



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-493/E- 391899/2025 Appeal/15th Meeting, 2025

APPLWRC202515459/E-89067

Adarsh Mahavidhyalaya, Khasra No. 748/603, Bikaner Road, Sardarshahar, Churu, Rajasthan – 331403	Vs	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	The Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	16.12.2025
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Adarsh Mahavidhyalaya, Khasra No. 748/603, Bikaner Road, Sardarshahar, Churu, Rajasthan - 331403** dated 07.12.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2627202509263366/RAJASTHAN/2025/REJC/1979** dated 18.11.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The institution is not running multi-disciplinary courses in the field of liberal arts, humanities, social sciences, commerce or mathematics as per Regulation No. NCTE-Regl011/80/2018-MS(Regulation)-HQ dated 26.10.2021 as amended from time to time and Public Notice No. NCTE-Regl012/7/2025-Reg.Sec-HQ dated 12.09.2025. The institution does not fall in the category of multi-disciplinary institution."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

The Representative of **Adarsh Mahavidhyalaya, Khasra No. 748/603, Bikaner Road, Sardarshahar, Churu, Rajasthan - 331403** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 16.12.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "Since the space in this box is short to give reasoned explanation hence a separate sheet is uploaded with this online appeal giving the reasoned explanations against the ground on which the grant of recognition has been rejected."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held online on 16th December 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 05.10.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 18.11.2025.

The instant matter placed in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held on 16.12.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The appellant institution, in its appeal and during the hearing, contended that the deficiencies have been duly rectified and that the documents now furnished establish compliance with the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations, therefore, its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee upon perusal of the records and after hearing the oral submissions of the appellant, noted that the institution has placed on record a compliance report along with various supporting documents in purported fulfillment of the deficiencies cited in the impugned refusal order.

The Committee further noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 - Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee after careful perusal of the appeal report, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, and the claims put forth by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 18.11.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for fresh consideration. The Regional Committee shall ensure assessing the case of the appellant institution comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, considering all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The Regional Committee shall also ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal

aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the Regional Committee shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the direction contained herein.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 18.11.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for fresh consideration in accordance with the directions specified hereinabove. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the direction contained herein.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

- 1. The Principal, Adarsh Mahavidhyalaya, Khasra No. 748/603, Bikaner Road, Sardarshahar, Churu, Rajasthan - 331403.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
- 3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
- 4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.**



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-483/E- 390010/2025 Appeal/15th Meeting, 2025

APPLWRC202515429/ E-89067

D. P. Chaturvedi Science, Commerce, Arts and Education College, Khasra No. 45/27, 45/28, 33/6, 34/6, C. V. Raman Ward Barapathar, Seoni, Near DEO Office, Madhya Pradesh – 480661	Vs	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. K. K. Chaturvedi, Director
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	16.12.2025
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **D. P. Chaturvedi Science, Commerce, Arts and Education College, Khasra No. 45/27, 45/28, 33/6, 34/6, C V Raman Ward Barapatthar, Seoni, Near DEO Office, Madhya Pradesh – 480661** dated 10.11.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2627202505164655/MADHYAPRADESH/2025/REJC/648** dated 12.09.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "1. The institution has not uploaded NIRF Ranking Certificate. 2. The institution has not uploaded letter of recommendation of the State Government / UT Administration. 3. The institution has not uploaded letter of NOC of affiliating University. 4. The institution has not filled the name of society/parent body in the column of parent organization. 5 In lieu of uploading the Not-for-Profit Certificate, the institution has uploaded Certificate issued by the Chartered Accountants. The institution has not uploaded Not-for-Profit Certificate issued by Competent Authority of State Government. 6. The institution has not uploaded Exemption Certificate (12A) issued by Competent Government Authority. 7. The institution has not uploaded the details of all Society/Trust/Members with PAN and Aadhar number. 8. The institution has not uploaded initial affiliation orders issued by the Affiliating University for all multi-disciplinary programmes. 9. The name of the applicant institution is "D. P. Chaturvedi Science Commerce Arts and Education College" whereas the name of the institution mentioned in recognition order of teacher education programme is "Shri Ram Adarsh Education Society" and name of the institution mentioned in the university letter of affiliation with regard to Multi-disciplinary Programmes uploaded by the institution is "D. P. Chaturvedi College". The names are different. Therefore, as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time, the application of the institution does not fall in the category of multi-disciplinary institution. 10. The institution has not uploaded Revised Recognition Order for teacher education programme in the name of applicant institution issued by NCTE. 11. The institution has not uploaded details of admitted students for all running courses. 12. The institution has uploaded Land document in respect of Khasra no. 45/3 area 0.120 hq. Name of the organization Shri Ram Adarsh Shiksha Samiti registered dated 05.09.2005 and Khasra no. 33/2 area

0.303 hq. Name of the organization Shri Krashan Kumar Chaturvedi dated 26.08.2008. The Name of the institution and Khasra No. is different from the online application. The institution has not uploaded certified land documents clearly mentioning all the Khasra/Survey/Plot Nos. and all relevant details in the name of applicant institution/parent body issued by Competent Authority of State Government and the building is situated on a single plot. 13. The institution has uploaded letter (Mutation) in respect of Khasra no. 45/27. Letter not uploaded in respect of Khasra No. 45/28, 33/6, 34/6 which are mentioned in online ITEP application by the institution. The institution has not uploaded Mutation Certificate mentioning Khasra/Plot/Survey No. and all relevant details issued by Competent Authority of State Government. 14. The institution has uploaded letter (CLU) in respect of Khasra no. 45/27, 45/28 issued by Karyalaya Sahayak Sanchalak Nagar tath Gram Nivesh. Name of the institution in individual person name i.e. Shri K.K. Chaturvedi. But letter not uploaded in respect of Khasra No.33/6, 34/6. The institution has not uploaded Land Use Certificate (CLU) mentioning all Khasra/Plot/Survey No. and all relevant details for education purpose issued by Competent Government Authority. 15. The institution has uploaded Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the Karyala Mukhayala Up Panjiyak, Sivni in respect of Khasra No.45/27, 45/28. But letter (NEC) has not been uploaded in respect of Khasra No.33/6, 34/6. The institution has not uploaded latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) mentioning all relevant details issued by Competent Authority of State Government indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances issued by Competent Government Authority. 16. In geographic location, full name of the institution is showing in google map as D.P. Chaturvedi College, whereas in online ITEP application, name of institution mentioned as D.P. Chaturvedi Science Commerce Arts and Education College. Both names are different. 17. The institution has not uploaded Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of State Government mentioning the name of institution, Khasra/Plot/Survey No. and mentioning the total land area and total built-up area earmarked for each course being run in the premises and the demarcated land area and built-up area for the teacher education programmes including multi-disciplinary programmes. 18. The institution has not uploaded Building Safety Certificate in adherence of safety guidelines as prescribed by National/State Disaster Management Authority 11/10/25, 3:03 PM Gmail - Refusal Order for not fulfilling basic criteria <https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=60545b4c12&view=pt&search=all&permthid=thr>

ead-f:1843047844334659005&siml=msg-f:1843047844334... 1/2 issued by Competent Government Authority. 19. The institution has not uploaded Fire Safety Certificate issued by Fire Safety Department, Government of Madhya Pradesh verifiable on the official portal of the Fire Department, Government of Madhya Pradesh. 20. The institution has not uploaded latest Building Completion Certificate (BCC) in the prescribed format of NCTE (17 points) issued by the Competent Government Authority for all the courses being conducted in the premises. 21. The institution has not uploaded geotag photos with different angles of Lift, Ramp, Electricity Connection, Safe Drinking Water and Accessible Toilet, indicating the longitude and latitude with date of photograph. 22. The institution has not uploaded geotag photos with different angles of front view, rear view, multipurpose hall, library, lab 1, lab 2, lab 3 and playground indicating the longitude and latitude with date of photograph. 23. The website of institutions has not been updated and maintained in compliance to provisions under Clause 7(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. K. K. Chaturvedi, Director of D. P. Chaturvedi Science, Commerce, Arts and Education College, Khasra No. 45/27, 45/28, 33/6, 34/6, C V Raman Ward Barapatthar, Seoni, Near DEO Office, Madhya Pradesh – 480661 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 16.12.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "1. The name of institute is marked at number 679 of the 7th number folder in the NIRF submission list, Ranking score has not yet been displayed by the NIRF. 2. It is clarified that the State Government grants approval only after the recognition of courses by ITEP and NCTE. The correspondence with the State Government is enclosed. Along with this, several documents related to D.P. Chaturvedi Science, Commerce, Arts and Education College Seoni- which had earlier received NCTE recognition followed by Government NOC and University affiliation — are enclosed. 3. The NOC showing that the institution is opening B.Sc. B.Ed. and B.A. B.Ed. courses under Raja Shankar Shah University Chhindwara Madhya Pradesh is enclosed. 4. The society name Shri Ram Adarsh Shiksha Samiti Seoni Madhya Pradesh is our parent body, whose President is Dr. K.K. Chaturvedi. Affidavit for managing the institution is enclosed. 5. A Not-for-Profit Certificate issued under Section 10(c) is enclosed. The certificate has been

