



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-16/E-394899/2026 Appeal/1st Meeting, 2026

APPLNRC202515176/ E-89305

Adarsh Shikshan Prashikshan College, Plot No. 705, Robertsganj, Urmaura, Sonebhadra, Uttar Pradesh – 231216	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Mr. Raj Kumar Singh, Clerk
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	12.01.2026
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Adarsh Shikshan Prashikshan College, Plot No. 705, Robertsganj, Urmaura, Sonebhadra, Uttar Pradesh – 231216** dated 23.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **File. No. NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/NRCAPP-5551** dated 20/05/2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that “The justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Raj Kumar Singh, Clerk of Adarsh Shikshan Prashikshan College, Plot No. 705, Robertsganj, Urmaura, Sonebhadra, Uttar Pradesh – 231216 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 12.01.2026. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “1. This is an order passed against the institute without giving proper time and opportunity present the case before concerned authority. 2. The Impugned order can affect career of students enrolled in the institution. 3. The appellant seeking your kind permission to present the requisite in time.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 1st Meeting, 2026 held online on 12th January 2026 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 1st Meeting held online on 12.01.2026, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 20.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 15.05.2015, and that the NRC subsequently withdrew this recognition on 20.05.2025 on the ground of non-submission of the mandatory Performance Appraisal

Reports (PARs) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. Although the deadline for submission of PARs, initially fixed as 10.11.2024, was extended up to 31.12.2024 through successive Public Notices, the appellant institution failed to comply. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance until the final decision of the Hon'ble High Court is received in the matter of the writ petition filed by the appellant institution or any specific direction is received from the Hon'ble High Court regarding final disposal of the appeal.

The instant matter placed in its 1st Meeting (2026) held online on 12.01.2026 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***

- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Appeal Committee noted that the Appellant Institution filed a Writ-C No.-27270 of 2025 titled as Adarsh Shikshan Prashikshan College Robertsganj and another Vs. National Council for Teacher Education and 2 others before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad and the Hon'ble High Court passed an order dated 13.08.2025. The operative part of the order is as under:

"...7. Taking into consideration of the entirety of the matter as well as submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and averments made in the petition, I am of the opinion that since the appeal is pending before the appellate authority, the petitioner shall allow to participate in the ongoing counselling, subject to the outcome of the statutory appeal preferred by the petitioner. ..."

The Committee also took note of the judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, including *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P. (C) 3231/2016, judgment dated 23.02.2017], as well as orders dated 08.04.2021 in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 and 30.07.2021 in W.P. (C) 7260/2021, which mandate that subsequent documents submitted in the appeal must be considered.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

The Appeal Committee, noting the above directives and the binding resolution of the General Body, finds that although the appellant institution was indeed deficient for failing to submit the PARs within the prescribed timelines, it nevertheless falls within the category of institutions permitted to avail the final, one-time opportunity for PAR submission, subject strictly to fulfilment of the conditions stipulated by the General Body. Accordingly, the appeal warrants disposal by way of remand, solely to give effect to the Council's binding decision and to ensure procedural fairness.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee hereby remands the matter to the Northern Regional Committee (NRC) with a specific direction that the appellant institutions shall be permitted to apply afresh and re-submit duly completed PARs upon reopening of the PAR Portal, in accordance with the General Body's mandate. The concerned Regional Committees shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable SOPs, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all connected records before passing any consequential order. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the NRC, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, all documents submitted before the Appeal Committee, whereupon the NRC shall proceed strictly in accordance with law and in conformity with the directions set out herein.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 20.05.2025 and remand back the case to Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)
Appeal ID: APPLNRC202515176

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Adarsh Shikshan Prashikshan College, Plot No. 705, Robertsganj, Urmaura, Sonebhadra, Uttar Pradesh – 231216.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.