lodged with the local police on 26.03.2025. The appellant submitted that the Show Cause Notice dated 29.03.2025 was received on 11.04.2025, and a reply was submitted through email and speed post. However, the same was not considered, and the withdrawal order was issued. The appellant has requested restoration of recognition, stating that the default occurred due to circumstances beyond its control arising from the management dispute and subsequent administrative transition. In the 2nd Appeal **(APPLERC202515044)** the appellant submitted that the appeal has been preferred against action taken on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the relevant academic sessions. The appellant institution submitted that while attempting to submit the PAR for the academic session 2021–2022, the deadline elapsed before completion of the process. It was further submitted that for the academic session 2022–2023, the PAR could not be submitted due to issues relating to server congestion/busy portal at the relevant time. The appellant has requested additional time to submit the pending PAR for the academic session 2022–2023. Noting that the Appellant Institution has filed two different appeals against the

same impugned withdrawal order no. File No. NCTE/2025/ERC/PAR/ORDER/ERCAPP1185/70684-70690 dated 14.05.2025. It has filed First, appeal no. APPLERC202515044 filed on 15.05.2025 by **Smt. Krishna Roy, Managing Trustee** which was placed in the 8th Appeal Committee meeting held on 03.07.2025 and subsequently the appellant institution has filed another appeal no. APPLERC202515208 filed on 04.07.2025 by **Mr. Rama Kant Sharma, Secretary** and it is placed in 9th Appeal Committee meeting held on 26.07.2025. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the matter on merits, decided to direct the appellant institution to furnish the following clarifications/documents along with a duly notarized affidavit:

- (i) The appellant institution is required to submit an Affidavit representing/clarifying as to why it has filed two different appeals against the same impugned withdrawal order no. File No. NCTE/2025/ERC/PAR/ORDER/ERCAPP1185/70684-70690 dated 14.05.2025. It has filed First, appeal no. APPLERC202515044 filed on 15.05.2025 by **Smt. Krishna Roy, Managing Trustee** which was placed in the 8th Appeal Committee meeting held on 03.07.2025 and subsequently the appellant institution has filed another appeal no. APPLERC202515208 filed on 04.07.2025 by **Mr. Rama Kant Sharma, Secretary** and it is placed in 9th Appeal Committee meeting held on 26.07.2025.

Simultaneously, the Committee also decided to ask the Regional Director, ERC, to submit the following information so as to ensure that the Committee's decision is based on authenticated records, failing which the case shall be considered without ERC's response:

- (i) The RD, ERC is required to submit its status report regarding authorized Management of the institution as the appellant institution filed two different appeals against the same impugned withdrawal order no. File No. NCTE/2025/ERC/PAR/ORDER/ERCAPP1185/70684-70690 dated 14.05.2025. It has filed First, appeal no. APPLERC202515044 filed on 15.05.2025 by **Smt. Krishna Roy, Managing Trustee** which was placed in the 8th Appeal Committee meeting held on 03.07.2025 and subsequently the appellant institution has filed another appeal no. APPLERC202515208 filed on 04.07.2025 by **Mr. Rama Kant Sharma, Secretary** and it is placed in 9th Appeal Committee meeting held on 26.07.2025.

The Appellant Institution vide letter dated 08.10.2025 informed as under: -

"1. This is in reference to your communication regarding the appeal filed against the withdrawal of recognition of Swami Sahajanand

Saraswati B.Ed. College on the ground of non-filing of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR).

2. We would like to inform you that the institution has filed Writ Petition (Civil) No. 11633 of 2025 along with CM Application No. 47595 of 2025 titled Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B.Ed. College vs. National Council for Teacher Education & Ors., before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi challenging the withdrawal order issued by NCTE.

3. The matter was heard on 05.08.2025 by the Hon'ble Mr. Justice Vikas Mahajan, and after hearing the submissions, the Hon'ble Court was pleased to stay the operation of the withdrawal order issued by the NCTE. The Court also permitted the Petitioner Institution to participate in the counselling and admit students for the academic session 2025-2026.

4. The operative portion of the Hon'ble Court's order is contained in Paragraph 13, which reads as under: "13. In the meanwhile, having regard to the submissions noted hereinabove, which prima facie appear to have substance, and further regard being had to the fact that in similar matters stay has been granted while issuing notice, the operation of the impugned withdrawal orders/decisions is stayed till the next date of hearing and the petitioners are permitted to participate in the counselling and admit students in the academic session 2025-26."

5. Furthermore, the Hon'ble Court, at Paragraph 14, has directed the NCTE to issue a public notice and upload on its website the list of institutes whose withdrawal orders have been stayed, so that all concerned authorities (State, affiliating universities, and counselling authorities) are duly informed. The relevant direction reads as follows: "14. It is further directed that respondent/NCTE will issue a necessary public notice and upload the list of institutes on its website thereby intimating all concerned, including State authority, affiliating authorities and counselling authorities that operation of the impugned withdrawal order/decisions in respect of such listed institutes have been stayed by this Court and the have been permitted to participate in the counselling and admit the students for the academic session 2025-2026..."

The appellant institution letter dated 08.10.2025 also submitted as under:

- (i) This is in reference to your communication regarding the appeal filed against the withdrawal of recognition of Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B.Ed. College on the ground of non-filing of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR).
- (ii) We would like to inform you that the institution has filed Writ Petition (Civil) No. 11633 of 2025 along with CM Application No. 47595 of 2025 titled Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B.Ed. College vs. National Council for Teacher Education & Ors., before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi challenging the withdrawal order issued by NCTE.
- (iii) The matter was heard on 05.08.2025 by the Hon'ble Mr. Justice Vikas Mahajan, and after hearing the submissions, the Hon'ble Court was pleased to stay the operation of the withdrawal order issued by NCTE.

The Court also permitted the Petitioner Institution to participate in the counselling and admit students for the academic session 2025-2026.

- (iv) The operative portion of the Hon'ble Court's order is contained in Paragraph 13, which reads as under:

"13. In the meanwhile, having regard to the submissions noted hereinabove, which prima facie appear to have substance, and further regard being had to the fact that in similar matters stay has been granted while issuing notice, the operation of the impugned withdrawal orders/decisions is stayed till the next date of hearing and the petitioners are permitted to participate in the counselling and admit students in the academic session 2025-26."

The Committee noted that the required information sought from the appellant institution and concerned Regional Committee has not been received so far.

The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, the submissions and documents placed on record by the appellant institution, and the applicable provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended). The Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

"3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements stipulated under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. As per the submissions made by the appellant institution, it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal. The Committee further noted the submission of the appellant institution that it could not submit the PAR for the

Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal and subsequent to withdrawal of recognition by the concerned Regional Committee, the institution had filed a court case and that the matter is presently sub judice. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the

Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 14.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Eastern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Eastern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory

authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law. **The management dispute, being beyond the scope of the present appellate proceedings, may be agitated before the competent forum in accordance with law.**

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 14.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Eastern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Eastern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. **The management dispute, being beyond the scope of the present appellate proceedings, may be agitated before the competent forum in accordance with law. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.**

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. Swami Sahajanand Saraswati B.Ed. College, 972 to 978, 1011-12, 1020 to 1054, Sector 9, Bokaro Steel City, Chas Bokaro, Jharkhand-827009.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher and Technical Education, Nepal house, Yojna Bhawan, 3rd Floor, Jharkhand.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-201/E-368744/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026

APPLNRC202515119/E-89966

Babu Rameshwar Dayal Mahavidyalaya, 1161 KH, 1034, 1153, 1160 GA, Khanpur Grant, Lakhipur to Maigalganj Road, Mitauli, Lakhimpur Kheri, Uttar Pradesh-261505	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Babu Rameshwar Dayal Mahavidyalaya, 1161 KH, 1034, 1153, 1160 GA, Khanpur Grant, Lakhimpur to Maigalganj Road, Mitauli, Lakhimpur Kheri, Uttar Pradesh-261505** dated 08.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / NCR / PAR / ORDER / UP-3415 /** dated 20.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one appeared from Babu Rameshwar Dayal Mahavidyalaya, 1161 KH, 1034, 1153, 1160 GA, Khanpur Grant, Lakhimpur to Maigalganj Road, Mitauli, Lakhimpur Kheri, Uttar Pradesh-261505 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "(i) From the impugned withdrawal order dated 20.05.2025, it appears that the Public Notice dated 09.09.2024 was prepared by the Regional Committee but the same was not published in any duly recognized News Papers. Similarly, the reminder notices also do not appear to have been published in any duly recognized News Papers. (ii) Neither the copy of the Public Notice dated 09.09.2024 nor its reminders were sent to the appellant through E-Mail or by Post. (iii) The Regional Committee sent a Show Cause Notice dated 04.04.2025 to the appellant through E-Mail on 04.04.2025 itself for the first time and later Show Cause Notice dated 4/9 April, 2025 by post. Before this E-Mail or Post, no communication was made by the Regional Committee with the appellant seeking PAR for Academic Session 2021-22 and 2022-23 of B.Ed. Course. (iv) As soon as Show Cause Notice dated 04.04.2025 was received through E-Mail on 04.04.2025. The appellant immediately sent through E-Mail its reply which was received by the Regional Committee on 07.04.2025 at 11:13 am. In the reply, it was specifically stated that during the period / time of submitting the PAR Report, the Online server was down and not open for submission of report and hence the appellant could not submit the PAR Report within time. It was further requested that the Regional Committee may be kind enough to open the Portal for once so that the PAR report of the appellant could be submitted. (v) No response to the E-Mail reply dated 07.04.2025

of the appellant was given and without considering the submission of the appellant, the impugned withdrawal order dated 20.05.2025 has been passed. (vi) The impugned withdrawal order dated 20.04.2025 has been passed without granting adequate opportunity of submitting PAR Report and as such the impugned order is not sustainable in the eyes of law. (vii) Except Show Cause Notice dated 4/9 April, 2025, no other demand notice requiring the PAR Report from the appellant for Academic Session 2021-22 and 2022-23 was ever demanded and when the Show Cause was issued, the server was not open and as such the PAR Report of the appellant could not be submitted to the Regional Committee. (viii) Non submission of PAR Report in question was not any willing Act of the appellant and that the appellant could not submit the PAR Report because the Portal of the Regional Committee, on which the PAR Report had to be submitted Online only, was closed at the time of service of the Show Cause Notice dated 4/9 April, 2025. (ix) As soon as the Show Cause Notice dated 04.04.2025 was sent to the appellant through E-Mail, the PARs for Academic Session 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 were prepared and by means of E-Mail reply dated 07.04.2025, time was sought from the Regional Committee for submitting the said PAR Reports, but instead of granting time, the Regional Committee passed the impugned order dated 20.05.2025 in a mechanical manner. (x) The Regional Committee has passed the impugned Withdrawal Order without affording the proper opportunity of submitting PAR Report of the Academic Session 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 and as such it is incumbent that the said impugned order should be withdrawn and adequate opportunity of submitting the PAR reports may be granted to the appellant. (xi) Act of the Regional Committee is not just and proper and as such not sustainable in law. (xii) The Regional Committee has not considered the case of the appellant especially in view of the E-Mail reply dated 07.04.2025 and has passed the impugned order in mechanical manner by withdrawing the permission of not only the appellant but hundreds of other such institutions running which are the B.Ed. Course on the recognition of NCTE. (xiii) The impugned Withdrawal order appears to be a printed proforma in which only details of different institution have been typed and as such this kind of proforma order cannot be justified in the eyes of law. (xiv) Since the Act of the Regional Committee is arbitrary, mechanical, unwarranted and unjust as well as without giving adequate opportunity to the appellant for submitting PAR Reports as provided under Section-17 (1) of NCTE Act, 1993. (xv) The appellant has already prepared the detail PAR Reports of the Academic Session 2021-2022 and

2022-2023 mentioning all the information sought in the PAR Proforma as available on the site of the NCTE and if the appellant is given shortest opportunity, it can upload the said information on the Portal of the Regional Committee/NCTE. (xvi) The appellant fulfills / completes all the formalities for running the B.Ed. Course and as such there is no occasion for passing the impugned withdrawal order. (xvii) The impugned withdrawal order has been passed in violation of the provision of Section-17 (1) of the NCTE Act as it provides that the Regional Committee would have to give reasonable opportunity to the institution of making representation against the proposed order but in the present case no such reasonable opportunity has been given to the appellant before passing the impugned withdrawal order dated 20.05.2025. The appellant was given only Show Cause Notice dated 4/9 April, 2025 to which he had already replied on 07.04.2025. No copy of proposed order was given to the appellant thereafter and as such the appellant could not have any opportunity of making its representation against the proposed order. All of sudden the impugned withdrawal order dated 20.05.2025 has been issued against the appellant. (xviii) As Entrance Examination for admission in current B.Ed. Session is already done on 1st June, 2025 and the counseling process has to begin shortly, there is urgency in the matter that the instant appeal may be considered and decided forthwith. (xix) The appellant has invested huge money for construction of building, engaging teachers and other non-teaching staff and the said expenses have to continue further and therefore, if immediate interference is not made, the appellant would suffer irreparable loss. (xx) Under the above facts, circumstances and grounds, the impugned withdrawal order dated 20.05.2025 is not sustainable in the eyes of law and hence is liable to be set aside”.

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 20.05.2025. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by NRC vide order dt. 20.05.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the

institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable. The Appeal Committee noted that this is the case of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As per the public notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held on 03.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee wherein the Committee decided that the Regional Director as well as the appellant institution shall apprise the Appeal Committee about the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court in respect of the pending case filed by the institution. In view of the pendency of judicial proceedings, the Committee resolved to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal has been preferred against the withdrawal order dated 20.05.2025 issued by the Regional Committee on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The appellant institution submitted that the Public Notice dated 09.09.2024 and subsequent reminders, as referred to in the impugned order, were neither published in recognized newspapers nor communicated to the institution through email or post. It was further submitted that no prior communication or demand notice seeking submission of PAR was received before issuance of the Show Cause Notice dated 04.04.2025. The appellant submitted that the Show Cause Notice dated 04.04.2025 was received through email on the same date and subsequently by post, and that the institution responded promptly through email on 07.04.2025, explaining that the PAR could not be submitted within the stipulated time due to non-availability/closure of the online portal. It was further submitted that the institution requested reopening of the portal to enable submission of the PAR for the relevant academic sessions. The appellant submitted that no response was received to its reply dated 07.04.2025 and that the impugned withdrawal order dated 20.05.2025 was passed without considering the said reply and without granting further opportunity to submit the PAR. It was contended that

non-submission of PAR was not deliberate but occurred due to technical constraints relating to the portal. The appellant further submitted that the PAR for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023 has since been prepared and can be submitted if an opportunity is granted. It was also submitted that the institution fulfills the infrastructural and academic requirements for running the B.Ed. programme. The appellant contended that the impugned withdrawal order has been passed without affording adequate and reasonable opportunity as envisaged under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993, and without proper consideration of its submissions. It was further submitted that the order is arbitrary, mechanical in nature, and passed without due application of mind. The appellant also submitted that the matter is urgent in view of the ongoing admission process for the current B.Ed. session and that the institution would suffer irreparable loss if relief is not granted. Accordingly, the appellant has requested that the impugned withdrawal order be set aside and an opportunity be granted to submit the PAR.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements stipulated under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. As per the submissions made by the appellant institution, it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

on account of the reasons stated in the appeal. The Committee further noted the submission of the appellant institution that it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal and subsequent to withdrawal of recognition by the concerned Regional Committee, the institution had filed a court case and that the matter is presently sub judice. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v.*

NCTE [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 20.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure)

Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Northern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 20.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Northern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

- 1. Babu Rameshwar Dayal Mahavidyalaya, 1161 KH, 1034, 1153, 1160 GA, Khanpur Grant, Lakhipur to Maigaljanj Road, Mitauli, Lakhimpur Kheri, Uttar Pradesh-261505.**

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher and Technical Education, Nepal house, Yojna Bhawan, 3rd Floor, Jharkhand.