submitted through the Income Tax Department portal. 6. The institution has applied for registration under Section 12A of the income tax department. The application is under process. 7. PAN and Aadhaar numbers of all members of the Shri Ram Adarsh Shiksha Samiti Seoni Madhya Pradesh Society are enclosed and verified. Including with Section 27 Certificate from Registrar, Firm and Society, Jabalpur (M.P.). 8. The college was started by the name of D.P. Chaturvedi Science, Commerce, College Seoni (M.P.) has been functioning with initial approvals from the Department of Higher Education, Government of Madhya Pradesh, and Rani Durgavati Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur (M.P.) Since 2005, Arts and Education faculties have also been started. in this way the intuition is a multi-disciplinary with 4 major faculty (Science, Commerce, Arts and Education) Government NOC and University affiliation are enclosed and verified.9. At the time of granting permission for the B.Ed. course, the approval was issued in the name of Shriram Adarsh Shiksha Samiti, D.P. Chaturvedi Science, Commerce, Arts and B.Ed. College. In this regard, the institution had corresponded earlier for changing the name of the college D.P. Chaturvedi Science, Commerce, Arts and Education College Seoni, Run by Shriram Adarsh Shiksha Samiti, and the correspondence is still continuing. The institution has already made the necessary corrections with the Government and the University. Therefore, based on the correspondence, the NCTE is required to make the necessary corrections accordingly. Students from various faculties of our college have received more than 53 gold medals over the past 15 years under the multi-disciplinary category. The list is enclosed. 10. It is clarified that the institution received NCTE WRC recognition for B.Ed. in 2005, and Revised Orders in 2015. Revised Copies are enclosed and verified.11. It is clarified that the verified list of enrolled students in the multidisciplinary institute D.P. Chaturvedi Science, Commerce, Arts and Education College Seoni M.P. has been enclosed. The list is certified by Principal, PM Shri Excellence Govt. PG College Seoni (M.P.). 12. All these land and plots (Khasra No. 45/27, 45/28, 33/6, and 34/6.) are registered in the name of Shri Ram Adarsh Shiksha Samiti Seoni Madhya Pradesh The related ownership papers, revenue records, and Khasra details are all updated and enclosed. 13. Mutation Certificate copies are enclosed and verified. 14. The land use certificate (CLU) is enclosed. 15. Updated documents related to Khasra No. 45/27, 45/28, 33/6, 34/6 Non-Encumbrance Certificate have been submitted and verified.16. On Google Maps, the institution name "D.P. Chaturvedi Science, Commerce, Arts and Education College Seoni M.P."

is correctly displayed. Screenshot and ID proof are enclosed and verified.17. The total built-up area from Ground to 3rd floor is 46208 Sq. ft. (4292.8637 Sq. meter.) and for B.Ed. 3500 Sq. meters is used reaming area is used for multi- disciplinary programmes.18. Building Safety Certificate issued by the P.W.D. Department Seoni (M.P.) is verified and enclosed. 19. Fire Safety Certificate is enclosed. 20. Building Completion Certificate (BCC) according to NCTE format is enclosed. 21. Geotag photos with different angles of Lift, Ramp, Electricity Connection, Safe Drinking Water and Accessible Toilet are enclosed. 22. Geotag photos with different angles of multipurpose hall, library, Lab-1,2,3 and playground, are enclosed. 23. Website has been updated according to the norms of NCTE."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held online on 16th December 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 28.05.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 12.09.2025.

The instant matter placed in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held on 16.12.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee examined the documents submitted along with the appeal, and further noted the following deficiency:

- (i) The institution failed to attain the minimum threshold of 10 points under the shortlisting criteria approved by the Council in its 60th General Body Meeting, which constitutes a mandatory and pre-condition requirement for processing of applications under the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) for the academic session 2025–2026, as duly notified by the Council vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024.
- (ii) In view of the institution's non-fulfilment of the aforesaid mandatory eligibility criterion, the institution is not prima facie eligible for consideration under ITEP, and, accordingly, examination of other

material deficiencies does not arise unless and until the basic eligibility requirement is duly satisfied.

Hence, the Appeal Committee after perusing the documents which were made available on records is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above grounds. The Appeal Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 12.09.2025 issued by WRC is confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 12.09.2025 issued by WRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 12.09.2025 issued by WRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

- 1. The Principal, D. P. Chaturvedi Science, Commerce, Arts and Education College, Khasra No. 45/27, 45/28, 33/6, 34/6, C V Raman Ward Barapatthar, Seoni, Near DEO Office, Madhya Pradesh – 480661.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
- 3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
- 4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 2nd floor, Annex-3, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh-462004.**



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-490/E-389854/2025 Appeal/15th Meeting, 2025

APPLERC202515456/E 89067

Dr. B. K. B. College, Plot No. - Campus 1 (Patta No. 134), Puranigudam, National Highway 37, Barhampur, Nagaon, Assam – 782141	Vs	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	The Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, ERC
Date of Hearing	16.12.2025
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Dr. B. K. B. College, Plot No. - Campus 1 (Patta No. 134), Puranigudam, National Highway 37, Barhampur, Nagaon, Assam - 782141** dated 04.12.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. NCTE/ERC/2526202405203233/ASSAM/2024/REJC/1476** dated 14.11.2025 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "1. The Show Cause Notice post LOI was issued to the institution on 24.06.2025, the institution submitted reply of Show Cause Notice on 25.06.2025. 2. The institution has not submitted fully qualified teaching faculties clearly indicating their subjects along with respective degrees viz. NET/SLET/Ph.D., etc. for B.A. B.Ed. Programme (Secondary-one unit), duly approved by the concerned affiliating body."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

The Representative of Dr. B. K. B. College, Plot No. - Campus 1 (Patta No. 134), Puranigudam, National Highway 37, Barhampur, Nagaon, Assam - 782141 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 16.12.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "I, on behalf of Dr. B.K.B. College, Puranigudam, Nagaon, Assam, most respectfully submit this appeal under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the refusal order issued by the Eastern Regional Committee (ERC) vide F. No. NCTE/ERC/2526202405203233/ASSAM/2024/REJC/1476 dated 14/11/2025 regarding our ITEP application for the session 2025–26. We humbly seek reconsideration of the refusal order on the following grounds: 1. Applicability of the Old SOP for Session 2025–26 Our institution submitted the ITEP application for the academic session 2025–26, under the then-prevailing SOP, which clearly mandated: Two Show Cause Notices (SCNs) after the Letter of Intent (LOI) before any refusal decision could be made. The new SOP, introduced subsequently, should not be retrospectively applied to applications belonging to the earlier cycle (Session 2025–26). Our application was processed entirely under the old SOP framework until the LOI stage hence, natural justice and procedural fairness demand that the remaining

process must be completed strictly under the same SOP. 2. Several Institutions of the Same Cycle Received Two SCNs but We Did Not We bring to your kind notice that other institutions who applied along with us for the same session received two SCNs, as mandated under the old SOP. However, Dr. B.K.B. College was never issued the second SCN, even though: The Minutes of the 376th ERC Meeting (10–11 September 2025) explicitly stated that a second SCN would be issued, and we were required to reply within 7 days. Despite this official decision, no second SCN was ever uploaded in the portal or delivered via email. This constitutes a clear procedural lapse and a denial of the opportunity to submit our duly updated and corrected faculty list. 3. We Were Processed Under the New SOP in the Middle of the Procedure Our institution had successfully cleared all stages of the initial scrutiny, received the Letter of Intent, submitted the LOI compliance within the stipulated time, and was thereafter awaiting the second SCN as required under the old SOP. However, it appears that in the midst of the ongoing process, the evaluation mechanism was shifted to the newly introduced SOP framework. As a result, the second SCN explicitly mentioned in the ERC minutes was never issued, depriving us of the opportunity to upload the corrected and university-approved faculty list that was already prepared and ready for submission. Consequently, the refusal order issued by the ERC stands in contradiction to the recorded decisions of the Committee. This abrupt mid-process transition to a new SOP not only caused procedural inconsistency but also amounts to a violation of the principles of natural justice, particularly when our institution was fully prepared to comply with all requirements. 4. Larger Social & Academic Impact: Students from Marginalized Backgrounds Will Benefit Our institution is rural college situated in the Puranigudam area of Nagaon District and caters predominantly to students from disadvantaged socio-economic backgrounds, including those from rural and remote villages. A significant section of our student community belongs to the Tea Tribe community, who are among the most socio-economically backward groups in Assam and face severe educational disadvantages. In addition, a substantial number of our learners come from the Karbi Pahar (Karbi Anglong Hill District) comprising ST (Hills) students with limited access to integrated teacher education pathways. Moreover, the majority of our student population consists of female students whose higher education opportunities are constrained by social, financial and geographic barriers. In this context, the establishment of the ITEP programme at our institution would be truly

transformative. It would provide high-quality teacher education within reachable distance, promote the economic upliftment of rural families, empower female, tribal, and Tea Tribe community students, enhance employability and upward social mobility, and contribute directly to the broader objectives of NEP 2020. Granting recognition to our institution would therefore serve substantial public interest and advance educational equity in the region. 5. Our Institution Is NAAC 'A' Grade – Highest in Entire Nagaon District Dr. B.K.B. College proudly holds a NAAC Grade "A" in the 3rd cycle NAAC assessment with the highest score in the entire Nagaon district, reflecting our strong academic standards, competent and qualified faculty, good infrastructure, and a dynamic academic ecosystem capable of effectively delivering the ITEP programme. In light of these credentials, it appears inconsistent and unjust that an institution of such caliber has been denied recognition without being given the opportunity to submit the corrected and university-approved faculty list, especially when all necessary documents were ready for compliance. 6. We Possess the Corrected, Fully Qualified Faculty List Approved by the University We prepared the corrected faculty list containing complete subject-wise qualifications, including NET, SLET, and Ph.D. details, and duly approved by the parent university and was already in our possession at the time when the second SCN was expected. We were merely awaiting the issuance of the SCN to upload these documents formally on the portal. Denying recognition without affording us the opportunity to submit this updated and approved faculty list is therefore procedurally improper and contrary to the principles of fair evaluation. In light of the facts, procedural lapses, and substantial grounds presented above, we humbly request the Honorable Appellate Authority to set aside the refusal order dated 14/11/2025 and restore our ITEP application for the session 2025–26. We further pray that the ERC may kindly be directed to issue the second SCN as mandated under the old SOP and permit us to upload the corrected and university-approved faculty list. We assure full compliance with all requirements and reaffirm our commitment to upholding the highest standards of teacher education. We humbly request the Honorable Appellate Authority to grant justice by restoring our application and enabling us to complete the process as per the SOP applicable to our session."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held online on 16th December 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Eastern Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 30.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the ERC vide order dated 14.11.2025.

The instant matter placed in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held on 16.12.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The appellant institution, in its appeal and during the hearing, contended that the deficiencies have been duly rectified and that the documents now furnished establish compliance with the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations, therefore, its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee upon perusal of the records and after hearing the oral submissions of the appellant, noted that the institution has placed on record a compliance report along with various supporting documents in purported fulfillment of the deficiencies cited in the impugned refusal order.

The Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 - Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 14.11.2025 and remand

the matter to the Eastern Regional Committee (ERC) with a direction to verify the documents submitted in Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the ERC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the ERC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the ERC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to ERC with a direction to verify the authenticity of the documents submitted before Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the ERC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the ERC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the ERC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Dr. B. K. B. College, Plot No. - Campus 1 (Patta No. 134), Puranigudam, National Highway 37, Barhampur, Nagaon, Assam - 782141.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Assam, Assam Secretariat, Block 'C', 3rd Floor, Secretariat Road, Dispur, Guwahati, Assam-781006.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-486/E-391227/2025 Appeal/15th Meeting, 2025

APPLWRC202515443/E-89067

Shri Shankaracharya Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 97/2, Junwani Bhilai, Smriti Nagar, Near Petrol Pump, Durg, Chhattisgarh – 490020	Vs	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Mr. I.P. Mishra, Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	16.12.2025
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Shri Shankaracharya Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 97/2, Junwani Bhilai, Smriti Nagar, Near Petrol Pump, Durg, Chhattisgarh - 490020** dated 24.11.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202404252365 / CHATTISGARH / 2024 / REJC / 725** dated 30.09.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "1. The institution has not uploaded certified registered land documents mentioning all Khasra Nos. showing that the building is situated on a single plot. 2. The institution has uploaded Building Safety Certificate without indicating date of issue and validity period of the certificate. 3. The institution has uploaded Building Completion Certificate (BCC) mentioning Khasra Number 97/2 whereas Khasra Nos.97/2,86/7,98/7,86/16,98/13, 86/21,98/17,102/6,102/7,8/5,102/12,103/3,103/4,103/5,98/3,98/10,86/4, 108 are mentioned in the column of land details of online application portal. Further, the institution has mentioned in the uploaded BCC that land is situated on multiple plots whereas as per NCTE Norms, the land and building required to be situated on a single plot. In uploaded BCC, total land area 5.4575 acre and total built-up area 7396.69 sq. mtr. mentioned, which is completely earmarked for teacher education programmes. 4. The institution is conducting degree courses i.e. B.A. with intake of 120, B.Sc. with intake of 480, BCA with intake of 60, B.Com. with intake of 480, BBA with intake of 120, M.A. (English, Economics, PSY, SCO) with intake of 100, M.Sc. (Maths, Physics, Chemistry, Computer Science) with intake of 110 combined intake of 1470. The sufficiency of land and built-up area for 1470 intake of multidisciplinary courses and 100 intake for D.El.Ed., 200 intake for B.Ed., 50 intake for M.Ed. courses and 24 units (1200 intake) for proposed ITEP cannot be ascertained. 5. The institution has uploaded copy of correspondence made with the Bank for salary of teaching staff. However, the institution has not uploaded bank statement of last six months indicating the transaction of the salary / remuneration to its teaching staff. 6. The website of institution has not been updated and maintained in compliance to provisions under Clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time. 7. The institution has uploaded NEC mentioning its validity for 2023- 24

and 2024-25 (for 2 years). The institution has not uploaded latest Non- Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) with all khasra nos. issued by competent authority of State Government for 2025-26 for which the institution has applied for grant of recognition. 8. The institution has uploaded CLU for residential purpose, however it is not for educational purpose. 9. The institution has uploaded Fire Safety Certificate issued on 20.06.2024 valid for one year, which was expired on 19.06.2025. 10. The institution has not uploaded Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of State Government mentioning the name of institution, Khasra/Plot/Survey Number and mentioning the total land area and total built-up area earmarked for each course being run in the premises and the demarcated land and built-up area for the teacher education programmes including multidisciplinary programmes. 11. The institution has not mentioned academic session in the uploaded list of teaching staff.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. I.P. Mishra, Representative of Shri Shankaracharya Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 97/2, Junwani Bhilai, Smriti Nagar, Near Petrol Pump, Durg, Chhattisgarh - 490020 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 16.12.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “1. The college has uploaded all the certified land-related documents, wherein the following Khasra numbers are distinctly mentioned: 97/2, 86/7, 98/7, 86/16, 98/13, 86/21, 98/17, 102/6, 102/7, 98/5, 102/12, 103/3, 103/4, 103/5, 98/3, 98/10, 86/4, and 108. All these Khasra numbers are interlinked and form a contiguous land parcel. The main building of the college is located on Khasra No. 97/2(Area of Khasra 97/2 is 1.05 acres), while the remaining Khasras comprise adjoining areas such as open grounds and parking spaces that are integrally connected to it. A certified copy of the relevant land documents has already been submitted to the NCTE and re-submitted for verification. In view of the fact that the entire land forms a single unified parcel with the main building situated on Khasra No. 97/2, it is requested that the certified documents furnished by the college be considered valid. WebLink... 2. The earlier format was adopted from the National Building Code of India however, in response to the latest query, a revised version of the Building Safety Certificate has been enclosed. WebLink-...3. The college has uploaded all certified land-related documents wherein the following Khasra numbers are clearly mentioned: 97/2, 86/7, 98/7, 86/16, 98/13, 86/21, 98/17, 102/6, 102/7, 98/5, 102/12, 103/3, 103/4, 103/5,