एन सी टी ई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एन सी टी ई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-225/E-369755/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLWRC202515145/E-89966

Shriram Shiksha Mahavidyalya, Plot No. 35/11, 35/1, 35/1 PART 24, Thakurtola, Somni, Rajnandgaon, Chattisgarh-491441 APPELLANT	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075 RESPONDENT
---	------------------	--

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Shriram Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Plot No. 35/11, 35/1, 35/1 PART 24, Thakurtola, Somni, Rajnandgaon, Chattisgarh-491441** dated 16.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / ORDER / APP2094 /** dated 15.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Shriram Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Plot No. 35/11, 35/1, 35/1 PART 24, Thakurtola, Somni, Rajnandgaon, Chattisgarh-491441** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Respected sir, the initially we were not aware to fill up the PAR for D.El.Ed. course, latter on when we came to know that PAR is required for D.El.Ed. course also, the server became very slow to fill the PAR form and over the time of PAR site has been closed. sir, we are willing to follow the NCTE guideline and rules to fill up the par form. kindly allow us one opportunity by opening the par portal with suitable extended time to fill the desired information of D.El.Ed. course with regards Narendra Gautam Director."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 13.02.2014. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by WRC vide order dt. 15.05.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable. The Appeal Committee noted that this is the case of non-submission of Performance

Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As per the public notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held on 03.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The appellant institution did not appear online to present its case before Appellate Authority on 03.07.2025. The Committee decided that the Regional Director as well as the appellant institution shall apprise the Appeal Committee about the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court in respect of the pending case filed by the institution. In view of the pendency of judicial proceedings, the Committee resolved to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, namely Shriram Shiksha Mahavidyalaya (WRCAPP/2094), Plot No. 35/11, 35/1, 35/1 part 24, Thakurtola, Somni, Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh-491441, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of action taken on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the D.El.Ed. programme. The appellant institution submitted that it was initially not aware of the requirement of submission of PAR for the D.El.Ed. course. It was further submitted that upon becoming aware of the requirement, the institution attempted to submit the PAR; however, due to slow functioning of the server and technical issues, the process could not be completed within time, and subsequently the PAR portal was closed. The appellant institution submitted that the non-submission of PAR was not intentional and has expressed willingness to comply with the NCTE Act, Rules and Regulations. The institution has requested that an opportunity be granted by reopening the PAR portal with extended time to enable submission of the requisite information for the D.El.Ed. course. Further, the appellant institution submitted, vide email dated 15.01.2026, that the matter is presently sub judice before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 8597/2025 along with CM Application No. 37075/2025.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements stipulated under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. As per the submissions made by the appellant institution, it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal. The Committee further noted the submission of the appellant institution that it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal and subsequent to withdrawal of recognition by the concerned Regional Committee, the institution had filed a court case and that the matter is presently sub judice. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the

Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 15.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Western Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Western Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary

evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 15.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Western Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **Shriram Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Plot No. 35/11, 35/1, 35/1 PART 24, Thakurtola, Somni, Rajnandgaon, Chattisgarh-491441.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Chhattisgarh, First floor, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh, 492002.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-157/E-366523/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026

APPLSRC202515057/E-89966

Dr. C.S.N. College of Education, Plot No.13-8-6, 147, Bhimavaram, Street No.-1, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh-534201	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. P. Venu Gopal, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Dr. C.S.N. College of Education, Plot No.13-8-6, 147, Bhimavaram, Street No.-1, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh-534201** dated 23.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / SRC / PAR / ORDER / SRCAPP3374 /** dated 19.05.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. P. Venu Gopal, Principal of Dr. C.S.N. College of Education, Plot No.13-8-6, 147, Bhimavaram, Street No.-1, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh-534201 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "1. We sincerely regret the inadvertent delay in the submission of the PAR for the academic session 2021–22. The delay occurred because the affiliation orders were still under process during that year, following a change in the government of Andhra Pradesh. We would also like to inform you that no admissions were made in the academic year 2022–23. 2. Affiliation Uncertainty: Due to not having received affiliation for the academic year 2021–22, we were unsure whether we were eligible to submit the PAR. This confusion led to the non-submission of the PAR for that year."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 01.06.2018. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by SRC vide order dt. 19.05.2025 on the ground that the institution has not submitted

any reply to the show cause notice. The Appeal Committee noted that this is the case of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As per the public notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held on 03.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee wherein the Committee decided that the Regional Director as well as the appellant institution shall apprise the Appeal Committee about the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court in respect of the pending case filed by the institution. In view of the pendency of judicial proceedings, the Committee resolved to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of action taken on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic session 2021-2022. The appellant institution submitted that there was an inadvertent delay in submission of the PAR for the academic session 2021-2022 on account of the affiliation orders being under process during that period, following a change in the Government of Andhra Pradesh. It was further submitted that due to non-receipt of affiliation for the said academic session, the institution was uncertain regarding its eligibility to submit the PAR, which resulted in non-submission. The appellant institution also submitted that no admissions were made during the academic year 2022-2023.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The

Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is ‘Active’ or ‘under judicial stay’.

- **The Council notes that the status of ‘Withdrawn’ recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands ‘Withdrawn’ on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its**

operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements stipulated under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. As per the submissions made by the appellant institution, it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal. The Committee further noted the submission of the appellant institution that it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal and subsequent to withdrawal of recognition by the concerned Regional Committee, the institution had filed a court case and that the matter is presently sub judice. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting

documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 19.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the

provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Southern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 19.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Southern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **Dr. C.S.N. College of Education, Plot No.13-8-6, 147, Bhimavaram, Street No.-1, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh-534201.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.

4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Andhra Pradesh, J Block, 3rd Floor, Room No. 312, Andhra Pradesh Secretariat, Hyderabad-500022.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-245/E-370958/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026

APPLWRC202515130/E-89966

Rameshwar Junior College of Education, 36/3, Sindkhed Raja, Mehakar Road, Buldhana, Maharashtra-443203 APPELLANT	Vs	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075 RESPONDENT
--	-----------	--

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Rameshwar Junior College of Education, 36/3, Sindkhed Raja, Mehakar Road, Buldhana, Maharashtra-443203** dated 11.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / ORDER / APW03176 / 112032 / 3274 - 3280** dated 24.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one appeared from Rameshwar Junior College of Education, 36/3, Sindkhed Raja, Mehakar Road, Buldhana, Maharashtra-443203 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "You give time to us, we complete PAR submission within time, we are ready to fulfill PAR fees with penalty."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 20.08.2008. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by WRC vide order dt. 24.05.2025 on the grounds that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, namely Rameshwar Junior College of

Education, 36/3 Sindkhed Raja, District Buldhana, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of action taken on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timeframe. The appellant institution submitted that it is willing to submit the pending PAR if granted an opportunity and has expressed readiness to deposit the requisite PAR fee along with applicable penalty for delayed submission. Further, the appellant institution submitted, vide email dated 16.01.2026, that the matter relating to non-submission of PAR is presently sub judice and is under judicial stay before the Hon'ble Court, and a copy of the relevant court order has been furnished.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

"3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***

- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements stipulated under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. As per the submissions made by the appellant institution, it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal. The Committee further noted the submission of the appellant institution that it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal and subsequent to withdrawal of recognition by the concerned Regional Committee, the institution had filed a court case and that the matter is presently sub judice. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body

of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that “For TEIs whose recognition currently stands ‘Withdrawn’ on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon’ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee).” The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 24.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Western Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Western Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter

strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 24.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Western Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

- 1. Rameshwar Junior College of Education, 36/3, Sindkhed Raja, Mehakar Road, Buldhana, Maharashtra-443203.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
- 3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**

4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Elphiston Technical School premises, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Dhobi Talao, Chhatrapati Shivaji Terminus Area, Fort, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-266/E-369505/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLSRC202515071/E-89966

St. Anns TTI, 846, Rosario Church Road, Mangalore Head Post Office, Mangalore, Karnataka-575001 APPELLANT	Vs	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075 RESPONDENT
---	-----------	--

Representative of Appellant	Ms. Anita Jasmine Dcruz, In-charge Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **St. Anns TTI, 846, Rosario Church Road, Mangalore Head Post Office, Mangalore, Karnataka-575001** dated 27.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / SRC / PAR / ORDER / APSO2439** dated 21.05.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Ms. Anita Jasmine Dcruz, In-charge Principal of St. Anns TTI, 846, Rosario Church Road, Mangalore Head Post Office, Mangalore, Karnataka-575001 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "We have successfully submitted PAR along with an amount of Rs. 5900/- for each year 2021-2022 & 2022-2023 on 4th & 8th November 2024. To this effect we have received acknowledgement from your office on November 8th, 2024, and December 12th, 2024, respectively. On 11-04-2025 we received the show cause notice from your office regarding non submission of PAR for the year 2021-2022. We had responded to the same on 12th April 2025 by email instead of NCTE web portal. We regret for having made this mistake by oversight. We are in receipt of your letter (withdrawal order) through email dated 21.05.2025. We would appreciate it if you give an opportunity to resend the feedback through your website. Kindly send us the link to do so. We are sorry for the inconvenience caused. St. Ann's T.T.I is a long-standing institution established in the year 1890 and is well known on the west coast of India. We have been working very sincerely submitting all the reports and information to NCTE whenever it was required from us. I am sure you wouldn't want to close such an institution which is still doing well and attracting students from far and near."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional

Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 13.12.2004. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by SRC vide order dt. 21.05.2025 on the grounds that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, namely St. Ann's T.T.I., wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of the withdrawal of recognition vide order dated 21.05.2025 on account of alleged non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The appellant institution submitted that it had already submitted the PAR for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023 along with the requisite fee of Rs. 5900/- for each year on 04.11.2024 and 08.11.2024, respectively, and had received acknowledgements dated 08.11.2024 and 12.12.2024. It was further submitted that upon receipt of the Show Cause Notice dated 11.04.2025 regarding non-submission of PAR, the institution responded on 12.04.2025 through email instead of the NCTE portal, which was stated to be an inadvertent error. The institution requested that an opportunity be granted to resubmit the response through the prescribed portal. Subsequently, the appellant institution submitted, vide email dated 20.02.2026, that it has filed a writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka at Bangalore in W.P. No. 19580/2025 challenging the withdrawal order, wherein interim relief has been granted. It was further submitted that, as the matter is sub judice before the Hon'ble High Court, the institution does not wish to pursue the present appeal simultaneously and has accordingly sought withdrawal of the appeal, with liberty to pursue appropriate remedies subject to the outcome of the writ petition.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements stipulated under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. As per the submissions made by the appellant institution, it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal. The Committee further noted the submission of the appellant institution that it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal and subsequent to withdrawal of recognition by the concerned Regional Committee, the institution had filed a court case and that the matter is presently sub judice. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the

Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 21.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Southern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary

evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 21.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Southern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **St. Anns TTI, 846, Rosario Church Road, Mangalore Head Post Office, Mangalore, Karnataka-575001.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Secretary Establishment, Higher Education Dept., Room No. 645 A, 2nd Gate, 6th Floor, M.S.Building, Bengaluru, Karnataka-1.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-294/E-373408/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLSRC202515240 / E-89966

St. Anns TTI, 846, Rosario Church Road, Mangalore Head Post Office, Mangalore, Karnataka-575001	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Ms. Anita Jasmine Dcruz, Incharge Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **St. Anns TTI, 846, Rosario Church Road, Mangalore Head Post Office, Mangalore, Karnataka-575001** dated 15.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / SRC / PAR / ORDER / APS07639 / 149494** dated 30.05.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Ms. Anita Jasmine Dcruz, In charge Principal of St. Anns TTI, 846, Rosario Church Road, Mangalore Head Post Office, Mangalore, Karnataka-575001 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "We have successfully submitted PAR along with an amount of Rs 5900 for each year 2021-2022 & 2022-2023 on 4th & 8th November 2024. To this effect we have received acknowledgement from your office on November 8th, 2024 and December 12th, 2024, respectively. The copies of the acknowledgement letters from your office are attached herewith. We received show cause notice File no: NCTE/2025/SRC/PAR/APS07639/147721 dated 04-04-2025 which we received on 28-04-2025 by post saying we have not submitted PAR for the academic session 2021-22 of D.El.Ed. course. Last date for the submission of reply to the show cause notice through feedback portal was 20-04-2025. Hence, we couldn't reply. We are in receipt of your withdrawal order File no: NCTE/2025/SRC/PAR/APS07639/149494 dated 30.05.2025/23.06.2025 which we received on 01.07.2025 by post. St. Ann's T.T.I is a long standing institution established in the year 1890 and is well known on the west coast of India. We have been working very sincerely submitting all the reports and information to NCTE whenever it was required from us. I am sure you wouldn't want to close such an institution which is still doing well and attracting students from far and near."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional

Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 19.11.2007. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by SRC vide order dt. 30.05.2025 on the ground that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, namely St. Ann's T.T.I., wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of the withdrawal of recognition vide order dated 30.05.2025/23.06.2025 on account of alleged non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic session 2021–2022 in respect of the D.El.Ed. programme. The appellant institution submitted that it had submitted the PAR for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023 along with the requisite fee of Rs. 5900/- for each year on 04.11.2024 and 08.11.2024, respectively, and had received acknowledgements dated 08.11.2024 and 12.12.2024 from the competent authority. It was further submitted that the Show Cause Notice dated 04.04.2025 was received by the institution on 28.04.2025 through post, whereas the last date for submission of reply through the feedback portal was 20.04.2025, and therefore the institution could not submit its response within the prescribed time. The appellant stated that the withdrawal order was subsequently received on 01.07.2025. Thereafter, the appellant institution submitted, vide email dated 20.02.2026, that it has filed a writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka at Bangalore in W.P. No. 19580/2025 challenging the withdrawal order, wherein interim orders have been granted. It was further submitted that, as the matter is sub judice before the Hon'ble High Court, the institution has sought withdrawal of the present appeal to avoid parallel proceedings, with liberty to pursue remedies subject to the outcome of the writ petition.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements stipulated under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. As per the submissions made by the appellant institution, it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal. The Committee further noted the submission of the appellant institution that it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal and subsequent to withdrawal of recognition by the concerned Regional Committee, the institution had filed a court case and that the matter is presently sub judice. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the

Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 30.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Southern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary

evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 30.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Southern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. St. Anns TTI, 846, Rosario Church Road, Mangalore Head Post Office, Mangalore, Karnataka-575001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Secretary Establishment, Higher Education Dept., Room No. 645 A, 2nd Gate, 6th Floor, M.S.Building, Bengaluru, Karnataka-1.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-267/E-370992/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLWRC202515120 / E-89966

Pradnya Bahuuddeshiya Mahila Sanstha, 64, Near Bus Stand, Shegaon, Alsana Road, Buldhana, Maharashtra-444203 APPELLANT	Vs	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075 RESPONDENT
Representative of Appellant	No one appeared	
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC	
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026	
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026	

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Pradnya Bahuuddeshiya Mahila Sanstha, 64, Near Bus Stand, Shegaon, Alsana Road, Buldhana, Maharashtra-444203** dated 08.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE/2025/WRC/PAR/ORDER/122580/2293-2297** dated 14.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one appeared from Pradnya Bahuuddeshiya Mahila Sanstha, 64, Near Bus Stand, Shegaon, Alsana Road, Buldhana, Maharashtra-444203 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "You give time to us we complete PAR submission within time. We are ready to fulfill PAR fees with penalty."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 27.09.2006. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by WRC vide order dt. 14.05.2025 on the ground that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, wherein it has submitted that the present

appeal arises out of action taken on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timeframe. The appellant institution submitted that it is willing to complete the submission of the pending PAR, if granted an opportunity, and has expressed readiness to deposit the requisite PAR fee along with applicable penalty for delayed submission. Further, the appellant institution submitted, vide email dated 20.02.2026, that the matter is presently sub judice before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 10688/2025 along with CM Application No. 44172/2025.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**

- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements stipulated under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. As per the submissions made by the appellant institution, it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal. The Committee further noted the submission of the appellant institution that it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal and subsequent to withdrawal of recognition by the concerned Regional Committee, the institution had filed a court case and that the matter is presently sub

judice. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 14.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Western Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Western Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall

undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 14.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Western Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. Pradnya Bahuuddeshiya Mahila Sanstha, 64, Near Bus Stand, Shegaon, Alsana Road, Buldhana, Maharashtra-444203.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.

4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Elphiston Technical School premises, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Dhobi Talao, Chhatrapati Shivaji Terminus Area, Fort, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400001.