98/3, 98/10, 86/4, and 108. All these Khasra numbers are interconnected and form a single contiguous land parcel. The main building of the college is located on Khasra No. 97/2, while the adjoining Khasras are part of the same property and are utilized for ancillary purposes such as parking and open spaces. A certified copy of the land documents has already been submitted to the NCTE and re-submitted for verification. In view of the fact that the entire property constitutes one unified land parcel with the main academic building situated on Khasra No. 97/2, it is requested that the certified documents submitted by the college be treated as valid and in order. The college operates in two shifts, thereby ensuring the efficient and optimal utilization of its infrastructure and resources. Web Link.... 4. Shri Shankaracharya Mahavidyalaya confirms compliance with NCTE Regulations, 2014 and Draft 2025 for a total intake of 3020 students (1470 multidisciplinary: B.A., B.Sc., BCA, B.Com., BBA, M.A., M.Sc. 350 teacher education: D.El.Ed., B.Ed., M.Ed. 1200 proposed ITEP: 24 units). Operating in two shifts (Morning Shift, 1520 students, UG/PG + M.Ed. Afternoon Shift, 1500 students, D.El.Ed., B.Ed., ITEP), our shift system optimizes shared facilities (library with 31000+ books, ICT center) while ensuring dedicated teacher education spaces, including ITEP-specific pedagogy labs and internship centers, aligning with NEP 2020. The college timetable has been designed to facilitate the working of the institution in two shifts to ensure the effective management of other classes. The institution complies with the infrastructure requirements laid down by NCTE and UGC. Related Supporting documents are already uploaded to the NCTE portal. WebLink-... 5. The college has uploaded the bank statements of the teaching staff for the last six months. Each page of the monthly salary statement has been duly certified by the bank and is hereby re-submitted for your kind perusal. Web Link.... 6. The institutions website has been duly updated in compliance with the provisions of Clauses 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14), and 10(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The relevant links reflecting these updates have also been provided in the response to the final show-cause notice for reference. WebLink- 7(14) (i) Sanctioned programmes... Faculty Details.... Students admitted Fee Details.... Infrastructural facilities..... Library Information-.... 8(6) Copy of the affidavit-...8(14) Mandatory disclosure.... 10(3) Financial Management- Audit Report-.. 7. The college has duly uploaded and submitted the Non-Encumbrance Certificates (NEC) for the academic sessions 2023-24 and 2024-25. At present, the NCTE has directed the submission of the NEC for the academic session 2025- 26.

In this regard, it is respectfully submitted that the academic session 2025-26 is presently ongoing and has not yet concluded. Accordingly, the issuance of the new NEC for this session can only be undertaken by the competent authority, i.e., the Office of the Sub-Registrar, Durg, upon completion of the ongoing session. Therefore, it is requested that the NECs already uploaded for the sessions 2023- 24 and 2024-25 be considered valid for the time being, and permission may kindly be granted to submit the NEC for the session 2025-26 after the conclusion of the current academic session. Web Link-.... 8. The Land Use Certificate submitted by the college has been issued by the Joint Director, Town and Country Planning, Regional Office, Durg (Chhattisgarh), wherein the land use has been classified as "Residential." In this context, it is respectfully submitted that, as per the order issued by the Government of Chhattisgarh, Department of Environment and Urban Development, Ministry, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, the land specified in Schedule- 1 is permissible for use under the "Residential" category. Furthermore, as per the said order (Page No. 7 of the document uploaded by the college), land categorized as "Residential" may also be utilized for the establishment of educational institutions or research centers. Accordingly, the land on which the college is situated is fully compliant with the provisions of the State Government and is valid for the establishment of an educational institution. Under these provisions, the use of residential land for educational purposes stands duly permitted. In light of the above, it is humbly requested that the NCTE may kindly accept the submitted Land Use Certificate as valid and in accordance with the norms prescribed by the State Government. WebLink-....9. The institution has uploaded its Fire Safety Certificate, originally issued on June 20, 2024, and valid until June 19, 2025. This certificate was submitted in response to the final show-cause notice on June 2, 2025. Subsequently, the institution applied for the renewal of the Fire Safety Certificate on May 24, 2025, under application number DUR00017076. The renewed certificate was issued in advance on June 13, 2025, and remains valid for one year, up to June 12, 2026. The renewed Fire Safety Certificate has been duly updated on the official Government Fire Safety portal, and the relevant verification link is provided below for reference. WebLink-... 10. The building plan uploaded by the institution pertains to Khasra No. 97, while the adjoining Khasra numbers are part of the same land parcel and are utilized for parking and playground purposes. The submitted building plan of the college has been duly signed and approved by the Competent Authority. WebLink...

11. In the final show-cause notice, the institution was directed to submit the latest list of teaching staff, duly approved and countersigned by the Registrar of the affiliating body. In compliance with this directive, the certified list for the current academic session has been uploaded however, due to a clerical oversight, the specific session was inadvertently not mentioned. Additionally, the bank statements for the last six months, reflecting the salary/remuneration of all teachers listed in the current sessions teaching staff list, have been uploaded. Each months statement has been duly certified by the bank. The same documents are hereby re-submitted for your kind perusal.

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held online on 16th December 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 31.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 30.09.2025.

The instant matter placed in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held on 16.12.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. The appellant institution, in its appeal and during the hearing, contended that the deficiencies have been duly rectified and that the documents now furnished establish compliance with the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations, therefore, its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee upon perusal of the records and after hearing the oral submissions of the appellant, noted that the institution has placed on record a compliance report along with various supporting documents in purported fulfillment of the deficiencies cited in the impugned refusal order.

The Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 - Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 30.09.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a **direction to verify the documents submitted in Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the WRC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations.** The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the WRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the WRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

IV. **DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to WRC **with a direction to verify the authenticity of the documents submitted before Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the WRC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations.** The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the WRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the WRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)
Appeal ID: APPLWRC-202515443

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Shri Shankaracharya Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 97/2, Junwani Bhilai, Smriti Nagar, Near Petrol Pump, Durg, Chhattisgarh - 490020.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Chhattisgarh, First floor, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh, 492002.



एनसीटीई अपीलुीय प्रलधलकरण डें/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राषुुीय अध्यापक शलक्षा परलषदु (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेकुटर-10 दुवरका, नई दलल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दलनलंक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधलनलड की धारा 18 के तहत दलयर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-318/E-374991/2025 Appeal/15th Meeting, 2025

APPLWRC202515265/E-89067

Dnyansagar Adhyayak Vidyalaya Devlali, 9/12, Devlali, Karmala, Sholapur, Maharashtra- 413203	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	17.12.2025
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Dnyansagar Adhyayak Vidyalaya Devlali, 9/12, Devlali, Karmala, Sholapur, Maharashtra– 413203** dated **05/07/2025** filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / ORDER / APW06418 / 1221898 / 2494-2499** dated 27/05/2025 of the Western Regional Committee, Withdrawal recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The Institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Dnyansagar Adhyayak Vidyalaya Devlali, 9/12, Devlali, Karmala, Sholapur, Maharashtra– 413203** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 17.12.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “Supreme Court order dated 26/04/2012”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held online on 17th December 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The instant matter was placed in its 10th held on 08.08.2025, 13th Meeting, 2025 held on 24.09.2025 and 14th Meeting held online on 21.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant opportunities to the appellant institution with the direction to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The Appeal Committee, in its 15th Meeting held online on 17.12.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 27.05.2025 issued by the Western Regional Committee (WRC), the documents placed on record. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 03.09.2008, and that the WRC subsequently withdrew this recognition on 27.05.2025 on the ground of non-submission of the mandatory Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. Although the deadline for submission of PARs, initially fixed as 10.11.2024, was extended up to 31.12.2024 through successive Public Notices, the appellant institution failed to comply.

The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) **Stipulation of Consequence:**

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) **Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:**

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Committee also took note of the judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, including Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P. (C) 3231/2016, judgment dated 23.02.2017], as well as orders dated 08.04.2021 in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 and 30.07.2021 in W.P. (C) 7260/2021, which mandate that subsequent documents submitted in the appeal must be considered.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

"Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner."

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

The Appeal Committee, noting the above directives and the binding resolution of the General Body, finds that although the appellant institution was indeed deficient for failing to submit the PARs within the prescribed timelines, it nevertheless falls within the category of institutions permitted to avail the final, one-time opportunity for PAR submission, subject strictly to fulfilment of the conditions stipulated by the General Body. Accordingly, the appeal warrants disposal by way of remand, solely to give effect to the Council's binding decision and to ensure procedural fairness.

Noting the submissions, and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee hereby remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a specific direction that the appellant institutions shall be permitted to apply afresh and re-submit duly completed PARs upon reopening of the PAR Portal, in accordance with the General Body's mandate. The concerned Regional Committees shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable SOPs, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all connected records before passing any consequential order. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the WRC, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, all documents submitted before the Appeal Committee, whereupon the WRC shall proceed strictly in accordance with law and in conformity with the directions set out herein.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 27.05.2025 and remand back the case to Western Regional Committee for fresh consideration strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Dnyansagar Adhyayak Vidyalaya Devlali, 9/12, Devlali, Karmala, Sholapur, Maharashtra- 413203.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Elphiston Technical School premises, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Dhobi Talao, Chhatrapati Shivaji Terminus Area, Fort, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-451/E- 384991/2025 Appeal/15th Meeting, 2025

APPLSRC202515303/E-89067

Holy Angels Teacher Training Institute for Women, 206, 8/62 Jadayampalayam, Mettupalayam, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu-641302	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Mr. R. Rubymary, Correspondent
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	17.12.2025
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Holy Angels Teacher Training Institute for Women, 206, 8/62 Jadayampalayam, Mettupalayam, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu-641302** dated 29.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **File No. NCTE/2025/SRC/PAR/ORDER/APSO3660** dated 02.06.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. R. Rubymary, Correspondent of Holy Angels Teacher Training Institute for Women, 206, 8/62 Jadayampalayam, Mettupalayam, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu-641302 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 17.12.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "With reference to your letter on 02.06.2025 regarding the withdrawal order for our institute Holy Angels Teacher Training Institute for Women. 1. We would like to furnish our grievances for not applying for PAR online Date 31.10.2024, students did not join the D.El.Ed. course for the Academic Year 2021-2022, 2022-2023 inspite of the effects taken by the management and staffs and no response from the students. 2. We did not get the Government Quota of D.El.Ed. from the DIET. 3. The stability, the Sanitary Certificate, the Fire NOC, Building License are all valid till the current date. 4. We received the Show Cause Notice on the following dates 04.04.2025, 07.04.2025, 28.04.2025, 01.05.2025 and the reply letter has been sent on 17.04.2025, 16.05.2025 institution in this place covering the Nilgiris district for the women candidates from Tea Plucking Community, so please consider us to conduct the course in future."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held online on 17th December 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents

available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The instant matter was placed in its 14th Meeting held online on 20.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant second and final opportunity to the appellant institution with the direction to submit the aforesaid documents mentioned therein.

The Appeal Committee, in its 15th Meeting held online on 17.12.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 02.06.2025 issued by the Southern Regional Committee (SRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 09.01.2007, and that the SRC subsequently withdrew this recognition on 02.06.2025 on the ground of non-submission of the mandatory Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. Although the deadline for submission of PARs, initially fixed as 10.11.2024, was extended up to 31.12.2024 through successive Public Notices, the appellant institution failed to comply. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits.

The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- **TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.**
- **TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.**
- **TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.**

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- **The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.**
- **For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."**

The Committee also took note of the judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, including *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P. (C) 3231/2016, judgment dated 23.02.2017], as well as orders dated 08.04.2021 in W.P.

(C) 4382/2021 and 30.07.2021 in W.P. (C) 7260/2021, which mandate that subsequent documents submitted in the appeal must be considered.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

The Appeal Committee, noting the above directives and the binding resolution of the General Body, finds that although the appellant institution was indeed deficient for failing to submit the PARs within the prescribed timelines, it nevertheless falls within the category of institutions permitted to avail the final, one-time opportunity for PAR submission, subject strictly to fulfilment of the conditions stipulated by the General Body. Accordingly, the appeal warrants disposal by way of remand, solely to give effect to the Council's binding decision and to ensure procedural fairness.

Noting the submissions, and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee hereby remands the matter to the Southern Regional Committee (SRC) with a specific direction that the appellant institutions shall be permitted to apply afresh and re-submit duly completed PARs upon reopening of the PAR Portal, in accordance with the General Body's mandate. The concerned Regional

Committees shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable SOPs, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all connected records before passing any consequential order. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the SRC, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, all documents submitted before the Appeal Committee, whereupon the SRC shall proceed strictly in accordance with law and in conformity with the directions set out herein.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 02.06.2025 and remand back the case to Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Holy Angels Teacher Training Institute for Women, 206, 8/62 Jadayampalayam, Mettupalayam, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu-641302.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Govt. of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George, Chennai, Tamil Nadu-600009.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-358/E-377037/2025 Appeal/15th Meeting, 2025
APPLNRC202515315/ E-89067

Nawal Kishore Bhartiya Municipal Girls (Pg) College, 109,110,113,112,116,119,120, Sambhal Gate, Chandausi, Sambhal, Uttar Pradesh-244412	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Bhawana Bisht, Assistant Professor
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	17.12.2025
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Nawal Kishore Bhartiya Municipal Girls (Pg) College, 109,110,113,112,116,119,120, Sambhal Gate, Chandausi, Sambhal, Uttar Pradesh-244412** dated **02/08/2025** filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **File no. NCTE / 2025 / NRC / PAR / ORDER / UP- 117** dated 29/05/2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting M.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Bhawana Bisht, Assistant Professor of Nawal Kishore Bhartiya Municipal Girls (Pg) College, 109,110,113,112,116,119,120, Sambhal Gate, Chandausi, Sambhal, Uttar Pradesh-244412 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 17.12.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "As the former in-charge faculty of education retired on 30-06-2025 therefore due to reasons unknown the problem occurred so kindly give us permission to admit first year students for 25-26 so that process of admission can proceed smoothly considering the future of students kindly accept our appeal."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held online on 17th December 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The instant matter was placed in its 11th Meeting, 2025 held on 26.08.2025 and 14th Meeting held online on 21.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in

abeyance with the direction to the Appellant Institution to submit the aforesaid documents mentioned therein.

The Appeal Committee, in its 15th Meeting held online on 17.12.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 29.05.2025 issued by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the M.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 25 students vide order dated 25.09.2004, and that the NRC subsequently withdrew this recognition on 29.05.2025 on the ground of non-submission of the mandatory Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. Although the deadline for submission of PARs, initially fixed as 10.11.2024, was extended up to 31.12.2024 through successive Public Notices, the appellant institution failed to comply. The Committee further observed that the appeal dated 13.08.2025 suffers from a delay of 4 days beyond the statutory period prescribed under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and that the institution has offered no cogent explanation for such delay.