एन सी टी ई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एन सी टी ई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-309/E-374246/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLERC202515206/E-89966

Dr. Sarvepolli Radhakrishnan Teachers Training and Research Institute, Patta No. 143, Dag No. 1217, Chamata (Rupiabathan), Nalbari Palla Road (Panchayat Road), Dharmapur (Tihu) Nalbari, Assam-781306	<u>Vs</u>	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, ERC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Dr. Sarvepolli Radhakrishnan Teachers Training and Research Institute, Patta No. 143, Dag No. 1217, Chamata (Rupiabathan), Nalbari Palla Road (Panchayat Road), Dharmapur (Tihu) Nalbari, Assam-781306** dated 23.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE/2025/ERC/PAR/ORDER/ERCAPP882/(70759-70765)** dated 14.05.2025 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one appeared from Dr. Sarvepolli Radhakrishnan Teachers Training and Research Institute, Patta No. 143, Dag No. 1217, Chamata (Rupiabathan), Nalbari Palla Road (Panchayat Road), Dharmapur (Tihu) Nalbari, Assam-781306 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “1. That on being applied for by the appellant No.2 i.e. Project Chamata Educational Society (hereinafter called and referred to as the Sponsoring Body) for recognition/permission, the respondent vide order bearing F.No.ER-234.6.10(P-4)/ERCAPP882/B.Ed./2017/52589 dated 27.04.2017, has duly granted recognition to the Appellant No.1 Institute, namely, Dr. Sarvepalli Radhakrishnan Teachers Training and Research Institute, for conducting (B.Ed.) With annual intake of 50 students, by following the due procedure as envisages under Sections 14(3)(a)/15(3)(a) of the NCTE Act 1993. A copy of the recognition order dated 27.04.2017 is annexed herewith and marked as ANNEXURE – 1. 2. That as per section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE has been empowered to evolve suitable Performance Appraisal system, norms and mechanism for enforcing accountability on RECOGNIZED institution. Accordingly, the NCTE in its 61st General Body Meeting held on 05.08.2024, approved of online Performance Appraisal Report (hereinafter called and referred to as PAR) Module for submission of Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-22 & 2022-23. 3. That it is to be stated herein that since the date of granting recognition, the appellants have been running the institute by following all the prescribed norms as well as the directions issued by the respondent from time to time without any default. Since the date of recognition, the student of the appellant institute has been maintaining outstanding performance. A copy of the compilation of result sheet of the appellant institute is annexed herewith and marked as ANNEXURE – 2. 4. That in the year of 2023, as certain differences

and misunderstanding arose in between the earlier principal of the institute and the sponsoring body i.e. The appellant No.2 regarding running of the affair of the institute, the sponsoring body i.e. The appellant No .2 approached the Gauhati high court by filling WP (C) No.1873/2023 with a prayer to intervene into the matter. A copy of the order dated 31-03-2023 passed in W.P.(C) No.1873/2023 by the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court is annexed herewith and marked as ANNEXURE – 3. 5. That in the meantime, after expiry of the terms of the earlier Governing Body of the Institute, a new Governing Body was re-constituted in accordance with the law, to run and manage the affair of the Institute. After re-constitution of the new Governing Body, the Sponsoring Body i.e., The appellant No.2 filed WP(C) No.5089/2023 before the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court, and the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court vide order dated 07.09.2023 while issuing notices to the respondents therein, was pleased to direct to maintain status quo as regard the constitution of the Governing Body. A copy of the order dated 07-09-2023 passed in W.P.(C) No.5089/2023 by the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court is annexed herewith and marked as ANNEXURE – 4. 6. That it is to be stated herein that in the meantime, the Registrar, Gauhati University issued a Certificate For Compliance of NCTE Regulation 2014 bearing No.GU/AFF/2024/8771-73 dated 03.12.2024 in favour of the appellant institute, certifying that the status of the Appellant Institute is assessed to be in conformity with the NCTE Regulation 2014 and norms and standard prescribed by the NCTE for the B.Ed. Course programme. A copy of the certificate for compliance 03.12.2024 issued by the Registrar, Gauhati University in favour of the appellant Institute is annexed herewith and marked as ANNEXURE – 5. 7. That while the aforesaid WP(C) No.6862/2024 filed by the Governing Body of the Institute to obtain the required documents was pending before the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court for consideration, the Sponsoring Body and the Governing Body resolved their dispute and misunderstanding amongst them amicably for betterment of the Institute and in view of the same, the Sponsoring Body prayed before the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court to allow them to withdraw the said WP(C) Nos.1873/2023 & 5089/2023 and consequently, the Hon'ble High Court vide common order dated 21.02.2025 dismissed both the said writ petitions as withdrawn. A copy of the Common order dated 21.02.2025 passed in WP(C) Nos.1873/2023 & 5089/2023 by the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court is annexed herewith and marked as ANNEXURE – 6. 8. That due to the aforesaid factual position and in view of operation of the aforesaid status quo order of the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court till 21.02.2025 and due to pendency of the aforesaid litigations, [WP(C) Nos.1873/2023 & 5089/2023] the appellants could not able to submit the PAR within the stipulated time i.e., within 31.12.2024 and due to which, in the meantime, the respondent issued a show cause notice bearing No.NCTE/2025/ERC/PAR/ERCAPP882/70411 dated 27.03.2025, upon the appellant, in terms of section 17 of the NCTE Act 1993, asking the appellants to show cause as to why action of withdrawal of recognition under section 17(1) of the NCTE Act should not be initiated

against the Appellant Institute on account of non-submission of PAR for the academic session of 2021-22 & 2022-23 for the B.Ed. Course, within a period of 15 days from the date of issuance of the same. A copy of the Show Cause Notice dated 27.03.2025 issued by the respondent upon the appellant institute is annexed herewith and marked as ANNEXURE – 7.

9. That it is to be stated herein that in view of the issuance of the aforesaid show cause notice by the respondent and in view of withdrawal of the aforesaid writ petitions filed by the Sponsoring Body and in view of appointment of new Principal (as per Hon'ble Gauhati high court direction vide order no WP© 1649/2025) in the Appellant Institute in terms of the aforesaid order dated 02.04.2025 passed by the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court, the GB of the Appellant Institute has prayed before the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court to allow them to withdraw the aforesaid WP(C) No.6862/2024 and consequently, the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 08.04.2025 dismissed the said writ petition on withdrawal. A copy of the order dated 08.04.2025 passed in W.P.(C) No.6862/2024 passed by the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court is annexed herewith and marked as annexure – 8.

10. That upon receipt of the said show cause notice dated 27.03.2025 issued by the respondent, the President of the GB of the Appellant Institute vide letter bearing No.DSRTT/NCTE/08/2025-787 dated 10.04.2025, duly replied to the said show cause notice dated 27.03.2025 inter alia stating the aforesaid fact and thereby, requested the respondent to grant two months' time to submit the PAR for the academic session 2021-2022 & 2022-23. In the said reply it has also been mentioned that all documents in support of the said reply will be submitted to the respondent in a couple of weeks for ascertaining the veracity of the said facts. It is also to be stated herein that the said reply has been forwarded to the respondent through online mode and the NCTE ERC has duly acknowledged the receipt of the same on 10.04.2025 at 6:41 PM. A copy of the Show Cause Reply dated 10.04.2025 along with the acknowledgment is annexed herewith and marked as ANNEXURE – 9.

11. That subsequently, in continuation of earlier show cause reply letter dated 10.04.2025, the President of the GB of the Appellant Institute vide another communication bearing No.DSRTT/NCTE/08/2025-814 dated 09.05.2025, submitted the supporting documents before the respondent. The said communication has also been forwarded to the respondent through online as well as offline (i.e., Hard copy of all the documents sent through speed post mode) have also been submitted and the respondent has also duly received the same. A copy of the communication dated 09.05.2025 and a copy of the record of delivery of the consignment (ES0225643491IN) are annexed herewith and marked as ANNEXURE – 10.

11. That while the Appellant Institute was eagerly waiting for consideration of the reply, surprisingly, the Appellant Institute received the impugned withdrawal order bearing F.No.NCTE/2025/ERC/PAR/Order/ERCAPP882 dated 14.05.2025 issued by the respondent, whereby, the appellant has been informed that in-exercise of the power under section 17 of the NCTE Act 1993, the ERC of NCTE withdraws the recognition

for the B.Ed. Course granted to the appellant Institute on the ground/reason that the appellant has not submitted the PAR for the academic session 2021-22 & 2022-23 and further informed the appellant that the appellant will not be entitled to admit student from the academic session 2025-26 and that the students admitted upto the academic session 2024-25 shall complete their programme. It is to be stated herein that in the impugned order dated 14.05.2025, it has been observed that the appellant Institute has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice, whereas, the President of the GB of the Appellant Institute had duly submitted their reply to the show cause notice dated 27.03.2025 vide letter dated 10.04.2025 and 09.05.2025, which had already been duly received and acknowledged the receipt of the same by the respondent. A copy of the impugned withdrawal of recognition order dated 14.05.2025 is annexed herewith and marked as ANNEXURE – 11. 12. That being highly aggrieved and dissatisfied with the aforesaid withdrawal of recognition order bearing No.NCTE/2025/ERC/PAR/Order/ERCAPP882/(70759-70765) dated 14.05.2025 issued by the respondent, the appellants have jointly preferred this appeal under section 18 of the NCTE Act 1993 on the following amongst other grounds. GROUND OF APPEAL I. FOR THAT the impugned order dated 14.05.2025 has been passed by the respondent without giving any opportunity of personal hearing to the appellants and without following the due process of law and as such, the same is bad in law and is liable to be set aside and quashed. II. FOR THAT the finding as arrived in the impugned order dated 14.05.2025 by the respondent that the appellant has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice dated 27.03.2025 is not a correct finding, more so in view of the fact that the President of the GB of the Appellant Institute vide letter dated 10.04.2025 & 09.05.2025 duly replied to the show cause notice dated 27.03.2025 and the respondent has duly received and acknowledged the said reply. On this ground alone, the impugned order dated 14.05.2025 is not sustainable under the law and is liable to be set aside and quashed. III. FOR THAT it is a fact that the appellant could not able to submit the PAR within the extended period of 31.12.2024, but the same has occurred due to the various factors as described in the foregoing paragraphs. Firstly, a status quo order passed by the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court in respect of reconstitution of the Governing Body of the Appellant Institute was operating since 07.09.2023 till 21.02.2025. Secondly, some relevant documents/records required for the PAR were not with the institute at that relevant point of time due to some misunderstanding, between the Sponsoring Body and Governing Body of the Appellant Institute. All those factors are beyond the control of the appellants. Therefore, Appellant Institute could not able to submit the same within 31.12.2024. IV. FOR THAT there is absolutely no laches and negligence on the part of the appellants in not submitting the PAR within 31.12.2024. The circumstances narrated herein before clearly indicate that the appellants have been prevented-by sufficient cause from not submitting the PAR within 31.12.2024 and the same has caused due to the facts and situations as narrated herein above,

which are beyond the control of the appellant. In that view of the matter, your Honour may be pleased to set aside the impugned order dated 14.05.2025 by considering the facts and circumstances narrated herein above for the greater interest of the reputed institute. V. FOR THAT if the impugned order dated 14.05.2025 is allowed to stand, there will be total failure of justice and in that event, it will cause undue hardships to the appellant Institute, in as much as, the appellant institute has a very good record. In that view of the matter, this is a fit case, where your Honour may be pleased to set aside the impugned order dated 14.05.2025 by considering the factual situations involved in the matter and by keeping in view of the wellbeing of the appellant Institute. VI. FOR THAT the appellant institute is the only institute in the locality and the appellant institute is catering to a large number of poor students, who otherwise could not able to pursue their B.Ed. Courses by paying higher fee to other institute. Further, since the date of grant of recognition, the appellant institute has been complying with all the rules and regulations as well as obeying all the directions issued by the NCTE authority without any default. The appellant institute could not able to submit the PAR for the academic year 2021-22 & 2022-23 due to the above stated reasons, which are totally beyond the control of the appellant. In view of the above, by considering all the aspects as stated herein above, your Honour may be pleased to interfere with the impugned order dated 14.05.2025 for securing ends of justice. VII. FOR THAT while passing the impugned order dated 14.05.2025, the respondent has failed to consider the vital aspect of the matter that the affiliated university i.e., The Gauhati University issued the Certificate for Compliance of NCTE Regulation 2014 bearing No.GU/AFF/2024/8771-73 dated 03.12.2024 in favour of the appellant institute, certifying that the status of the Appellant Institute is assessed to be in conformity with the NCTE Regulation 2014 and norms and standard prescribed by the NCTE for the B.Ed. Course programme. VIII. FOR THAT in any view of the matter, for securing ends of justice, the impugned order dated 14.05.2025 is liable to be set aside and quashed. Further, the appellants crave leave of your Honour to take any other ground on law point at the time of hearing. IX. FOR THAT the appeal is filed bonafide and for securing ends of justice. PRAYER In the premises as aforesaid, it is most humbly prayed that your Honour would graciously be pleased to admit this appeal, call for the records, issue notice to the Respondent and after cause or causes being shown and upon hearing the parties, be pleased to set aside the impugned Withdrawal Order bearing No.NCTE/2025/ERC/PAR/Order/ERCAPP882/(70759-70765)dated 14.05.2025 and also be pleased to allow the appellant institute to continue its functioning by allowing it to submit the PAR for the academic year 2021-22 & 2022-23 within a stipulated time to be fixed by your Honour, by considering its past 8 years of outstanding performance and/or be pleased to pass such other or further order or orders as your Honour may deem fit and proper for securing ends of justice. VERIFICATION I, DR. JAYSREE DAS, the Principal of the appellant No.1 institute for myself and for and on behalf of the other

appellants, do hereby declare that what is stated herein in the accompanying appeal petition are true to the best of my information and belief and nothing material has been suppressed or concealed.

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 27.04.2017. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by ERC vide order dt. 14.05.2025 on the grounds that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, namely Dr. Sarvepalli Radhakrishnan Teachers' Training and Research Institute, Chamata, Nalbari, Assam, run by Project Chamata Educational Society and affiliated to Gauhati University, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal has been filed under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the withdrawal of recognition order dated 14.05.2025 issued by ERC on account of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. The appellant submitted that recognition for the B.Ed. programme with an intake of 50 students was granted on 27.04.2017 and since then the institution has been functioning in compliance with NCTE norms and maintaining satisfactory academic performance. It was further submitted that the NCTE introduced the online PAR system pursuant to the decision taken in the 61st General Body Meeting held on 05.08.2024. The appellant submitted that due to internal disputes between the Sponsoring Body and the then Principal/Governing Body, multiple writ petitions were filed before the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court, wherein status quo orders

regarding the constitution of the Governing Body remained in operation from 07.09.2023 till 21.02.2025. It was submitted that during the pendency of such litigation and due to non-availability of relevant institutional records, the appellant could not submit the PAR within the stipulated time i.e., 31.12.2024. It was further submitted that the disputes were subsequently resolved amicably and the writ petitions were withdrawn vide order dated 21.02.2025. The appellant further submitted that upon issuance of Show Cause Notice dated 27.03.2025 under Section 17 of the NCTE Act, it submitted a reply on 10.04.2025 through online mode seeking extension of time for submission of PAR and also submitted supporting documents vide communication dated 09.05.2025 through both online and offline modes, which were duly acknowledged by the Regional Committee. It was contended that despite submission of the reply and supporting documents, the ERC passed the impugned withdrawal order dated 14.05.2025 stating that no reply had been submitted, which is factually incorrect as per the appellant. It was further submitted that no opportunity of personal hearing was granted and the order was passed without considering the reply submitted. The appellant also submitted that Gauhati University, being the affiliating body, issued a certificate dated 03.12.2024 certifying that the institution is in compliance with NCTE Regulations, 2014. Further, the appellant submitted that subsequent to filing of the appeal, it approached the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court in W.P.(C) No. 4366/2025 and the said writ petition has been disposed of vide order dated 19.03.2026 directing restoration of recognition in terms of directions issued by the Hon'ble Delhi High Court in W.P.(C) No. 5369/2025 and connected matters, and the said proceedings now stand closed.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is ‘Active’ or ‘under judicial stay’.

- **The Council notes that the status of ‘Withdrawn’ recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands ‘Withdrawn’ on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon’ble**

***High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18)
before the closing date of the portal window.”***

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements stipulated under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. As per the submissions made by the appellant institution, it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal. The appellant institution further submitted that the court matter stands disposed of and that the said proceedings now stand closed in terms of the directions issued by the Hon'ble High Court. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the stipulated period constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation and that the burden to establish due compliance squarely rests upon the recognized institution through complete, contemporaneous, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in view of the aforesaid binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in accordance with the applicable statutory and regulatory framework. The Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that

subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, and the applicable statutory and regulatory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 14.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Eastern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable

guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Eastern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, and the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 14.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Eastern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Eastern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. Dr. Sarvepolli Radhakrishnan Teachers Training and Research Institute, Patta No. 143, Dag No. 1217, Chamata (Rupiabathan), Nalbari Palla Road (Panchayat Road), Dharmapur (Tihu) Nalbari, Assam-781306.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
 4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Assam, Assam Secretariat, Block 'C', 3rd Floor, Secretariat Road, Dispur, Guwahati, Assam-781006.
-



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-335/E-376170/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026

APPLNRC202515172 / E-89966

Maryada Devi Kanya Degree College, Plot No. 357, 358, 360, 461, 469, Birgapur Hanumanganj Prayagraj, Birgapur, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, Allahabad – 221505	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Maryada Devi Kanya Degree College, Plot No 357 358 360 461 469, Birgapur Hanumanganj Prayagraj, Birgapur, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, Allahabad - 221505** dated 23/06/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order no. **NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/NRCAPP-12958** dated of 28.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting **D.El.Ed.** Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from Maryada Devi Kanya Degree College, Plot No 357 358 360 461 469, Birgapur Hanumanganj Prayagraj, Birgapur, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, Allahabad - 221505 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "This statement serves as a formal explanation for the delay or failure in submitting the online PAR forms for the sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. It clarifies that the applicant did not deliberately avoid the submission, but rather the failure was due to: Lack of proper and timely communication from the concerned office or system. An administrative or procedural oversight, where the applicant was not notified or reminded as per standard practice. Unintentional error – no deliberate negligence or unwillingness is involved. Late realization – the applicant only became aware of the missed submission after the deadline had passed. Based on these justifiable grounds, the applicant is respectfully requesting a chance to rectify the situation and complete the PAR process, assuming the system or authority allows for delayed submission under special consideration."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 50 students vide

order dated 29.08.2017. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 28.05.2025 on the grounds that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of the withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. The appellant submitted that the non-submission of PAR was neither deliberate nor due to any willful default, but occurred on account of lack of proper and timely communication, absence of notification/reminders, and administrative/procedural oversight. It was further submitted that the omission came to notice only after expiry of the prescribed timeline and, therefore, the delay was unintentional. The appellant has stated that it is willing to comply with all requirements and has requested an opportunity to submit the PAR for the aforesaid academic sessions under special consideration. Further, the appellant submitted that subsequent to the issuance of the withdrawal order dated 08/16 April 2025 by the concerned Regional Committee, it filed Writ Petition No. 50681/2025 before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, wherein the Hon'ble Court vide order dated 19.08.2025 granted stay on the withdrawal order and permitted the institution to participate in the counselling process for the academic session 2025–26. The appellant has also submitted that despite the aforesaid stay order, its name continues to be reflected in the withdrawal list, and has requested for updating its status as a recognized institution in terms of the said judicial order and issuance of necessary clarification to concerned stakeholders.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and

repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is ‘Active’ or ‘under judicial stay’.