The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

"3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Committee also took note of the judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, including *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P. (C) 3231/2016, judgment dated 23.02.2017], as well as orders dated 08.04.2021 in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 and 30.07.2021 in W.P. (C) 7260/2021, which mandate that subsequent documents submitted in the appeal must be considered.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

The Appeal Committee, noting the above directives and the binding resolution of the General Body, finds that although the appellant institution was indeed deficient for failing to submit the PARs within the prescribed timelines, it nevertheless falls within the category of institutions permitted to avail the final, one-time opportunity for PAR submission, subject strictly to fulfilment of the conditions stipulated by the General Body. Accordingly, the appeal warrants disposal by way of remand, solely to give effect to the Council’s binding decision and to ensure procedural fairness.

Noting the submissions, and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee hereby remands the matter to the Northern Regional Committee (NRC) with a specific direction that the appellant institutions shall be permitted to apply afresh and re-submit duly completed PARs upon reopening of the PAR Portal, in accordance with the General Body’s mandate. The concerned Regional Committees shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable SOPs, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all connected records

before passing any consequential order. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the NRC, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, all documents submitted before the Appeal Committee, whereupon the NRC shall proceed strictly in accordance with law and in conformity with the directions set out herein.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 29.05.2025 and remand back the case to Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Nawal Kishore Bhartiya Municipal Girls (Pg) College, 109,110,113,112,116,119,120, Sambhal Gate, Chandausi, Sambhal, Uttar Pradesh-244412.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-300/E-373625/2025 Appeal/15th Meeting, 2025
APPLSRC202515237/E-89067

Nigama College of Education, plot no. 1546/b, Survey no. 1546/b, sambaiahpally, Sultanabad, karimn, Sultanabad, Telangana – 505186	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	The Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	17.12.2025
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Nigama College of Education, plot no. 1546/b, Survey no. 1546/b, sambaiahpally, Sultanabad, karimn, Sultanabad, Telangana - 505186** dated 13/07/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No.NCTE/2025/SRC/PAR/ORDER/SRCAPP1606/148337** dated 15/05/2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The Justification/reply given by the institution against Show Cause Notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

The Representative of Nigama College of Education, plot no. 1546/b, Survey no. 1546/b, sambaiahpally, Sultanabad, Karmin, Sultanabad, Telangana - 505186 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 17.12.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "We would like to bring to your kind attention that our institution has not had any admissions for the past two years. As a result, we did not upload the PAR (Performance Appraisal Report) details during this period. We sincerely regret this oversight and assure you that we will ensure timely uploading of the PAR details from the upcoming academic year onwards. We kindly request you to consider this as our first lapse and extend us a chance to upload the par details of our institution. We humbly request you to withdraw the withdrawal order issued against us and allow us to continue our operations. Thank you for your understanding and support."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held online on 17th December 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The instant matter was placed in its 9th held on 26.07.2025, 13th Meeting, 2025 held on 24.09.2025 and 14th Meeting held online on 21.11.2025 before the Appeal

Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (second) opportunity to the appellant institution with the direction to submit the aforesaid documents mentioned therein.

The Appeal Committee, in its 15th Meeting held online on 17.12.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 15.05.2025 issued by the Southern Regional Committee (SRC), the documents placed on record. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 10.09.2012, and that the SRC subsequently withdrew this recognition on 15.05.2025 on the ground of non-submission of the mandatory Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. Although the deadline for submission of PARs, initially fixed as 10.11.2024, was extended up to 31.12.2024 through successive Public Notices, the appellant institution failed to comply.

The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Committee also took note of the judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, including Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P. (C) 3231/2016, judgment dated 23.02.2017], as well as orders dated 08.04.2021 in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 and 30.07.2021 in W.P. (C) 7260/2021, which mandate that subsequent documents submitted in the appeal must be considered.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

"Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand

is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

The Appeal Committee, noting the above directives and the binding resolution of the General Body, finds that although the appellant institution was indeed deficient for failing to submit the PARs within the prescribed timelines, it nevertheless falls within the category of institutions permitted to avail the final, one-time opportunity for PAR submission, subject strictly to fulfilment of the conditions stipulated by the General Body. Accordingly, the appeal warrants disposal by way of remand, solely to give effect to the Council's binding decision and to ensure procedural fairness.

Noting the submissions, and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee hereby remands the matter to the Southern Regional Committee (SRC) with a specific direction that the appellant institutions shall be permitted to apply afresh and re-submit duly completed PARs upon reopening of the PAR Portal, in accordance with the General Body's mandate. The concerned Regional Committees shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable SOPs, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all connected records before passing any consequential order. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the SRC, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, all documents

submitted before the Appeal Committee, whereupon the SRC shall proceed strictly in accordance with law and in conformity with the directions set out herein.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 15.05.2025 and remand back the case to Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Nigama College of Education, plot no. 1546/b, Survey no. 1546/b, sambaiahpally, Sultanabad, karimn, Sultanabad, Telangana - 505186.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 3rd Floor, Telangana Secretariat, Hyderabad, Telangana-500022.**



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-455/E- 386521/2025 Appeal/15th Meeting, 2025

APPLWRC202515308/E-89067

Shri V J Patel College of Physical Education, Survey No. 114, Mahatma Gandhi Vidyalyaya Campus, Mogri Road, Gujarat – 388345	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Jatin D. Patel, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	17.12.2025
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Shri V J Patel College of Physical Education, Survey No. 114, Mahatma Gandhi Vidyalaya Campus, Mogri Road, Gujarat - 388345** dated 31.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **F. No. NCTE/2025/WRC/PAR/ORDER/APW02103/324064/6200-6205** dated 24.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice"

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Jatin D. Patel, Principal from **Shri V J Patel College of Physical Education, Survey No. 114, Mahatma Gandhi Vidyalaya Campus, Mogri Road, Gujarat - 388345** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 17.12.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "This to bring to your kind attention that our Institution has already submitted the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) within the stipulated time as per NCTE norms. Despite timely submission of Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) on, fee receipt number 241209211133941, date 9/12/2024, twice we have received show cause notice. We have furnished the details regarding the notice in our previous letters and email as below. We have received show cause notice with reference number : 1. NCTE/2025/WRC/PAR/APW02103/324064/1794 on 12/04/2025 Replied From college side with reference number : VJBP1718 on 25/04/2025 via India Post also, 2. Received withdrawal order via mail with file number : NCTE/2025/WRC/PAR/ORDER/APW02103/324064/6200-6205 on 24/05/2025 Replied from college side with reference number: VJBP1747 and Speed Post number: RG0001344IN on 16/06/2025 3. Received withdrawal order again via Indian Post with same a above file number 19/07/2025 Replied from college side via mail on 21/07/2025 and, with reference number 1771 and by Speed Post on 22/07/2025."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held online on 17th December 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents

available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The instant matter was placed in its 14th Meeting held online on 20.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant second and final opportunity to the appellant institution with the direction to submit the aforesaid documents mentioned therein.

The Appeal Committee, in its 15th Meeting held online on 17.12.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 24.05.2025 issued by the Western Regional Committee (WRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the B.P.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 03.03.2007, and that the WRC subsequently withdrew this recognition on 24.05.2025 on the ground of non-submission of the mandatory Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. Although the deadline for submission of PARs, initially fixed as 10.11.2024, was extended up to 31.12.2024 through successive Public Notices, the appellant institution failed to comply. The Committee further observed that the appeal dated 13.08.2025 suffers from a delay of 07 days beyond the statutory period prescribed under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and that the institution has offered no cogent explanation for such delay. Nevertheless, taking into account subsequent developments, the Committee proceeded to examine the matter on merits.

The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Committee also took note of the judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, including *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P. (C) 3231/2016, judgment dated 23.02.2017], as well as orders dated 08.04.2021 in W.P.