- **The Council notes that the status of ‘Withdrawn’ recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands ‘Withdrawn’ on account of non-submission of PAR till**

31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements stipulated under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. As per the submissions made by the appellant institution, it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal. The Committee further noted the submission of the appellant institution that it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal and subsequent to withdrawal of recognition by the concerned Regional Committee, the institution had filed a court case and that the matter is presently sub judice. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous,

and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 28.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the

provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Northern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 28.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Northern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **Maryada Devi Kanya Degree College, Plot No 357 358 360 461 469, Birgapur Hanumanganj Prayagraj, Birgapur, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, Allahabad - 221505.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-338/E-374538/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515296/E-89966

Raj Kumar Goel Girls Degree College, 901, Garh Mukteshwar, (Near Railway Station), Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh-245205 APPELLANT	Vs	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075 RESPONDENT
--	-----------	---

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Raj Kumar Goel Girls Degree College, 901, Garh Mukteshwar, (Near Railway Station), Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh-245205** dated 27.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order no. **File No. NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/UP-1879** dated of 29.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Raj Kumar Goel Girls Degree College, 901, Garh Mukteshwar, (Near Railway Station), Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh-245205** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “1. The Institution has been submitting their PARS and all other relevant information's to the NCTE regularly and always abiding by all the rules and regulations of the Governing Body (NCTE). 2. Due to poor quality of Net speed in the rural area the NCTE Website Could not be accessed and always found Hang. Therefore, the college could not submit their PARS for the academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23. 3. The Institution possesses all the required infrastructural and instructional facilities available at the college as per NCTE norms as depicted in the Letter of Recognition. 4. We Undertake to abide by all the rules and regulations of the Governing Bode (NCTE) in future and shall submit all the pending PARs as soon as the Portal of the (NCTE) is open for the same. 5. We Pray to restore our recognition /approval order for running the B.Ed. Course Program and Permission to admit Students for the academic session 2025-26.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the B.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 100 students vide

order dated 16.08.2005. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 29.05.2025 on the ground that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal pertains to withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. The appellant submitted that it has been regularly submitting PARs and other requisite information to NCTE and has been complying with all applicable rules and regulations. It was further submitted that due to poor internet connectivity in the rural area, the NCTE portal could not be accessed properly, resulting in failure to submit the PAR within the stipulated time. The appellant has stated that it possesses all required infrastructural and instructional facilities as per NCTE norms in terms of the recognition order. It has further undertaken to comply with all provisions of the NCTE Act and Regulations and to submit the pending PARs as and when the portal is made available. The appellant has requested for restoration of recognition/approval for the B.Ed. programme and permission to admit students for the academic session 2025–26. The appellant has further informed that the withdrawal order passed against the institution is presently under judicial stay granted by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is ‘Active’ or ‘under judicial stay’.

- The Council notes that the status of ‘Withdrawn’ recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- For TEIs whose recognition currently stands ‘Withdrawn’ on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon’ble**

***High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18)
before the closing date of the portal window.”***

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements stipulated under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. As per the submissions made by the appellant institution, it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal. The Committee further noted the submission of the appellant institution that it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal and subsequent to withdrawal of recognition by the concerned Regional Committee, the institution had filed a court case and that the matter is presently sub judice. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that “For TEIs whose recognition currently stands ‘Withdrawn’ on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon’ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee).” The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025

and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 29.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding

decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Northern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 29.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Northern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

- 1. Raj Kumar Goel Girls Degree College, 901, Garh Mukteshwar, (Near Railway Station), Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh-245205.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**

4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एन सी टी ई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एन सी टी ई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-429/E- 382512/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026

APPLSRC202515383/E-89966

A.S.M.M. Teacher Training Institute, A, Survey No. 249/8, Block – 28, Main Road Alathur, Palakkad, Kerala – 678541 APPELLANT	Vs	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075 RESPONDENT
--	-----------	--

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **A.S.M M Teacher Training Institute, A, Survey No. 249/8, Block – 28, Main road alathur, Palakkad, Kerala - 678541** dated 16/07/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **F.No.NCTE/2025/SRC/PAR/ORDER/AOSO0020** dated 16/05/2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The justification/reply given by the institution against Show Cause Notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from A.S.M M Teacher Training Institute, A, Survey No. 249/8, Block – 28, Main Road Alathur, Palakkad, Kerala - 678541 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “The PAR is to be filed by DEO, Palakkad, who is the manager in charge of this institution, as instructed by the DPI and Government, who has under taken to file and complete procedure of PAR, as ordered by Hon’ble High Court of Kerala vide interim order dt 03-12-24, in wp(c) no. 41897 of 2024. He has failed to submit the PAR, by neglecting the order of the high court of Kerala. Instead of permitting the educational agency by providing necessary data’s, he has undertaken to file the PAR by himself through the hm of the institution. But he has fail to comply with order of the high court, which ultimately lead to the withdrawal of recognition of the institution by NCTE. Writ petition was filed by this appellant well in advance so as to enable this petitioner to file the PAR. Hence, this appeal for restoration of recognition for no reason of the management. The credibility of the renowned institution and the future of the students will be effected and facility for the minority people in that area will be effected if the recognition is taken off. A detailed appeal memorandum and annexures will be sent through mail and hard copy will be sent though post, and soft copy will be sent though e-mail, for necessary steps. Any delay in filing this appeal may please be condoned for reasons beyond our control. 2. APPELLANT: 1. Alatur Educational Society (Reg.No.5/1956) Old Post Office Road, Alathur, Palakkad District, Represented By its President Mohammed Rafi, for A.S.M.M. Teachers Training Institute, Alathur. aespresidentalathur@gmail.com.

Mobile No: 9847000502 Vs. RESPONDENT 1. 'The Member Secretary, NCTE, G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, Landmark, Near Metro Station, Delhi – 110075' 2. Regional Director Southern Regional Committee National Council for Teacher Education. G7 Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi, Pin code – 110075 3. The District Educational Officer, Palakkad - 678001. Appeal filed under Section 18 of the NCTE Act 1993 as against the order in the file no listed below. File No. NCTE/2025/SRC/PAR/ORDER/AOSO0020/150997- Order dated 16-05-2025, withdrawing the recognition of Alathur of ASMM Teacher Training Institute Palakkad District. Statement Of Facts 1. Appellant is the president of Alatur Educational Society with Register No. 5/1956 which is the educational agency of ASMM Higher Secondary school and ASMM Teachers Training Institute Alathur. The training institute was commenced in the year 1964, was recognized as an aided sector during 1993. 2. The management is vested with the Alatur Educational Society. In view of the dispute over management, the Director of Public Instructions, government of Kerala has directed the DEO Palakkad to function as the manager to attend day today affairs of the higher Secondary school as well as the Teachers Training Institute. A true copy of the order of the director of the public instructions dated 24-06-2010 is produced herewith and marked as Annexure A. 3. Accordingly, the DEO Palakkad who is impleaded as respondent herein was functioning as the manager of the two institutions. Subsequently, The Hon'ble High Court as well as the civil court declared that the Management is vested with the society. The teacher's training institute is a minority institution. vide order No. G.O. (Ms)157/93, G Edn. Tvm, dt.30-9-1993. 4. Appellant coming to know the necessity of submitting Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) as per the guidelines issued by NCTE, the PAR is to be submitted by the educational agency, which is managing the institution. Appellant was able to collect the part of the material documents and was attempting to submit the PAR. However, certain details which were with the DEO and HM in charge, were not furnished with regard to the staff to the appellant for submitting PAR. The appellant made several requests before the DEO as well as the head master of the institution to furnishing the details but they refused to submit the details. They further informed the appellant that PAR would be submitted by them, in time, as provided under the notification. 5. Appellant was not having confidence in the respondent as well as the HM in charge of the institution and therefore constrained to approach the Hon'ble High court by filing a writ petition bearing WP(C) No. 41897 of 2024. A copy of the writ petition is enclosed as ANNEXURE B. Appellant prayed in the

writ petition, to direct the DEO and the HM in charge to furnish all the relevant data's with respect to the teachers training institute and qualifying certificates of the teachers, and student data's, to enable the appellant to uphold the performance appraisal report. In the writ petition the DEO appeared through the government pleader, and has submitted that he will upload the details of PAR as required under the notification. 6. The Hon'ble HC passed an Interim Order on 3rd December 2024 recording the undertaking given by the DEO / Manager, through the Government pleader. It was submitted by the respondent, that he has directed the head master to upload the requisite documents. The government pleader was directed by the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala, to file a statement with respect to the steps, he has taken and documents uploaded. The matter was ordered to be posted on 9-12-2024. The matter is still pending consideration before the court. A true copy of the Interim Order dated 03-12-24 is produced herewith and marked as ANNEXURE C. 7. It is unfortunate to submit that the DEO who was functioning as the manager has failed to upload the PAR so far. Consequently, the NCTE Southern regional committee, by its order dated 16-05-25, has withdrawn the recognition given to the ASMM Teacher training institute. A Copy of the Withdrawal Order from the Regional Director, SRC, NCTE, downloaded from the site, is produced herewith as ANNEXURE D. 8. Appellant submits the withdrawal order dated 16-05-2025 is highly unjust and liable to be recalled. The institution of which the recognition was withdrawn was commenced in the year 1964. It is a Minority Institution. The failure to upload the PAR is only due to the negligence on part of the DEO Palakkad, who is temporarily functioning as the Manager of the institute. There is no dispute that Educational Agency of the institute is Alatur Educational Society represented by the appellant. The appellant was eager to submit PAR but it was only due to the recalcitrant attitude of DEO Palakkad that the appellant was not able to upload the PAR. In spite of the undertaking given before the Hon'ble High Court, that he has failed to upload the PAR. It is submitted that the ultimate result that has happened is the withdrawal of recognition to the institution started and managed by the appellant for the past 60 years that too as a minority institution. 9. It is submitted that the order/ decision of withdrawal of recognition may kindly be reconsidered and appellant may be permitted to submit the PAR. The DEO Palakkad to be directed to furnish necessary details for submitting the PAR. Appellant therefore humbly pray that this authority may kindly be pleased to set aside the order dated 16-05-25 withdrawing the recognition of ASMM Teachers training institute Alathur,

Palakkad District and to restore the recognition to the institution. Appellant may be given an opportunity to be heard the matter before the final disposal of the appeal. 10. It is submitted that the appellant was under bed rest due to health condition at Kollam and was not able to attend the office for about a month. Hence the delay if any for filing this appeal may be please be considered and condoned. The medical certificate to that effect is attached here with for necessary steps as ANNEXURE. E. Dated this the 14th July 2025. FOR A.S.M.M.TTI ALATHUR, Alatur Educational Society (Reg.No.5/1956) Represented by its President Mohammed Rafi.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 16.05.2025. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 16.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The instant matter was placed in its 13th Meeting, 2025 held on 24.09.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee considered the appeal, the appeal report, and the submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee further noted that the institution, during the course of hearing, admitted that it has not filled the PAR. Therefore, the Committee decided that the Regional Director as well as the appellant institution shall apprise the Appeal Committee about the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court in respect of the pending case filed by the institution. In view of the pendency of judicial proceedings, the Committee resolved to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of

the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, namely A.S.M.M. Teachers Training Institute, Alathur, Palakkad District, Kerala, run by Alatur Educational Society (Reg. No. 5/1956) and under the administrative control of the District Educational Officer (DEO), Palakkad, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal has been filed under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the withdrawal of recognition order dated 16.05.2025 issued by SRC on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the relevant academic sessions. The appellant submitted that the institution, established in 1964 and recognized as an aided minority institution, is managed by the Alatur Educational Society; however, due to disputes relating to management, the Director of Public Instructions, Government of Kerala, had directed the DEO, Palakkad to function as Manager for day-to-day administration. It was further submitted that although the appellant, being the educational agency, intended to submit the PAR, certain requisite data and records were in the custody of the DEO/Headmaster and were not furnished despite repeated requests. It was stated that the DEO had undertaken to submit the PAR. The appellant further submitted that, in view of non-cooperation and apprehension regarding submission of PAR, it approached the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala by filing W.P.(C) No. 41897 of 2024 seeking directions for furnishing necessary data and submission of PAR. It was submitted that the Hon'ble High Court, vide interim order dated 03.12.2024, recorded the undertaking of the DEO to upload the required details and directed filing of status regarding compliance, and the matter remains pending before the Court. The appellant submitted that despite such undertaking, the DEO failed to submit the PAR, which resulted in issuance of the withdrawal order dated 16.05.2025. It was contended that the non-submission of PAR was attributable to the failure on the part of the DEO functioning as Manager and not due to any wilful default on the part of the appellant educational agency. The appellant further submitted that it had made efforts to submit the PAR and had approached the Court in advance for necessary directions, and has sought restoration of recognition and an opportunity to submit the PAR upon furnishing of requisite data. It was also submitted that the institution has been functioning for several decades and caters to minority students. Further, the appellant submitted that

the writ petition bearing W.P.(C) No. 41897 of 2024 is pending before the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala, wherein NCTE has also been impleaded as a party, and the matter was last heard on 13.01.2026 and adjourned. The appellant has requested time to furnish further details as sought by the authority in this regard.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements stipulated under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. As per the submissions made by the appellant institution, it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal. The Committee further noted the submission of the appellant institution that it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal and subsequent to withdrawal of recognition by the concerned Regional Committee, the institution had filed a court case and that the matter is presently sub judice. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia,

provides that “For TEIs whose recognition currently stands ‘Withdrawn’ on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon’ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee).” The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 16.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Southern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on

the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 16.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Southern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **A.S.M M Teacher Training Institute, A, Survey No. 249/8, Block – 28, Main road alathur, Palakkad, Kerala - 678541.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 1st Floor, Annex II, Government Secretariat, Thiruvananthapuram–1, Kerala.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-340/E-375701/2025 Appeal/13th Meeting, 2025
APPLSRC202515267 / E- 89966

Sri Maruthi Teacher Training Institute (D.Ed), J.K. Puram, Rajapet Road, Srinivasa Sandra Post, J.K. Puram, 9, 2306, Srinivasa Sandra Post, Rajapet Road, KGF Taluk, Karnataka, Kolar-563122	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Mr. D. Nagaraj, Sr. Lecturer
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Sri Maruthi Teacher Training Institute (D.Ed), J.K. Puram, Rajapet Road, Srinivasa Sandra Post, J.K. Puram, 9, 2306, Srinivasa Sandra Post, Rajapet Road, KGF taluk, Karnataka, Kolar-563122** dated 16.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the order No. F. No. NCTE/2025/PAR/ORDER/APSO3956/149510 & 149513 dated 30.05.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. D. Nagaraj, Sr. Lecturer of Sri Maruthi Teacher Training Institute (D.Ed), J.K. Puram, Rajapet Road, Srinivasa Sandra Post, J.K. Puram, 9, 2306, Srinivasa Sandra Post, Rajapet Road, KGF Taluk, Karnataka, Kolar-563122 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "With due respect, we would like to submit the following in response to the Show Cause Notice: We had duly submitted our reply to the Show Cause Notice dated 28th May 2025. However, we would like to bring to your kind notice that we received the physical copy of the notice only on 24th May 2025, by which time the online submission portal had already closed. We would like to humbly clarify that the academic session 2022–2023 was duly uploaded on 19.03.2022, and the renewal fee was also paid on time. The relevant proof of submission and fee payment was already sent via email and registered post at that time. Based on this, we had assumed that we were in full compliance and that the said notice would not be applicable to us. To our surprise, despite fulfilling the requirements, we still received the Show Cause Notice. As a result, we once again submitted all relevant proof and documents via Registered Speed Post on 29.05.2025 and also by email also. 28.05.2025 We would like to reiterate that we have every intention to fully comply with all regulatory requirements. In light of the above facts, we humbly request you to kindly revoke the withdrawal order and restore our recognition for the academic year 2025–2026, and grant us a reasonable opportunity to clarify and comply further if required.

We sincerely seek your understanding and kind consideration in this matter. Thanking you, Yours faithfully, G. Jayarama Reddy Secretary, Sri Maruthi Welfare Trust Sri Maruthi Teacher Training Institute”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the course of D.El.Ed for an intake of 50 students vide order dated 21.12.2005. The recognition was subsequently withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 30.05.2025 on the ground that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice.

The instant matter was placed in its 10th Meeting, 2025 & 13th Meeting, 2025 held on 03.08.2025 & 24.09.2025 before the Appeal Committee wherein the Committee decided that the Regional Director as well as the appellant institution shall apprise the Appeal Committee about the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court in respect of the pending case filed by the institution. In view of the pendency of judicial proceedings, the Committee resolved to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, namely Sri Maruthi Teacher Training Institute, run by Sri Maruthi Welfare Trust, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises against the withdrawal of recognition on account of alleged non-compliance relating to submission of Performance Appraisal Report (PAR). The appellant submitted that it had duly replied to the Show Cause Notice dated 28.05.2025; however, the physical copy of the notice was received only on 24.05.2025, by which time the online submission portal had already closed. It was further submitted that the PAR for the academic session 2022–23 had been uploaded

earlier and the requisite fee had also been paid within time, and proof of submission along with fee payment had been sent through email and registered post. The appellant submitted that, on the basis of such prior submission, it was under the impression that it was in compliance; however, upon receipt of the Show Cause Notice, it again submitted all relevant documents and proof through registered post dated 29.05.2025 and via email. The appellant further submitted that it intends to comply with all regulatory requirements and has sought revocation of the withdrawal order and restoration of recognition for the academic session 2025–26, along with an opportunity to clarify and comply further, if required. Further, the appellant submitted that the matter has been challenged before the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, wherein in Writ Petition filed by the appellant, the Hon'ble Court vide order dated 10.10.2025 has granted an interim stay of the impugned action. It was submitted that notice has been accepted on behalf of the respondents and the interim order of stay is in operation, with liberty granted to the respondents to seek modification or vacation of the same. The appellant has requested that the said judicial order be taken into consideration while deciding the present appeal.

The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

"3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***

- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) 5369/2025 & CM APPL. 24435/2025 titled as TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE MIRZA Vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & batch matters vide order dated 15.12.2025. The relevant extract of the order of Hon'ble High Court is as under: -

"4. In light of the decision taken in aforesaid 68th (Emergent) General Body Meeting, an application has been filed by the NCTE to take the minutes of the said meeting on record, with further prayer to dispose of the batch of petitions while restoring the recognition of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)/petitioner institutes whose petitions are pending before this Court.

5. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioners in 226 writ petitions in the present batch of petitions submits that some of the institutes have not submitted PAR in terms of the public notice dated 09.09.2024 for the reason that they were not able to login on the portal or retrieve their password due to technical glitch viz., on account of incorrect mobile numbers and e-mail IDs in the record of the respondents.

6. He submits that the respondents may correct and update the e-mail ID and mobile numbers of the petitioners in its record within the specified time and for that purpose, time must be extended.

7. He further submits that after carrying out necessary correction in the e-mail ID and mobile numbers, a fresh notice may be issued by NCTE giving timelines within which PAR needs to be submitted by the institutes for the aforesaid two academic sessions.

8. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, proposes as under:

(i) NCTE will appoint 04 dedicated Nodal Officers, one for each Regional Committee (RC) who will assist the TEIs in updating contact details, resolving portal related technical issues, if any, faced by the TEIs.

(ii) The NCTE will give a public notice giving three weeks time for TEIs to update their mobile number and e-mail IDs and thereafter six weeks time for opening of portal for submission of PAR.

9. The aforesaid statement of Mr. Kapoor is taken on record and the respondents shall remain bound by the same.

10. This Court also notes that in the decision taken in the aforesaid 68th (Emergent General Body meeting), NCTE has stated that those TEIs who have already submitted their PAR, but wish to update or revise their data shall be allowed to do so without payment of any additional fee. It is further clarified that it shall be the option of the TEIs to submit any further particulars of their PAR in addition to the PAR details which have already been uploaded.

11. Insofar as the TEIs, which were recognized, but were not affiliated by the concerned affiliating bodies, need not submit PAR for the years for which they were not so affiliated. This clarification is in addition to the 'scope of submission' of PAR mentioned in clause 3.1(B) of the decision taken by NCTE in its 68th (Emergent) General Body meeting.

12. In light of the above, and having regard to the decision taken by the NCTE in its 68th (General) Body Meeting as noted above, as well as, the statement made by Mr. Kapoor, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned orders are set aside.

13. Resultantly, the respondent NCTE will pass an “order of restoration” of recognition in each case and the names of the petitioners shall be included in the list of recognized institutions maintained on the website of the respondents.

14. Simultaneously, status of the petitioners as “recognized institution” shall also be communicated to the concerned State Government and Affiliating Body or University.

15. The above exercise of passing an order of restoration of recognition as well as communicating the State Government and Affiliating Body/ University shall be completed before the issuance of public notice as mentioned in para 8 (ii) above.

16. In the meanwhile, petitioner institutes are permitted to participate in the counselling for academic session 2025-26 and admit students for the said academic session.

17. Pending applications stand disposed of.”

In light of the above resolution, the Committee observed that although the appellant institution failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timelines, it had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing a writ petition, thereby bringing the matter under judicial consideration. The Committee also noted that the Hon'ble High Court Delhi vide order dated 27.03.2026 allowed the writ petition filed by the appellant institution as per the Hon'ble High Court order dated 15.12.2025.

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, and further in view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncement, the Committee observed that the relief sought by the appellant institution before the Appeal Committee stands fully addressed by the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appeal filed by the appellant institution has rendered infructuous and is therefore disposed of as such, with no further orders required in the matter. It is further directed that the concerned Regional Committee shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, in consonance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms

and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable Standard Operating Procedures, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all related records before passing any consequential order.

IV. DECISION: -

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the records available on file, the submissions made by the appellant institution, the binding decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the directions of the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 15.12.2025, the Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the instant appeal filed by the appellant institution has become infructuous. Accordingly, the appeal stands disposed of in terms of the directions contained hereinabove, in consonance with the applicable provisions of law and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **Sri Maruthi Teacher Training Institute (D.Ed), J.K. Puram, Rajapet Road, Srinivasa Sandra Post, J.K. Puram, 9, 2306, Srinivasa Sandra Post, Rajapet Road, KGF taluk, Karnataka, Kolar-563122.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Secretary Establishment, Higher Education Dept., Room No. 645 A, 2nd Gate, 6th Floor, M.S.Building, Bengaluru, Karnataka-1.



एन सी टी ई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एन सी टी ई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-320/E-375004/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515253/E-89966

Handia P.G. College Handia Prayagraj, Khasra No. 20, G. T. Road, Handia, Prayagraj, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh- 221003 APPELLANT	Vs	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075 RESPONDENT
---	-----------	---

Representative of Appellant	Prof. Viveka Pandey, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Handia P.G. College Handia Prayagraj, Khasra No. 20, G. T. Road, Handia, Prayagraj, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 221003** dated **18.07.2025** filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the **NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/UP-56/ dated of 08.06.2025** of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution in its appeal report mentioned ground of appeal as "The justification/reply given by the institution against Show Cause Notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Prof. Viveka Pandey, Principal from Handia P.G. College Handia Prayagraj, Khasra No. 20, G. T. Road, Handia, Prayagraj, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh- 221003 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Request for consideration-PAR Submission Issues Subject: Request for consideration regarding PAR submission Challenges for Academic years 2021-22 and 2022-23. We respectfully submit the following points for your kind consideration: 1. The response to your show cause notice could not be submitted through the provided online link ([https:// par.ncte.gov. in/par_feedback_system/](https://par.ncte.gov.in/par_feedback_system/)) due to persistent "record not match" errors. Hence, the response has been dispatched via registered post. 2) Our institution encountered significant technical difficulties during the final stage of the PAR (Performance Appraisal Report) submission process. While we successfully completed all required data entry for both academic years (2021-22 and 2022-23), we experienced persistent issues with the online payment gateway when attempting to process the requisite fees. Despite multiple attempts across different sessions, the payment transactions consistently failed to complete, thereby preventing the final submission of reports within the stipulated deadlines. 3) During the reporting period, our institution underwent administrative restructuring, which included changes in key administrative positions, particularly the IQAC (Internal Quality Assurance Cell) coordinator responsible for report submissions. This transition period inadvertently resulted in communications gaps regarding compliance requirements and timelines. 4) Current

Status of Submissions I. Data Entry: Successfully complete for both academic years 2021-22 and 2022-23 on the NCTE online portal II. Payment Status (2021-22): Successfully completed (Receipt attached as Annexure I) III. Payment Status (2022-23): Incomplete due to recurring technical issues with the payment gateway. The payment gateway experienced consistent technical problems during our repeated attempts to process the fee payment for academic session 2022-23, preventing the completion of the submission process. We reiterate our unwavering commitment to upholding commitment to upholding the norms and standard established by NCTE. Our institution remains dedicated to maintaining transparency and compliance with all regulatory requirements. We would be grateful for you understanding and look forward to your favorable consideration of our request. We would be grateful for guidance on alternative payment methods or technical support to resolve the outstanding submission for academic year 2022-23. In light of the above circumstances, we respectfully request you to kindly remove the withdrawal order and oblige us.

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the course of B.Ed. with an annual intake of 70 students vide order dated 31.07.2000. The recognition was subsequently withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 08.06.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable.

The instant matter was placed in its 10th Meeting, 2025 & 13th Meeting, 2025 held on 08.08.2025 & 24.09.2025 before the Appeal Committee wherein the Committee decided that the Regional Director as well as the appellant institution shall apprise the Appeal Committee about the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court in respect of the pending case filed by the institution. In view of the pendency of judicial proceedings, the Committee resolved to keep the matter in abeyance until the final

decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, namely Handia P.G. College, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. The appellant submitted that it attempted to submit its response to the Show Cause Notice through the online portal; however, the same could not be completed due to persistent “record not match” errors, and therefore the response was sent through registered post. It was further submitted that although data entry for PAR for both academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23 was completed on the NCTE portal, the institution faced recurring technical issues with the online payment gateway, resulting in failure to complete payment for the academic session 2022–23 and thereby preventing final submission within the stipulated time. It was stated that payment for the academic session 2021–22 was successfully completed. The appellant further submitted that during the relevant period, the institution underwent administrative restructuring, including changes in key positions such as the IQAC coordinator, which resulted in communication gaps regarding compliance requirements and timelines. The appellant submitted that it remains committed to compliance with NCTE norms and has sought an opportunity to complete the pending PAR submission, including facilitation through activation of the portal or provision of alternative payment methods. Further, the appellant submitted that it has already furnished requisite documents including affidavit, High Court order and management report, and has requested verification of the same and activation of the PAR portal to enable submission of PAR for the aforesaid academic sessions within the permissible time.

The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, the submissions and documents placed on record by the appellant institution, and the applicable provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended). The Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent

Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is ‘Active’ or ‘under judicial stay’.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements stipulated under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. As per the submissions made by the appellant institution, it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal. The Committee further noted the submission of the appellant institution that it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal and subsequent to withdrawal of recognition by the concerned Regional Committee, the institution had filed a court case and that the matter is presently sub judice. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 08.06.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Northern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 08.06.2025 and remands the matter to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Northern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **Handia P.G. College Handia Prayagraj, Khasra No. 20, G. T. Road, Handia, Prayagraj, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 221003.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-326/E-375175/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515270 / E-89966

Lord Krishna Institute, 31, Knowledge Park – I, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh – 201310 APPELLANT	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075 RESPONDENT
---	------------------	---

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Lord Krishna Institute, 31, Knowledge Park – I, Greater Nodia, Uttar Pradesh - 201310** dated 19/07/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the **NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/UP-1203** dated of 30.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting **B.Ed.** Course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from Lord Krishna Institute, 31, Knowledge Park – I, Greater Nodia, Uttar Pradesh - 201310 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “No communication received from NCTE either via email or by post.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the course of B.Ed. with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 05.08.2004. The recognition was subsequently withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 30.05.2025 on the ground that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice.

The instant matter was placed in its 10th Meeting, 2025 & 13th Meeting, 2025 held on 08.08.2025 & 24.09.2025 before the Appeal Committee wherein the Committee decided that the Regional Director as well as the appellant institution shall apprise the Appeal Committee about the final decision of the Hon’ble High Court in respect of the pending case filed by the institution. In view of the pendency of judicial proceedings, the Committee resolved to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon’ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by

the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of the withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR). The appellant submitted that no communication, including notices or correspondence, was received from NCTE either through email or by post prior to the issuance of the withdrawal order. Further, the appellant submitted that it has challenged the withdrawal order before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad by filing Writ Petition (Civil) No. 27613 of 2025. It was submitted that the said writ petition has been disposed of by the Hon'ble High Court with directions permitting the institution to participate in the ongoing counselling process, subject to the outcome of the present statutory appeal filed under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993.

The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, the submissions and documents placed on record by the appellant institution, and the applicable provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended). The Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) **Approval of Final Opportunity:**

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) **Scope of Submission:**

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) **Stipulation of Consequence:**

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) **Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:**

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements stipulated

under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. As per the submissions made by the appellant institution, it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal. The appellant institution further submitted that the court matter stands disposed of and that the said proceedings now stand closed in terms of the directions issued by the Hon'ble High Court. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the stipulated period constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation and that the burden to establish due compliance squarely rests upon the recognized institution through complete, contemporaneous, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in view of the aforesaid binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in accordance with the applicable statutory and regulatory framework. The Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016]* recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, and the applicable statutory and regulatory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 30.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Northern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an

expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, and the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 30.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Northern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **Lord Krishna Institute, 31, Knowledge Park – I, Greater Nodia, Uttar Pradesh - 201310.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एन सी टी ई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एन सी टी ई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-229/E-369851/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026

APPLWRC202515093 / E-89966

Kanti Darshan Mahavidyalaya, 353, Somani, NH 6, Rajnandgoan, Chattisgarh-491441 APPELLANT	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075 RESPONDENT
---	------------------	--

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Kanti Darshan Mahavidyalaya, 353, Somani, NH 6, Rajnandgoan, Chattisgarh-491441** dated 03.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / ORDER / APP18 (CG) /** dated 15.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The justification/reply given by the institution against Show Cause Notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Kanti Darshan Mahavidyalaya, 353, Somani, NH 6, Rajnandgoan, Chattisgarh-491441** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Initially we were not aware to fill up the PAR for D.El.Ed. course, later on when we came to know that PAR is required for D.El.Ed. course also, the server became very slow to fill the PAR form and over the time of PAR site, was closed. Madam, we assure to abide by all rules, regulations and guideline of NCTE as well as PAR form and assure you to fill-up the PAR form immediately. Kindly allow us one opportunity by opening the PAR portal with suitable extended time to fill the desired information of D.El.Ed. course."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course of two-years duration with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 14.02.2014. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by WRC vide order dt. 15.05.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable.