(C) 4382/2021 and 30.07.2021 in W.P. (C) 7260/2021, which mandate that subsequent documents submitted in the appeal must be considered.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

The Appeal Committee, noting the above directives and the binding resolution of the General Body, finds that although the appellant institution was indeed deficient for failing to submit the PARs within the prescribed timelines, it nevertheless falls within the category of institutions permitted to avail the final, one-time opportunity for PAR submission, subject strictly to fulfilment of the conditions stipulated by the General Body. Accordingly, the appeal warrants disposal by way of remand, solely to give effect to the Council's binding decision and to ensure procedural fairness.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee hereby remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a specific direction that the appellant institutions shall be permitted to apply afresh and re-submit duly completed PARs upon reopening of the PAR Portal, in accordance with the General Body's

mandate. The concerned Regional Committees shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable SOPs, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all connected records before passing any consequential order. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the WRC, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, all documents submitted before the Appeal Committee, whereupon the WRC shall proceed strictly in accordance with law and in conformity with the directions set out herein.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 24.05.2025 and remand back the case to Western Regional Committee for fresh consideration strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to:-

1. **The Principal, Shri V J Patel College of Physical Education, Survey No. 114, Mahatma Gandhi Vidyalaya Campus, Mogri Road, Gujarat - 388345.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Gujarat, Block No. 5, 8th Floor, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-467/E- 388508/2025 Appeal/15th Meeting, 2025

APPLERC202515424/E-89067

Sipajhar College, Plot No. 672, 872, 873, 874, 875, 876, 880, 881, 838, 839, 889, 890, 89, Vill-Bhuktabari, P/s - Sipajhar, NH-15, Darrang, Assam – 784145	<u>Vs</u>	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Satyendra Kumar Sarhah, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, ERC
Date of Hearing	17.12.2025
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Sipajhar College, Plot No. 672, 872, 873, 874, 875, 876, 880, 881, 838, 839, 889, 890, 89, Vill-Bhuktabari, P/s - Sipajhar, NH-15, Darrang, Assam - 784145** dated 07.11.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **F. No. NCTE / ERC / 2526202405152892 / ASSAM / 2024 / REJC / 1163** dated 16.09.2025 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The institution has appointed Principal/HoD having no M.Ed. degree as required in terms of NCTE Regulation amended from time to time. Hence, the principal is not qualified as per NCTE Regulations"

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Satyendra Kumar Sarhah, Principal of Sipajhar College, Plot No. 672, 872, 873, 874, 875, 876, 880, 881, 838, 839, 889, 890, 89, Vill-Bhuktabari, P/s - Sipajhar, NH-15, Darrang, Assam - 784145 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 17.12.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "The institution has now appointed Dr. Karabi Kalita as the principal/HOD having the requisite qualification of M.Ed. Degree as per NCTE Regulations. The updated faculty list has been approved by the registrar Gauhati University on 15.10.2025. N. B. the Govt. has appointed Dr. Satyendra Kumar Sarmati as the new principal of Sipajhar College."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held online on 17th December 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Eastern Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 27.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the ERC vide order dated 16.09.2025.

The instant matter placed in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held on 20.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant opportunities to the appellant institution with the direction to submit the aforesaid documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter placed in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held on 17.12.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The appellant institution, in its appeal and during the hearing, contended that the deficiencies have been duly rectified and that the documents now furnished establish compliance with the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations, therefore, its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee upon perusal of the records and after hearing the oral submissions of the appellant, noted that the institution has placed on record a compliance report along with various supporting documents in purported fulfillment of the deficiencies cited in the impugned refusal order.

The Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 - Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 16.09.2025 and remand the matter to the Eastern Regional Committee (ERC) with a **direction to verify the documents submitted in Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the NRC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations.** The Appellant institution is

directed to forward to the ERC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the ERC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

IV. **DECISION:** -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to ERC with a direction to verify the authenticity of the documents submitted before Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the ERC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the ERC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the ERC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Sipajhar College, Plot No. 672, 872, 873, 874, 875, 876, 880, 881, 838, 839, 889, 890, 89, Vill-Bhuktabari, P/s - Sipajhar, NH-15, Darrang, Assam - 784145.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Assam, Assam Secretariat, Block 'C', 3rd Floor, Secretariat Road, Dispur, Guwahati, Assam-781006.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-405/E- 380356/2025 Appeal/15th Meeting, 2025

APPLSRC202515312/ E-89067

The Adoni Arts and Science College, 436/1 and 437, Yemmiganur Road, Mandigiri, Adoni, Arts College Branch, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh- 518302	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. P. Yugandhar Reddy, Lecturer in Zoology
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	17.12.2025
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **The Adoni Arts and Science College, 436/1 and 437, Yemmiganur Road, Mandigiri, Adoni, Arts College Branch, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh- 518302** dated **25/07/2025** filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **File No. NCTE/2025/SRC/PAR/ORDER/SRCAPP2428/150896** dated 02/06/2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, Withdrawal recognition for conducting B.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that “The Institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. P. Yugandhar Reddy, Lecturer in Zoology of The Adoni Arts and Science College, 436/1 and 437, Yemmiganur Road, Mandigiri, Adoni, Arts College Branch, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh- 518302 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 17.12.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “With reference to the Withdrawal Order NCTE/2025/SRC/PAR/ORDER/SRCAPP2428/150896 dated 02-07-2025 regarding the non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23 for the B.P.Ed. Program offered by our institution (NCTE Code: 50896), we respectfully submit the following justification for your kind consideration: 1. The COVID pandemic-related restrictions and uncertainties in 2021 and early 2022 had a lasting impact on institutional operations, academic calendar management, and report generation workflows. Staff members were frequently on medical leave or functioning with limited access to college systems. 2. During the mentioned period, the institution experienced administrative restructuring and key staff transitions because of transfer of Aided Staff (Both Teaching and Non-Teaching) as per G O MS No 42 Dated 10-08-2021, thereby the Internal Quality Assurance and Compliance teams were understaffed, which caused a delay in compiling and submitting mandatory reports including the PAR. Moreover, there has been a drastic decline in the No of admissions in the B.P.Ed. course since 2022-23. In the subsequent academic years there has been zero admissions in the course, which was one of the major factors to ignore preparation and submission of PAR. The institution has now

strengthened its Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) and compliance mechanisms to ensure timely submission of all statutory reports including PAR. 3. There was also a technical ambiguity regarding the specific portal mechanism and timelines for the PAR submission. Meanwhile the institution received the notice. There was an inordinate delay in the receipt of the notice. By the time the institution received the notice and tried to submit the explanation using the link given, the last date for submission had expired. Subsequently, the institution communicated the same to the authorities concerned but in vain. 4. The institution has completed and compiled the Performance Appraisal Report for both academic sessions. We have tried to submit the PAR using the Online link, but the NCTE Website was not open for submission of PAR in response to show cause notice dated 27-03-2025. We have taken screenshots and forwarded to NCTE for opening of website to submit PAR for 2021-22 and 2022-23 but not received any response. We herewith submit the same immediately for your review and records. We acknowledge the importance of timely submission of the PAR and deeply regret the oversight. We humbly assure you that such delays will not recur in the future. 5. We request you to kindly condone the delay and permit the institution to continue its approved B.P.Ed. program, as we are fully committed to maintaining NCTE standards and delivering quality teacher education. Thanking you for your understanding and continued support."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held online on 17th December 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The instant matter was placed in its 12th Meeting, 2025 held on 10.09.2025 and 14th Meeting held online on 21.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant second and final opportunity to the appellant institution with the direction to submit the aforesaid documents mentioned therein.

The Appeal Committee, in its 15th Meeting held online on 17.12.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 02.06.2025 issued by the Southern Regional Committee (SRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the B.P.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 02.05.2016, and that the SRC subsequently withdrew this recognition on 02.06.2025 on the ground of non-submission of the mandatory Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. Although the deadline for submission of PARs, initially fixed as 10.11.2024, was extended up to 31.12.2024 through successive Public Notices, the appellant institution failed to comply.

The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) **Stipulation of Consequence:**

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) **Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:**

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Committee also took note of the judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, including *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P. (C) 3231/2016, judgment dated 23.02.2017], as well as orders dated 08.04.2021 in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 and 30.07.2021 in W.P. (C) 7260/2021, which mandate that subsequent documents submitted in the appeal must be considered.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

"Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner."