The instant matter was placed in its 8th Meeting, 2025 & 13th Meeting, 2025 held on 03.07.2025 & 24.09.2025 before the Appeal Committee wherein the Committee decided that the Regional Director as well as the appellant institution shall apprise the Appeal Committee about status of court case (if any) and undertaking to apply afresh and resubmit a duly filled PAR upon reopening of the PAR Portal.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant-institution, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the D.El.Ed. programme. The appellant submitted that it was initially not aware of the requirement of submitting PAR for the D.El.Ed. course and, upon becoming aware, attempted to complete the submission; however, the PAR portal was functioning slowly and subsequently became inaccessible/closed before the process could be completed. The appellant has submitted that it undertakes to comply with all provisions of the NCTE Act and Regulations and has requested that the PAR portal be reopened or an extended opportunity be granted to enable submission of the requisite PAR for the D.El.Ed. programme within the prescribed format and timelines.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timeframe and

continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. The Committee further noted that, pursuant to the decision taken by the General Body of the Council in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28.07.2025, the appellant institution had been directed to submit a duly sworn undertaking expressing its willingness to submit the PAR upon reopening of the PAR Portal, subject to payment of the prescribed processing fee, as applicable. The appellant institution was also specifically directed to furnish the status of any judicial proceedings instituted in relation to withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of PAR, along with certified copies of relevant pleadings/orders, if any. The Committee observed that, despite issuance of formal communication through e-mail and subsequent reminders, the appellant institution has failed to submit the requisite undertaking and supporting documentary material before the Appeal Division. The Committee further noted that neither the appellant institution nor the concerned Regional Committee has furnished any authenticated material regarding the pendency or status of any court proceedings. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the

basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 15.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Western Regional

Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Western Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

III. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 15.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Western Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **Kanti Darshan Mahavidyalya, 353, Somani, NH 6, Rajnandgoan, Chattisgarh-491441.**

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Chhattisgarh, First floor, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh, 492002.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-345/E-375212/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLSRC202515235/ E-89966

Faculty of Education, Khaja Banda Nawaz University, 39, Rouz-E-Buzurg, Kalaburagi, Gulbarga, Karnataka-585104 APPELLANT	Vs	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075 RESPONDENT
---	-----------	--

Representative of Appellant	Prof. Iqbal Mattoo, Dean
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Faculty of Education, Khaja Banda Nawaz University, 39, Rouz-E-Buzurg, Kalaburagi, Gulbarga, Karnataka-585104** dated 14.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order no. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / SRC / PAR / ORDER / SRCAPP15677** dated of 29.05.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institute has not submitted any reply to the Show Caused Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Prof. Iqbal Mattoo, Dean from Faculty of Education, Khaja Banda Nawaz University, 39, Rouz-E-Buzurg, Kalaburagi, Gulbarga, Karnataka-585104 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Ref: Your office communication File No.NCTE/2025/SRC/ PAR/ ORDER/ SRCAPP15677 dated 29-05-2025 Sub: Representation against the withdrawal order issued by your office in the name of Sayyid Akbar Husayni dated 29-5-2025.... Reg. Esteemed Sir, kindly refer to the subject cited above where in we are told that the NCTE has withdrawn the recognition of our Faculty to run the B.Ed. programme for the year 2025-26. In this regard, this is to request that our university has successfully submitted the PAR for the assessment year/s 2021-22and 2022-2023 as notified by the regulatory authority (NCTE, New Delhi) on time. The fee receipts of both the assessment period/s have been successfully deposited. The copies of which is are enclosed (Annexure -1 &2). It needs to be reported here that withdrawal order dated 29-5-25 considers our institution as defaulter at Page 2 as, "AND WHEREAS, in compliance of the decision of the General Body of the Council (NCTE) and directions issued vide above referred public notices, the above institution has not submitted PAR for the academic session(s) 2021-22. The matter was considered by the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE in its meeting no 456 and as per decision taken by the Regional Committee, show cause notice to provide reasonable opportunity to submit written representation before withdrawal of the above recognition/permission under section 17 of NCTE Act, 1993 on the ground of Non-submission of the PAR for the academic session 2021-22 was issued by SRC to the institution through online mode on 07.04.2025, a copy of the same was also sent through speed post."(Annexure-3)

The bottom line of the above contents reveal as if our institution has not submitted the PAR for the year 2021-22. To clear the doubt, we request you to please verify official email of NCTE dated November 5th, 2024, which authenticates that NCTE office has successfully received the PAR 2021-22 from our University (Annexure 4). We request you to kindly revoke the withdrawal order against the Sayyid Akbar Husayni Institution (File No: SRCAPP15677) as we have submitted the PAR 2021-22 on time. Besides, It is requested that future correspondence may please be made as : OLD NAME NEW NAME TO BE READ AS APPROVED BY NCTE REVISED NCTE ORDER NO. (SHIFTING OF PREMISES) SAYYID AKBAR HUSAYNI INSTITUTION (Survey No 14-15) Kalburagi Faculty of Education Khaja Banda Nawaz University (Survey No: 39) Kalburagi, Karnataka -Taluka /Mandal Kalburgi Village / Town/ City, Street Road Rouza – E-Buzurg Gulbarga Kalburgi District- 585104, Karnataka India No: F.SRC/ NCTE / SRCAPP15677/ B.Ed./ 433rd Mtg /KA/2024 / 14464 Dated 14-2-2024 (Annexure 5). Submitted for your kind consideration and necessary actions please. With Regards dean & HOD faculty of education, Kalaburagi.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had been granted recognition in the name of Sayyid Akbar Husayni for B.Ed. Course with an intake of 50 students vide order dated 02.05.2016. Subsequently, the recognition was withdrawn by the Southern Regional Committee on the ground of non-submission of reply to the Show Cause Notice and/or non-filing of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic years 2021–2022 and/or 2022–2023 vide order dated 29.05.2025. It was further noted that, as per the Public Notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was later extended up to 31.12.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 10th Meeting, 2025 & 13th Meeting, 2025 held on 08.08.2025 & 24.09.2025 wherein the Appeal Committee decided to ask the

RD, SRC to submit clarification report of the institution, therefore, the Appeal Committee resolved to keep the matter pending until the required clarifications/documents are submitted by the Regional Director, SRC.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, namely Faculty of Education, Khaja Bandanawaz University, Kalaburagi (formerly Sayyid Akbar Husayni Institution), Karnataka, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises against the withdrawal of recognition order dated 29.05.2025 issued by SRC on account of alleged non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. The appellant submitted that it had duly submitted the PAR for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23 within the prescribed timelines and had also deposited the requisite fee for both sessions, copies of which have been enclosed. It was further submitted that the withdrawal order erroneously records that the institution had not submitted PAR for the academic session 2021–22, whereas the appellant has relied upon an email dated 05.11.2024 issued by NCTE acknowledging receipt of the PAR for the said academic session. The appellant further submitted that it had received the Show Cause Notice issued through online mode on 07.04.2025 and contended that the basis of the impugned withdrawal order is incorrect in view of prior submission of PAR. **The appellant has also submitted that the institution has undergone change of name and shifting of premises from Sayyid Akbar Husayni Institution to Faculty of Education, Khaja Bandanawaz University, Kalaburagi, as approved by NCTE vide order dated 03.09.2019 and further reflected in subsequent revised order dated 14.02.2024.** The appellant has requested revocation of the withdrawal order on the ground that PAR for the academic session 2021–22 was duly submitted and acknowledged, and has sought necessary correction in records and consideration of its case accordingly.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and

repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is ‘Active’ or ‘under judicial stay’.

- **The Council notes that the status of ‘Withdrawn’ recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**

For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements stipulated under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. As per the submissions made by the appellant institution, it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal. The Committee further noted that the appellant institution has neither made any submission nor placed any material on record indicating that any court proceedings were filed or that the matter remained sub judice subsequent to withdrawal of recognition by the concerned Regional Committee. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the stipulated period constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation and that the burden to establish due compliance squarely rests upon the recognized institution through complete, contemporaneous, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in view of the aforesaid binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in accordance with the applicable statutory and regulatory framework. The Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may

be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, and the applicable statutory and regulatory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 29.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Southern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested

right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, and the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 29.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Southern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. Faculty of Education, Khaja Banda Nawaz University, 39, Rouz-E-Buzurg, Kalaburagi, Gulbarga, Karnataka-585104.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Secretary Establishment, Higher Education Dept., Room No. 645 A, 2nd Gate, 6th Floor, M. S. Building, Bengaluru, Karnataka-1.



एन सी टी ई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एन सी टी ई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-473/E- 386207/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515135/E 89966

Late Gafoor Khan Mahavidyalaya, Plot no. 1378, 1379, 1379, 1380/2/4, Babina Kadaura, Jalaun, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh-285203 APPELLANT	Vs	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075 RESPONDENT
--	-----------	---

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Late Gafoor Khan Mahavidyalaya, Plot no. 1378, 1379, 1379, 1380/2/4, Babina Kadaura, Jalaun, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh-285203** dated 13.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **File. No. NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/NRCAPP-3931** dated 29.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from Late Gafoor Khan Mahavidyalaya, Plot no. 1378, 1379, 1379, 1380/2/4, Babina Kadaura, Jalaun, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh-285203 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "Following the notice served by NCTE dated 09.04.2025, we requested NCTE through email on date 10.04.2025 to grant us the access of the portal so that we could submit PAR (Performance Appraisal Report) and if portal had been opened then institute would have submitted the PAR now following the rules and regulation institute is ready for submitting the fee of appeal and requesting for giving us one last chance for submission for eh report please consider the matter in kind way as the fate of students and teachers and working staff are dependent on this."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had been granted recognition for B.Ed. Course with an intake of 100 students vide order dated 06.05.2015. Subsequently, the recognition was withdrawn by the Northern Regional Committee on the ground of non-submission of reply to the Show Cause Notice and/or

non-filing of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic years 2021–2022 and/or 2022–2023 vide order dated 29.05.2025. It was further noted that, as per the Public Notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was later extended up to 31.12.2024.

The instant Matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held on 20.11.2025 wherein the Committee decided that the Regional Director as well as the appellant institution shall apprise the Appeal Committee about the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court in respect of the pending case filed by the institution. In view of the pendency of judicial proceedings, the Committee resolved to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, namely Late Gafoor Khan Mahavidyalaya, Plot No. 1378, 1379, 1380/2/4, Babina Kadaura, Jalaun, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the relevant academic sessions. The appellant submitted that upon receipt of the Show Cause Notice dated 09.04.2025, it had immediately requested the NCTE through email dated 10.04.2025 to grant access to the PAR portal to enable submission of the Performance Appraisal Report; however, the portal was not made accessible. It was submitted that had the portal been opened, the institution would have submitted the PAR within the stipulated requirements. The appellant has further submitted that it is willing to comply with all applicable provisions of the NCTE Act and Regulations and is ready to submit the requisite PAR along with applicable fee, and has requested that a final opportunity be granted for submission of the same. Further, the appellant submitted that it has approached the Hon'ble High Court for inclusion in B.Ed. counselling for the academic session 2025–26, wherein the Hon'ble Court has granted interim relief by staying the operation of the withdrawal of recognition and permitting participation in counselling. A copy of the said court order has been submitted through email and by post for consideration.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs): The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

"3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements stipulated under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. As per the submissions made by the appellant institution, it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal. The Committee further noted the submission of the appellant institution that it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal and subsequent to withdrawal of recognition by the concerned Regional Committee, the institution had filed a court case and that the matter is presently sub judice. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the

Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 29.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Northern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary

evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 29.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Northern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **Late Gafoor Khan Mahavidhyalaya, Plot no. 1378, 1379, 1379, 1380/2/4, Babina Kadaura, Jalaun, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh-285203.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-386/E-378873/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515322/E-89966

Gram Samaj Mahavidyalaya, Plot No. 148, 83mi, Jaisthali Babu Ki Khajuri Azamgarh, Babu Ki Khajuri, Uttar Pradesh, Azamgarh, Pincode – 276204	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Gram Samaj Mahavidyalaya, Plot No. 148, 83mi, Jaisthali Babu Ki Khajuri Azamgarh, Babu Ki Khajuri, Uttar Pradesh, Azamgarh, Pincode - 276204** dated 05/08/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / NRC / PAR / ORDER / UP-1105 /** dated 02/06/2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Gram Samaj Mahavidyalaya, Plot No. 148, 83mi, Jaisthali Babu Ki Khajuri Azamgarh, Babu Ki Khajuri, Uttar Pradesh, Azamgarh, Pincode - 276204** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "Sir, it is requested to inform that our institution Gram Samaj Mahavidyalaya, Jaisthali Babu Ki Khajuri Azamgarh has been recognized vide File No. NRC/NCTE / Recognition /D.El.Ed./ 2016/ 146821-7694 Date. 02/05/2016, 50 Seat 1 Unit, for which it has been stated in the letter issued by you Ref. No. NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/NRCAPP-10824/227735 Date 16.04.2025 that the PAR of session 2021-22 and session 2022-23 has not been filled on time, in respect of which you are to be informed that we tried to fill the form on the last date but could not fill it due to the server not being up to date. We had to submit the reply to NCTE Show Cause Notice on the link provided by you https://par.ncte.gov.in/par_feedback_system/ but received the letter late due to which you are being informed through mail. Therefore, it is a humble request to the Sir to provide another opportunity to fill the PAR so that we can fill the form for session 2021-22 and session 2022-23."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for Secondary (B.Ed.) course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 22.05.2015. The recognition of the institution for B.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 02.06.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 11th Meeting, 2025 & 14th Meeting, 2025 held on 26.08.2025 & 21.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee wherein the Committee decided that the Regional Director as well as the appellant institution shall apprise the Appeal Committee about the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court in respect of the pending case filed by the institution.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, namely Gram Samaj Mahavidyalaya, Jaisthali Babu Ki Khajuri, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh, recognized for D.El.Ed. programme (1 Unit, 50 seats) vide order dated 02.05.2016, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. The appellant submitted that it attempted to submit the PAR within the prescribed timeline; however, due to technical issues relating to server malfunction and portal accessibility, the PAR could not be submitted on the last date. It was further submitted that the Show Cause Notice was received belatedly, due to which the response could not be uploaded on the designated portal and was instead communicated through email. The appellant has submitted that it is willing to comply with all requirements and has requested that an opportunity be granted to submit the pending PAR for the aforesaid academic sessions. Further, the appellant submitted that the matter has been considered by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad in Writ Petition No. 24511 of 2025, wherein vide order dated 29.07.2025, the institution was directed to avail the remedy of statutory appeal. In compliance thereof, the appellant has filed an appeal before the Appellate Authority (Appeal ID: APPLNRC202515322), which is stated to be pending. The appellant has also submitted an undertaking to upload all requisite information and complete the PAR process within the prescribed time, if the portal is made accessible, and has requested

grant of reasonable time for compliance in view of the pendency of the appeal and the directions of the Hon'ble High Court.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

"3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements stipulated under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. As per the submissions made by the appellant institution, it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal. The appellant institution further submitted that the court matter stands disposed of and that the said proceedings now stand closed in terms of the directions issued by the Hon'ble High Court. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed

the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the stipulated period constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation and that the burden to establish due compliance squarely rests upon the recognized institution through complete, contemporaneous, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in view of the aforesaid binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in accordance with the applicable statutory and regulatory framework. The Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, and the applicable statutory and regulatory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 02.06.2025 deserves to be set aside and the

matter remanded to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Northern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, and the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 02.06.2025 and remands the matter to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Northern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. Gram Samaj Mahavidyalaya, Plot No. 148, 83mi, Jaisthali Babu Ki Khajuri Azamgarh, Babu Ki Khajuri, Uttar Pradesh, Azamgarh, Pincode - 276204.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एन सी टी ई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एन सी टी ई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-366/E-376944/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026

APPLSRC202515268/E-89966

Amar Teachers Training Institute (D.Ed.), 95 of Aniganahalli, Bangarpet, Hudukula, Near Omkara Kshetra, Kolar, Karnataka-563114	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Amar Teachers Training Institute (D.Ed.), 95 of Aniganahalli, Bangarpet, Hudukula, Near Omkara Kshetra, Kolar, Karnataka- 563114** dated **10/07/2025** filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **File No. NCTE/2025/SRC/PAR/ORDER/APSO5800/149586-149587** dated 30.05.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from Amar Teachers Training Institute (D.Ed.), 95 of Aniganahalli, Bangarpet, Hudukula, Near Omkara Kshetra, Kolar, Karnataka- 563114 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “With reference to the Show Cause Notice dated 03.05.2025, received by us via registered post on 14.05.2025, we, Amar Teacher Training Institute, Due to the unprecedented situation caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, our institution was non-functional during the academic years 2021-22 and 2022-23. Strict lockdowns and government-imposed restrictions led to the suspension of academic activities, and we were unable to admit students during that period. Despite having adequate infrastructure, qualified faculty, and all necessary facilities in place, we could not conduct classes or run the program, which created a major disruption for us. Furthermore, we would like to bring to your notice that although the official communication through registered post reached us on 14.05.2025, the NCTE online portal shows the notification date as 02.04.2025 and the last date for submission of feedback/reply as 17.04.2025. Due to this discrepancy and delay in postal receipt, we were unable to submit our response through the online portal within the given time frame. Therefore, we were not able to get proper response over phone, and we submitted reply by email and registered post for necessary action. We affirm our continued interest in operating the D.El.Ed. programme and request the NCTE to kindly consider our situation sympathetically. We are fully committed to fulfilling all regulatory requirements and are ready to pay the required annual renewal fees as per norms.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 12.04.2007. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 30.05.2025 on the ground that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The instant matter was placed in its 11th Meeting, 2025 held on 26.08.2025, 14th Meeting held online on 21.11.2025 and 15th Meeting held online on 17.12.2025 and 2nd Meeting, 2026 held on 29.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee wherein the Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution has not furnished the requisite documents. Accordingly, the Committee directed the appellant institution to submit a duly signed undertaking and supporting documents, the Committee resolved to keep the matter in abeyance until the requisite information is received from the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, namely Amar Teacher Training Institute, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. The appellant submitted that due to the COVID-19 pandemic and consequent lockdowns and restrictions, the institution remained non-functional during the aforesaid academic sessions and no admissions were made, resulting in disruption of academic activities and inability to submit the PAR within the stipulated timeline. It was further submitted that the institution continues to possess the requisite infrastructure, qualified faculty and other facilities as per NCTE

norms. The appellant further submitted that the Show Cause Notice dated 03.05.2025 was received through registered post on 14.05.2025, whereas the online portal reflected the notice date as 02.04.2025 with the last date for submission of reply as 17.04.2025, due to which the appellant could not submit its response through the online portal within the prescribed time. It was stated that the reply was thereafter submitted through email and registered post. The appellant has submitted that it remains willing to comply with all regulatory requirements, including payment of applicable fees, and has requested consideration of its case and restoration of recognition for continuation of the D.El.Ed. programme.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***

- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. The Committee further noted that, pursuant to the decision taken by the General Body of the Council in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28.07.2025, the appellant institution had been directed to submit a duly sworn undertaking expressing its willingness to submit the PAR upon reopening of the PAR Portal, subject to payment of the prescribed processing fee, as applicable. The appellant

institution was also specifically directed to furnish the status of any judicial proceedings instituted in relation to withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of PAR, along with certified copies of relevant pleadings/orders, if any. The Committee observed that, despite issuance of formal communication through e-mail and subsequent reminders, the appellant institution has failed to submit the requisite undertaking and supporting documentary material before the Appeal Division. The Committee further noted that neither the appellant institution nor the concerned Regional Committee has furnished any authenticated material regarding the pendency or status of any court proceedings. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee

also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 30.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Southern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall

undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 30.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Southern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **Amar Teachers Training Institute (D.Ed.), 95 of Aniganahalli, Bangarpet, Hudukula, Near Omkara Kshetra, Kolar, Karnataka- 563114.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Secretary Establishment, Higher Education Dept., Room No. 645 A, 2nd Gate, 6th Floor, M.S.Building, Bengaluru, Karnataka-1.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-367/E-376950/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLSRC202515289/E-89966

Bharath Institute of Education, 637, 642/8a, 642/8B, 642/9, 638/4A, Tenkasi-Elathur Main Road, Elathur, Shencottai, Tirunelveli, Tamilnadu-627803	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Bharath Institute of Education, 637, 642/8a, 642/8B, 642/9, 638/4A, Tenkasi-Elathur Main Road, Elathur, Shencottai, Tirunelveli, Tamilnadu-627803** dated 25/07/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **File No. NCTE/2025/SRC/PAR/ORDER/SRCAPP58/150782** dated 06/06/2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that “The Institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Bharath Institute of Education, 637, 642/8a, 642/8B, 642/9, 638/4A, Tenkasi-Elathur Main Road, Elathur, Shencottai, Tirunelveli, Tamilnadu-627803** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “1) This is to respectfully submit that our institution is situated in a remote rural area where internet connectivity is severely limited. Due to the lack of a stable and reliable internet facility, coupled with unforeseen technical glitches, we were unable to upload the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeline. The delay was purely circumstantial and beyond our control, despite our sincere efforts to comply with the schedule. We therefore request that this delay be condoned, and that we be granted the opportunity to complete the submission process. 2) We understand that a show cause notice was issued to our institution. However, we wish to bring to your kind attention that the said notice was not served upon us, as no such communication was received via email, likely due to persistent internet issues affecting our connectivity. We were made aware of the situation only upon receiving information from peer institutions that the National Council for Teacher Education (NCTE) had issued a list titled Public Notice “List of Cases Where Stipulated Time Period of 15 Days is Over and the Institutions Have Not Submitted”. Upon reviewing this list, we found that our college has been listed at Sl. No. 44. We wish to state that no prior intimation—either through the NCTE portal or email, was received by us regarding the default or the expiry of the stipulated time period. We were completely unaware of our inclusion in the default list until this indirect

notification. As soon as we saw the Public Notice, we addressed a written communication via email on 04.06.2025 to the National Council for Teacher Education, requesting an extension of time for submission of PAR. Subsequently, we also sent an email on 09.06.2025 to the National Council for Teacher Education, requesting a copy of the show cause notice as it wasn't served earlier. Pursuant to our non-compliance, an order for withdrawal of recognition was passed on 06.06.2025, which was received by us via Speed Post on 14.07.2025. We respectfully submit this appeal and humbly request that, in view of the institution's continued service to the student community, the employment provided to a substantial number of staff, and the significant investment in infrastructure and establishment made by the management, the Hon'ble Authority may be pleased to set aside the said withdrawal order. In light of the foregoing, we respectfully submit that the non-compliance in question was neither wilful nor deliberate, but occurred due to genuine and unintentional circumstances. We therefore humbly request that the said circumstances be taken into consideration, and that we be granted an extension of time for the submission of the PAR. We further undertake to remit any applicable penalty or late fee that may be imposed in connection with the delayed submission. We further pray that our appeal be considered favourably and that recognition be restored in the interest of equity, justice, and the larger public good."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the B.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 05.03.2017. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 06.06.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended

up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices. The Appeal Committee also noted that the recognition of the institution has been withdrawn in the name of Bharath Institute of Education, whereas the appellant has filed the appeal in the name of Bharath Institute of Education for Women.

The instant matter was placed in its 11th Meeting, 2025 held on 26.08.2025, 14th Meeting held online on 21.11.2025 and 15th Meeting held online on 17.12.2025 and 2nd Meeting, 2026 held on 29.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Committee directed the appellant institution to submit a duly signed undertaking and supporting documents, the Committee resolved to keep the matter in abeyance until the requisite information is received from the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timeframe. The appellant institution submitted that it is located in a remote rural area with limited and unreliable internet connectivity, due to which it was unable to upload the PAR within the prescribed timeline. It was further submitted that the delay occurred due to technical constraints and was not willful, and that efforts were made to comply with the requirements. The appellant further submitted that the Show Cause Notice was not received through email or any other mode, allegedly due to connectivity issues, and that the institution became aware of its default only upon publication of a public notice listing institutions that had not submitted PAR within the stipulated period, wherein the appellant institution was reflected. It was submitted that thereafter, communications were sent by the institution through email dated 04.06.2025 seeking extension of time for submission of PAR and on 09.06.2025 requesting a copy of the Show Cause Notice. The appellant submitted that the withdrawal order dated 06.06.2025 was subsequently received through Speed Post on 14.07.2025. It was further submitted that the non-submission of PAR was neither intentional nor deliberate but occurred due to circumstances beyond control. The institution has stated that it is willing to submit the PAR along with applicable penalty or late fee and has requested that the withdrawal order be set aside and an opportunity be granted for submission of the PAR, citing its continued functioning, infrastructure, and engagement of staff.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- *TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- *TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- *TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. The Committee further noted that, pursuant to the decision taken by the General Body of the Council in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28.07.2025, the appellant institution had been directed to submit a duly sworn undertaking expressing its willingness to submit the PAR upon reopening of the PAR Portal, subject to payment of the prescribed processing fee, as applicable. The appellant institution was also specifically directed to furnish the status of any judicial proceedings instituted in relation to withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of PAR, along with certified copies of relevant pleadings/orders, if any. The Committee observed that, despite issuance of formal communication through e-mail and subsequent reminders, the appellant institution has failed to submit the requisite undertaking and supporting documentary material before the Appeal Division. The Committee further noted that neither the appellant institution nor the concerned Regional Committee has furnished any authenticated material regarding the pendency or status of any court proceedings. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held

on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that “For TEIs whose recognition currently stands ‘Withdrawn’ on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon’ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee).” The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 06.06.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Southern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified

that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law. The SRC shall also look into the aspect of name of the institution as per records of SRC which shows as Bharath Institute of Education, whereas the appellant has filed the appeal mentioning the name as Bharath Institute of Education for Women in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 06.06.2025 and remands the matter to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Southern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **Bharath Institute of Education, 637, 642/8a, 642/8B, 642/9, 638/4A, Tenkasi-Elathur Main Road, Elathur, Shencottai, Tirunelveli, Tamilnadu-627803.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.

4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Secretary Establishment, Higher Education Dept., Room No. 645 A, 2nd Gate, 6th Floor, M. S. Building, Bengaluru, Karnataka-1.
-



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-408/E- 380496/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026

APPLWRC202515236/E 89966

Late Nirdhanrao Patil Waghaye Adhyapak Vidyalaya, Tumsar, Plot No. 48/1, 48/2, 61/1, 61/2, Near Panchayat Samiti, Mangli, Khapa, Bhandara, Maharashtra – 441912	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Late. Nirdhanrao Patil Waghaye Adhyapak Vidyalaya, Tumsar, Plot No. 48/1, 48/2, 61/1, 61/2, Near Panchayat Samiti, Mangli, Khapa, Bhandara, Maharashtra - 441912** dated **14/07/2025** filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / ORDER / APW05302 / 1221424 / 123383 / 2680** dated 16/05/2025 of the Western Regional Committee, Withdrawal recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. (M) (Co.Ed) Course on the grounds that “The Institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Late. Nirdhanrao Patil Waghaye Adhyapak Vidyalaya, Tumsar, Plot No. 48/1, 48/2, 61/1, 61/2, Near Panchayat Samiti, Tumsar, At. Mangli, Po. Khapa, Bhandara, Maharashtra - 441912** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “About to cancel the Withdrawal Order and get the permission for Admission Process.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed.(M) (Co-Ed) course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 31.10.2008. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the WRC vide order dated 16/05/2025 on the ground the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The instant matter was placed in its 12th Meeting, 2025 held on 10.09.2025, 14th Meeting held online on 21.11.2025 and 15th Meeting held online on 17.12.2025 2nd Meeting, 2026 held on 29.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Committee directed the appellant institution to submit a duly signed undertaking and supporting documents, the Committee resolved to keep the matter in abeyance until the requisite information is received from the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal has been preferred against the withdrawal order issued by the competent authority, and the appellant has requested for cancellation of the said withdrawal order and for grant of permission to participate in the admission process.

The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, the submissions and documents placed on record by the appellant institution, and the applicable provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended). The Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 *In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:*

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and

Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. The Committee further noted that, pursuant to the decision taken by the General Body of the Council in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28.07.2025, the appellant institution had been directed to submit a duly sworn undertaking expressing its willingness to submit the PAR upon reopening of the PAR Portal, subject to payment of the prescribed processing fee, as applicable. The appellant institution was also specifically directed to furnish the status of any judicial proceedings instituted in relation to withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of PAR, along with certified copies of relevant pleadings/orders, if any. The Committee observed that, despite issuance of formal communication through e-mail and subsequent reminders, the appellant institution has failed to submit the requisite undertaking and supporting documentary material before the Appeal Division. The Committee further noted that neither the appellant institution nor the concerned Regional Committee has furnished any authenticated material regarding the pendency or status of any court proceedings. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee

also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 16.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Western Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Western Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall

undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 16.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Western Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **Late. Nirdhanrao Patil Waghaye Adhyapak Vidyalaya, Tumsar, Plot No. 48/1, 48/2, 61/1, 61/2, Near Panchayat Samiti, Mangli, Khapa, Bhandara, Maharashtra - 441912.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Elphiston Technical School premises, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Dhobi Talao, Chhatrapati Shivaji Terminus Area, Fort, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-350/E-376396/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLSRC202515310/E-89966

QIS College of Education, 100, Pondur Road, Vengamukkapalem, Ongole, Prakasam Andhra Pradesh-523272.	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of QIS College of Education, 100, Pondur Road, Vengamukkapalem, Ongole, Prakasam Andhra Pradesh-523272 dated 31/07/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. File No. NCTE/2025/SRC/PAR/ORDER/APSO7040/ dated 01/06/2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from QIS College of Education, 100, Pondur Road, Vengamukkapalem, Ongole, Prakasam Andhra Pradesh-523272 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “Temporarily Not Taking Admissions.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the B.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 14.04.2007. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 01.06.2025 on the ground that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The instant matter was placed in its 11th Meeting, 2025 held on 26.08.2025, 14th Meeting, 2025 held on 21.11.2025 and 15th Meeting held online on 17.12.2025

and 2nd Meeting, 2026 held on 29.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Committee directed the appellant institution to submit a duly signed undertaking and supporting documents, the Committee resolved to keep the matter in abeyance until the requisite information is received from the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, wherein it has submitted that the institution is presently not undertaking admissions on a temporary basis.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***

- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. The Committee further noted that, pursuant to the decision taken by the General Body of the Council in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28.07.2025, the appellant institution had been directed to submit a duly sworn undertaking expressing its willingness to submit the PAR upon reopening of the PAR Portal, subject to payment of the prescribed processing fee, as applicable. The appellant institution was also specifically directed to furnish the status of any judicial proceedings

instituted in relation to withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of PAR, along with certified copies of relevant pleadings/orders, if any. The Committee observed that, despite issuance of formal communication through e-mail and subsequent reminders, the appellant institution has failed to submit the requisite undertaking and supporting documentary material before the Appeal Division. The Committee further noted that neither the appellant institution nor the concerned Regional Committee has furnished any authenticated material regarding the pendency or status of any court proceedings. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v.*

NCTE [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 01.06.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Southern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter

strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 01.06.2025 and remands the matter to the Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Southern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. QIS College of Education, 100, Pondur Road, Vengamukkapalem, Ongole, Prakasam Andhra Pradesh-523272.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.

4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Andhra Pradesh, J Block, 3rd Floor, Room No. 312, Andhra Pradesh Secretariat, Hyderabad-500022.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-59/E-396484/2026 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026

APPLWRC202515395/E-89966

Rajiv Gandhi College of Diploma in Education, Survey No. 51 and 52, Allapalli Road, Chamorshi, Gadchiroli, Maharashtra – 442707.	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Rajiv Gandhi College of Diploma in Education, Survey No. 51 and 52, Allapalli Road, Chamorshi, Gadchiroli, Maharashtra - 442707** dated 03.10.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **F. No.NCTE/2025/WRC/PAR/ORDER/APW02611/122445/2791** dated 26.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. (Marathi) Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

The Representative of **Rajiv Gandhi College of Diploma in Education, Survey No. 51 and 52, Allapalli Road, Chamorshi, Gadchiroli, Maharashtra - 442707** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "Temporarily college admission process was stand still since 2016-17. Due to Non-availability of students for admission please consider our request."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. (Marathi) course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 12.04.2007. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the WRC vide order dated 26.05.2025 on the ground that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, namely Rajiv Gandhi College of Diploma in Education, Ashti, Tah. Chamorshi, District Gadchiroli, Maharashtra, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of withdrawal of recognition on account of non-filing of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR). The appellant institution submitted that the admission process of the college has remained non-operational since the academic session 2016–17 due to non-availability of students. It has requested that its case be considered in view of the said circumstances. The appellant – further submitted, vide representation dated 06.04.2026 (received on 09.04.2026), an undertaking stating that no court case has been filed in respect of the appeal against the withdrawal order. It has also requested that an opportunity be granted to submit the pending PAR details through the online portal and to permit restarting of the institution.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the prescribed timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements stipulated under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and

Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. As per the submissions made by the appellant institution, it could not submit the PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 on account of the reasons stated in the appeal. The Committee further noted that the appellant institution has neither made any submission nor placed any material on record indicating that any court proceedings were filed or that the matter remained sub judice subsequent to withdrawal of recognition by the concerned Regional Committee. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the stipulated period constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation and that the burden to establish due compliance squarely rests upon the recognized institution through complete, contemporaneous, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in view of the aforesaid binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in accordance with the applicable statutory and regulatory framework. The Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi-vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, and the applicable statutory and regulatory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective consideration of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 26.5.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Western Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Western Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of

opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, and the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 26.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Western Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)



Copy to :-

1. **Rajiv Gandhi College of Diploma in Education, Survey No. 51 and 52, Allapalli Road, Chamorshi, Gadchiroli, Maharashtra - 442707.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Elphiston Technical School premises, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Dhobi Talao, Chhatrapati Shivaji Terminus Area, Fort, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400001.



एन सी टी ई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एन सी टी ई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-62/E-397023/2026 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026

APPLNRC202515273/E-89966

Deepak Bhadauriya Teachers Training College, Gata No. 1531, Doharu, Gujela, Ghatampur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh – 209206.	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Deepak Bhadauriya Teachers Training College, Gata No. 1531, Doharu, Gujela, Ghatampur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh - 209206** dated 06.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2627202505315922/MADHYA PRADESH/2025/REJC/2448** dated 22.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notices."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one of Deepak Bhadauriya Teachers Training College, Gata No. 1531, Doharu, Gujela, Ghatampur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh - 209206 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "Since the college is located in the rural area of Kanpur city, it was not possible to fill the performance appraisal report (PAR) on time due to lack of resource and network problem."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 29.04.2017. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 22.05.2025 on the ground that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timeframe. The appellant institution submitted that it is located in a rural area of Kanpur and, due to lack of adequate resources and network connectivity issues, it was not possible to submit the PAR within the prescribed timeline.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***

- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. The Committee further noted that the appellant institution was specifically directed to furnish the status of any judicial proceedings instituted in relation to withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of PAR, along with certified copies of relevant pleadings/orders, if any. The Committee observed that, despite issuance of formal communication through e-mail and subsequent reminders, the appellant institution has failed to submit the requisite undertaking and supporting

documentary material before the Appeal Division. The Committee further noted that neither the appellant institution nor the concerned Regional Committee has furnished any authenticated material regarding the pendency or status of any court proceedings. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that “For TEIs whose recognition currently stands ‘Withdrawn’ on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon’ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee).” The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and

developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 22.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure)

Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Northern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 22.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Northern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

- 1. Deepak Bhadauriya Teachers Training College, Gata No. 1531, Doharu, Gujela, Ghatampur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh - 209206.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**

3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075. .
 4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.
-



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-68/E-397019/2026 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026

APPLNRC202515272/E-89966

Kuwar Sanjeev Singh College of Education, Gata No. 1531, Dohru Gujela, Ghatampur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh – 209206.	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Kuwar Sanjeev Singh College of Education, Gata No. 1531, Dohru Gujela, Ghatampur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh - 209206** dated 06.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/NRCAPP-11146** dated 28.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Kuwar Sanjeev Singh College of Education, Gata No. 1531, Dohru Gujela, Ghatampur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh - 209206** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "Since the college is located in the rural area of Kanpur, it was not possible to fill the performance appraisal report (PAR) on time due to lack of resources and network problem."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 29.04.2017. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 28.05.2025 on the ground that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timeframe. The appellant institution submitted that it is situated in a rural area of Kanpur and, due to lack of resources and network connectivity issues, it was not possible to submit the PAR within the prescribed timeline.

The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, the submissions and documents placed on record by the appellant institution, and the applicable provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended). The Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

"3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***

- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. The Committee further noted that the appellant institution was specifically directed to furnish the status of any judicial proceedings instituted in relation to withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of PAR, along with certified copies of relevant pleadings/orders, if any. The Committee observed that, despite issuance of formal communication through e-mail and subsequent reminders,

the appellant institution has failed to submit the requisite undertaking and supporting documentary material before the Appeal Division. The Committee further noted that neither the appellant institution nor the concerned Regional Committee has furnished any authenticated material regarding the pendency or status of any court proceedings. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v.*

NCTE [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 28.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with

the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Northern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 28.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Northern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

- 1. Kuwar Sanjeev Singh College of Education, Gata No. 1531, Dohru Gujela, Ghatampur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh - 209206.**

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
 4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.
-



एन सी टी ई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एन सी टी ई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-74/E-397248/2026 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026

APPLWRC202515156/E-89966

Bharati Vidyapeeth Deemed to be University College of Physical Education, Survey No. 26, 27, Dhankawadi, Pune Satara Road, Haveli, Pune, Maharashtra - 411043.	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector - 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Bharati Vidyapeeth Deemed to be University College of Physical Education, Survey No. 26, 27, Dhankawadi, Pune Satara Road, Haveli, Pune, Maharashtra - 411043** dated 21.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **F. NCTE/2025/WRC/PAR/ORDER/APP997/2110** dated 14.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting M.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice"

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Bharati Vidyapeeth Deemed to be University College of Physical Education, Survey No. 26, 27, Dhankawadi, Pune Satara Road, Haveli, Pune, Maharashtra - 411043** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "With due respect, we, Bharati Vidyapeeth Deemed University College of Physical Education, Pune, humbly submit our reply to the Show Cause Notice referenced above received today 26/04/2025 (by post) inward no 07/ at 4.30 PM. We sincerely regret the non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic session 2022-23. The delay was completely unintentional and occurred due to certain unforeseen circumstances. It came to our notice that the email ID registered with NCTE records (bera_yoga@yahoo.com) belongs to our former Principal. As a result, we were unable to receive timely communications regarding the submission requirements. In addition, during the said period, our institution, along with the university, was extensively engaged in preparations for the NAAC Peer Team Visit, which demanded the full involvement of our faculty, administrative staff, and other resources. Owing to these pressing commitments, the submission of the PAR could not be completed within the stipulated timeframe. 1.We received the Show Cause Notice on 26/04/2025 and immediately submitted our response, expressing sincere regret for the unintentional delay in submitting the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic year 2021-22 and 2022–23. The delay occurred due to unforeseen factors, including the use of an outdated email ID (of a former Principal) in NCTE records, which prevented timely communication. 2.We

also faced technical difficulties with the NCTE portal, which we communicated to your office along with a request for a password reset, as we were unable to log in through the registered email ID. We kindly requested urgent assistance to regain portal access to ensure the submission of the required data. 3. We had also sent a mail to request an appointment to present the same and seek any guidance regarding the process (02 May 2025). 4. Subsequently, we personally visited the NCTE office and submitted the PAR reports both 2021–22 and 2022–23, in hard copy on 6th May 2025. We also forwarded email of that receiving office copy of the submission of PAR – hard copy (14 May 2025). 5. We once again sent a email to assure you that the delay was completely unintentional and that corrective measures have been implemented to prevent recurrence. We have updated our contact details with NCTE, and future correspondence should be directed to our official email ID: copepune@bharativedyapeeth.edu. And also requested to allow us to submit the PAR report on the NCTE portal. 6. In light of the above, we earnestly request your kind reconsideration of the withdrawal order. We are fully committed to adhering to all NCTE norms and procedures, and we are prepared to furnish any additional documents or clarifications that may be required. 7. We are attempting to submit our appeal today using the link given by you: <https://ncte.gov.in/Appeal/Form/login.aspx>. However, we are facing issues as our login credentials are not working. Due to this problem, we were previously also unable to submit the PAR report, and today also we are again unable to file the appeal online mode. We kindly request you to understand the challenges we are facing and accept our appeal and PAR submission via email or postal correspondence. Additionally, we request that you provide us with our login credentials so that such issues do not arise in the future. We respectfully request to be given one opportunity to continue offering the M.P.Ed programme.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the M.P.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 40 students vide order dated 20.05.2013. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 14.05.2025 on the ground that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, namely Bharati Vidyapeeth Deemed University College of Physical Education, Pune, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. The appellant institution submitted that the Show Cause Notice was received by post on 26.04.2025, and that the delay in submission of PAR was unintentional and occurred due to use of an outdated email ID (belonging to a former Principal) in NCTE records, resulting in non-receipt of communications. It was further submitted that the institution was concurrently engaged in preparations for a NAAC Peer Team Visit, which required extensive involvement of faculty and administrative resources. The appellant further submitted that it faced technical difficulties in accessing the NCTE portal, including issues relating to login credentials and password reset, and had communicated the same to the concerned office. It was stated that the institution sought an appointment for guidance and also visited the NCTE office, where it submitted hard copies of the PAR for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23 on 06.05.2025, followed by email communication forwarding proof of submission. The appellant submitted that it has since updated its official email ID with NCTE and has requested permission to submit the PAR through the online portal. It has also stated that it encountered difficulties in filing the appeal online due to login issues and requested that its appeal and PAR submissions be accepted through email or postal mode, along with provision of login credentials for future compliance. The appellant has requested reconsideration of the withdrawal order and sought an opportunity to continue offering the M.P.Ed. programme.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate

action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. The Committee further noted that the appellant institution was specifically directed to furnish the status of any judicial proceedings instituted in relation to withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of PAR, along with certified copies of relevant pleadings/orders, if any. The Committee observed that, despite issuance of formal communication through e-mail and subsequent reminders, the appellant institution has failed to submit the requisite undertaking and supporting documentary material before the Appeal Division. The Committee further noted that neither the appellant institution nor the concerned Regional Committee has furnished any authenticated material regarding the pendency or status of any court proceedings. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that

“For TEIs whose recognition currently stands ‘Withdrawn’ on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon’ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee).” The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 14.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Western Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Western Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant

institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 14.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Western Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **Bharati Vidyapeeth Deemed to be University College of Physical Education, Survey No. 26, 27, Dhankawadi, Pune Satara Road, Haveli, Pune, Maharashtra - 411043.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Elphiston Technical School premises, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Dhobi Talao, Chhatrapati Shivaji Terminus Area, Fort, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-76/E-397029/2026 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515264/E- 89966

Prachi Teachers Training College, Gata No. 105 mi, Fattepur, Dakshin Sen, Paschim Para, Bidhnu, Meharban Singh Ka Purwa to Ramaipur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh – 209305.	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Prachi Teachers Training College, Gata No. 105 mi, Fattepur, Dakshin Sen, Paschim Para, Bidhnu, Meharban Singh Ka Purwa To Ramaipur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh - 209305** dated 11.04.2024 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **F. NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/NRCAPP-10827** dated 13.04.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from Prachi Teachers Training College, Gata No. 105 mi, Fattepur, Dakshin Sen, Paschim Para, Bidhnu, Meharban Singh Ka Purwa to Ramaipur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh - 209305 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "Since the college is located in the rural area for Kanpur City. It was not possible to fill the performance appraisal report (PAR) on time due to lack of resources and EWA network problems."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 29.04.2017. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 27.05.2025 on the ground that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timeframe. The appellant institution submitted that it is situated in a rural area of Kanpur and, due to lack of resources and network-related issues, including EWA network problems, it was not possible to submit the PAR within the prescribed timeline.

The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, the submissions and documents placed on record by the appellant institution, and the applicable provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended). The Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***

- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. The Committee further noted that the appellant institution was also specifically directed to furnish the status of any judicial proceedings instituted in relation to withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of PAR, along with certified copies of relevant pleadings/orders, if any. The Committee observed that, despite issuance of formal communication through e-mail and subsequent reminders,

the appellant institution has failed to submit the requisite undertaking and supporting documentary material before the Appeal Division. The Committee further noted that neither the appellant institution nor the concerned Regional Committee has furnished any authenticated material regarding the pendency or status of any court proceedings. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 27.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Northern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on

the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 27.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Northern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. Prachi Teachers Training College, Gata No. 105 mi, Fattepur, Dakshin Sen, Paschim Para, Bidhnu, Meharban Singh Ka Purwa To Ramaipur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh - 209305.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एन सी टी ई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एन सी टी ई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-77/E-398798/2026 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026
APPLNRC202515199/E-89966

Prachi Teachers Training College, Gata No. 105 mi, Fattepur, Dakshin Sen, Paschim Para, Bidhnu, Meharban Singh Ka Purwa To Ramaipur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh - 209305.	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Sh. Anoop Kumar Mishra, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Prachi Teachers Training College, Gata No. 105 mi, Fattepur, Dakshin Sen, Paschim Para, Bidhnu, Meharban Singh Ka Purwa To Ramaipur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh - 209305** dated 21.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **F. No. NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/NRCAPP-10823** dated 28.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Sh. Anoop Kumar Mishra, Principal of Prachi Teachers Training College, Gata No. 105 mi, Fattepur, Dakshin Sen, Paschim Para, Bidhnu, Meharban Singh Ka Purwa to Ramaipur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh - 209305 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "Since the college is located in the rural area for Kanpur City. It was not possible to fill the performance appraisal report (PAR) on time due to lack of resources and EWA network problems."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the B.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 12.07.2017. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 28.05.2025 on the ground that the institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timeframe. The appellant institution submitted that it is located in a rural area of Kanpur and, due to lack of resources and network-related issues, including EWA network problems, it was not possible to submit the PAR within the prescribed timeline.

The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, the submissions and documents placed on record by the appellant institution, and the applicable provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended). The Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. The Committee further noted the appellant institution was specifically directed to furnish the status of any judicial proceedings instituted in relation to withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of PAR, along with certified copies of relevant pleadings/orders, if any. The Committee observed that,

despite issuance of formal communication through e-mail and subsequent reminders, the appellant institution has failed to submit the requisite undertaking and supporting documentary material before the Appeal Division. The Committee further noted that neither the appellant institution nor the concerned Regional Committee has furnished any authenticated material regarding the pendency or status of any court proceedings. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee

also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 28.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Northern Regional Committee, being

the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 28.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Northern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **Prachi Teachers Training College, Gata No. 105 mi, Fattepur, Dakshin Sen, Paschim Para, Bidhnu, Meharban Singh Ka Purwa To Ramaipur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh - 209305.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एन सी टी ई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 11.05.2026

एन सी टी ई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-84/E-396866/2026 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2026

APPLNRC202515347/E-89966

Ram Bhajan Singh Shikshan Prashikshan Sansthan, Plot No. 170, Barewan Bharehtha, Narayanpur, Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh – 231304.	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	24.04.2026
Date of Pronouncement	11.05.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Ram Bhajan Singh Shikshan Prashikshan Sansthan, Plot No. 170, Barewan Bharehtha, Narayanpur, Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh - 231304** dated 18.08.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **F. No. NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/NRCAPP-7568** dated 29.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The Institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Ram Bhajan Singh Shikshan Prashikshan Sansthan, Plot No. 170, Barewan Bharehtha, Narayanpur, Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh - 231304** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 24.04.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "Our institute Ram Bhajan Singh Teaching Training Institute Barewan Bharehtha Chunar Mirzapurs Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) session 2020-2021, 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 has been filled. Even after this, a show case notice has been issued by NCTE. An appeal is being made against this."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee, in its 6th Meeting, 2026 held online on 24th April 2026, considered the case of the appellant institution and carefully examined the Appeal Report, the impugned order passed by the concerned Regional Committee, the records available on file, the documents placed on record, and the submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 03.03.2015. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 29.05.2025 on the ground that on the grounds that the Institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last

date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Appeal Committee considered the case in the present meeting and noted the submissions of the appellant institution, namely Ram Bhajan Singh Teaching Training Institute, Barewan Bharehtha, Chunar, Mirzapur, wherein it has submitted that the present appeal arises out of issuance of show cause notice and subsequent proceedings relating to non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR). The appellant institution submitted that it has already submitted the PAR for the academic sessions 2020–2021, 2021–2022 and 2022–2023, and that despite such submission, a show cause notice was issued by the NCTE. It has further submitted that the present appeal has been preferred against the said action.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The requirement includes both submission of institutional information through the online portal and payment of the prescribed fee. The Committee also noted that adequate publicity and repeated opportunities were provided by the Council to ensure compliance by all TEIs. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- *TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.*
- *TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.*
- *TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.*

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- *The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.*
- *For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."*

The Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution has failed to submit the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated timeframe and continues to remain in non-compliance with the mandatory requirements prescribed under the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the directions issued by the Council from time to time. The Committee further noted that the appellant institution was

specifically directed to furnish the status of any judicial proceedings instituted in relation to withdrawal of recognition on account of non-submission of PAR, along with certified copies of relevant pleadings/orders, if any. The Committee observed that, despite issuance of formal communication through e-mail and subsequent reminders, the appellant institution has failed to submit the requisite undertaking and supporting documentary material before the Appeal Division. The Committee further noted that neither the appellant institution nor the concerned Regional Committee has furnished any authenticated material regarding the pendency or status of any court proceedings. The Committee, while considering the binding decision of the General Body of the Council taken in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, specifically noted that the said decision squarely applies to the present case and, inter alia, provides that "For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court, the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from the competent legal authority (i.e. the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee)." The Committee further noted that, as per the aforesaid decision, the Council had directed the NCTE Appellate Committee to hear and dispose of appeals filed by such defaulting TEIs seeking restoration on a fast-track basis.

The Appeal Committee further noted the legal opinion furnished by the Legal Division of NCTE Headquarters, wherein it has, inter alia, been advised that matters pending before a Court of competent jurisdiction may either be kept in abeyance or proceeded with subject to the outcome of such judicial proceedings. The said legal opinion further provides that, in appropriate cases, matters may be processed on the basis of the material available on record. The Committee observed that submission of PAR within the prescribed timeline constitutes a mandatory statutory and regulatory obligation under the NCTE Act, 1993, read with the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the binding decisions of the General Body of the Council. The burden to establish compliance squarely lies upon the recognized institution and such compliance is required to be strict, contemporaneous, and supported by complete, verifiable, and duly authenticated documentary evidence. The Committee further observed that, in the absence of the requisite supporting documentary evidence, the matter requires examination by the competent Regional Committee in light of the binding policy decision of the General Body dated 25.11.2025 and the legal position emerging from the opinion of the Legal Division. The Committee also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Rambha College of Education v.*

NCTE [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016] recognized that subsequent material and developments brought on record at the appellate stage may be considered by the Appellate Authority and that the matter may appropriately be remitted for reconsideration in accordance with law.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

Noting the Appeal Report, the submissions placed on record, the material available in the appeal file, the binding decisions of the General Body, the opinion tendered by the Legal Division, and the applicable statutory framework, and upon careful, independent, and objective examination of the entire matter, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the impugned order dated 29.05.2025 deserves to be set aside and the matter remanded to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution is directed to forward to the Regional Committee concerned immediately upon receipt of this order, all documents submitted along with the present appeal, including any additional material sought to be relied upon by it. Such remand is considered necessary to facilitate due examination of the records and documents placed on record and to ensure that the matter is examined strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), applicable guidelines, and the binding

decisions/resolutions of the General Body. The Northern Regional Committee, being the competent statutory authority and custodian of institutional records, shall undertake a comprehensive, independent, and reasoned examination of the matter strictly in accordance with law and the applicable regulatory framework. It is clarified that the present remand shall not be construed either as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case or as conferring any equity or vested right upon the appellant institution. It is further clarified that the appellant institution shall be required to establish strict, complete, and demonstrable compliance with all prescribed statutory and regulatory requirements through duly authenticated and verifiable documentary evidence, and the matter shall thereafter be dealt with by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

IV. DECISION:

After careful consideration of the Appeal Report, the impugned order, the material available on record, the submissions of the appellant institution, the binding decisions of the General Body dated 25.11.2025, and the legal opinion of the Legal Division, the Appeal Committee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, hereby sets aside the impugned order dated 29.05.2025 and remands the matter to the Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with law. The appellant institution shall immediately forward all documents submitted along with the appeal to the Regional Committee concerned. The Northern Regional Committee shall examine the matter afresh in terms of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the binding decisions of the General Body, and applicable guidelines, and thereafter pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time-bound manner. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **Ram Bhajan Singh Shikshan Prashikshan Sansthan, Plot No. 170, Barewan Bharehtha, Narayanpur, Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh - 231304.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.

4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.