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

The Appeal Committee, noting the above directives and the binding resolution of the General Body, finds that although the appellant institution was indeed deficient for failing to submit the PARs within the prescribed timelines, it nevertheless falls within the category of institutions permitted to avail the final, one-time opportunity for PAR submission, subject strictly to fulfilment of the conditions stipulated by the General Body. Accordingly, the appeal warrants disposal by way of remand, solely to give effect to the Council's binding decision and to ensure procedural fairness.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee hereby remands the matter to the Southern Regional Committee (SRC) with a specific direction that the appellant institutions shall be permitted to apply afresh and re-submit duly completed PARs upon reopening of the PAR Portal, in accordance with the General Body's mandate. The concerned Regional Committees shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable SOPs, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all connected records before passing any consequential order. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the SRC, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, all documents submitted before the Appeal Committee,

whereupon the SRC shall proceed strictly in accordance with law and in conformity with the directions set out herein.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 02.06.2025 and remand back the case to Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, The Adoni Arts and Science College, 436/1 and 437, Yemmiganur Road, Mandigiri, Adoni, Arts College Branch, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh- 518302.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Andhra Pradesh, J Block, 3rd Floor, Room No. 312, Andhra Pradesh Secretariat, Hyderabad-500022.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-427/E- 382541/2025 Appeal/15th Meeting, 2025

APPLSRC202515379/E-89067

Vignans Foundation for Science Technology and Research, Plot No. 10-272, Narakodur Tenali Road, Ceebrole Mandal, Vadlamudi, Guntur, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh – 522213	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Prof. M. Ramakrishna, Dean
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	17.12.2025
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OFS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Vignans Foundation for Science Technology and Research, Plot No. 10-272, Narakodur Tenali Road, Ceebrole Mandal, Vadlamudi, Guntur, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh - 522213** dated 06/09/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F.No. NCTE/SRC/26272025050883933/ANDHRA PRADESH/2025/REJC/1832** dated 26/08/2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusal recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that " In reply to SCN, the university has agreed that the land for the preposed course of the University is under Private Lease, which is not acceptable as per clause 8 (4) (1) of NCTE Regulations 2014."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Prof. M. Ramakrishna, Dean of Vignans Foundation for Science Technology and Research, Plot No. 10-272, Narakodur Tenali Road, Ceebrole Mandal, Vadlamudi, Guntur, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh - 522213 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 17.12.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "The University has represented to SCN on 18/07/2025, w.r.t to 2nd paragraph of point no.3, stating the below: The university proposed a new building (Nagarjuna block) for offering the ITEP programs, and the land with survey no. 292/5, in area of 1.12 acres, pertaining to the above-mentioned building. This building is solely on the name of the university i.e. "Vignans Foundation for Science, Technology and Research", which is dedicated to ITEP. However, after sending the above reply to SCN, the same comment is received."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held online on 17th December 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the ^{Southern} ~~Northern~~ Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 26.05.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the ^{SRC} ~~NRC~~ vide order dated 26.08.2025.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 13th Meeting, 2025 held on 24.09.2025. The Appeal Committee initially decided to dispose of the appeal as infructuous in view of the General Body resolution dated 28.07.2025 and the subsequent reopening of the portal for fresh applications up to 05.10.2025. However, the appellant institution represented that the appeal preferred by it pertained to the four-year Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) and not to the transition of the four-year B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. programme. In view of the said submission, the Committee considered it appropriate to afford the appellant institution an opportunity of hearing in the subsequent Appeal Committee meeting.

Accordingly, the matter was again placed before the Appeal Committee in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held on 17.12.2025. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records, and the documents submitted by the appellant institution. During the hearing and in its written submissions, the appellant institution contended that the University had responded to the Show Cause Notice on 18.07.2025, specifically with reference to paragraph 2 of point no. 3 thereof, stating inter alia that the University had proposed a new building, namely "Nagarjuna Block", for offering the ITEP programmes. It was further submitted that the said building stands on land bearing Survey No. 292/5, admeasuring 1.12 acres, and that both the land and the building are exclusively owned by the University, namely "Vignan's Foundation for Science, Technology and Research", and are dedicated for the ITEP programme.

The appellant institution further contended that, in its replies to the First and Final Show Cause Notices, it had duly communicated that a University-owned building had been identified for the launch of the ITEP programme and that the requisite documents, including the sale deed evidencing ownership of the building and the land bearing Survey No. 292/5 admeasuring 1.12 acres, along with other relevant supporting documents establishing ownership in the name of Vignan's Foundation for Science, Technology and Research, had been submitted. It was asserted that these documents were not considered at the time of passing of the impugned order and have therefore been resubmitted for consideration. The appellant institution claimed that the deficiencies stood duly rectified and that the documents now furnished establish

compliance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations, warranting consideration of its case for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee, upon perusal of the records and after hearing the oral submissions of the appellant institution, noted that the institution has placed on record a compliance report along with various supporting documents in purported fulfilment of the deficiencies cited in the impugned refusal order.

The Committee further noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 - Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 26.08.2025 and remand the matter to the Southern Regional Committee (SRC) with a **direction to verify the documents submitted in Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the NRC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations.** The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the SRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the SRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above. In view of the above decision, the earlier order bearing No. 89-427/E-382541/2025 Appeal/13th Meeting, 2025 APPLSRC202515379 dated 10.11.2025 shall stand cancelled and withdrawn.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 26.08.2025 and remand back the case to SRC with a direction to verify the authenticity of the documents submitted before Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the SRC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the SRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the SRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above. In view of the above decision, the earlier order bearing No. 89-427/E-382541/2025 Appeal/13th Meeting, 2025 APPLSRC202515379 dated 10.11.2025 shall stand cancelled and withdrawn.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Vignans Foundation for Science Technology and Research, Plot No. 10-272, Narakodur Tenali Road, Ceebrole Mandal, Vadlamudi, Guntur, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh - 522213.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Andhra Pradesh, J Block, 3rd Floor, Room No. 312, Andhra Pradesh Secretariat, Hyderabad-500022.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 13.01.2026

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-368/E-377449/2025 Appeal/15th Meeting, 2025

APPLSRC202515318/E-89067

V. N. Heddurshetti D.Ed. College, 40, Gokak Road, Hukkeri, Belgaum Karnataka-591309	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Mr. Raghavendra Kulkarni, Administrative Officer
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	17.12.2025
Date of Pronouncement	13.01.2026

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **V. N. Heddurshetti D.Ed. College, 40, Gokak Road, Hukkeri, Belgaum Karnataka-591309** dated 02/08/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / SRC / PAR / ORDER / APS01584 / 148719** dated 20.05.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that “The Institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Raghavendra Kulkarni, Administrative Officer of V. N. Heddurshetti D.Ed. College, 40, Gokak Road, Hukkeri, Belgaum Karnataka-591309 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 17.12.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “We humbly submit that our institution has duly filled and submitted the Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23 well within the extended deadlines prescribed by the NCTE. The PAR for 2021–22 was submitted on 25/11/2024 and the PAR for 2022–23 was submitted on 29/11/2024, both before the final due date of 31/12/2024 as notified by the NCTE public notices dated 07/11/2024 & 10/12/2024.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 15th Meeting, 2025 held online on 17th December 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The instant matter was placed in its 11th Meeting, 2025 held on 26.08.2025 and 14th Meeting held online on 21.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to keep the matter in abeyance with the direction to the Appellant Institution to submit the aforesaid documents mentioned therein.

The Appeal Committee, in its 15th Meeting held online on 17.12.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 20.05.2025 issued by the Southern Regional Committee (SRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 30.11.2004, and that the SRC subsequently withdrew this recognition on 20.05.2025 on the ground of non-submission of the mandatory Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. Although the deadline for submission of PARs, initially fixed as 10.11.2024, was extended up to 31.12.2024 through successive Public Notices, the appellant institution failed to comply. The Committee further observed that the appeal dated 13.08.2025 suffers from a delay of 13 days beyond the statutory period prescribed under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and that the institution has offered no cogent explanation for such delay.

The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***

- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Committee also took note of the judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, including *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P. (C) 3231/2016, judgment dated 23.02.2017], as well as orders dated 08.04.2021 in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 and 30.07.2021 in W.P. (C) 7260/2021, which mandate that subsequent documents submitted in the appeal must be considered.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

"Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner."

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

The Appeal Committee, noting the above directives and the binding resolution of the General Body, finds that although the appellant institution was indeed deficient for failing to submit the PARs within the prescribed timelines, it nevertheless falls within the category of institutions permitted to avail the final, one-time opportunity for PAR submission, subject strictly to fulfilment of the conditions stipulated by the General Body. Accordingly, the appeal warrants disposal by way of remand, solely to give effect to the Council's binding decision and to ensure procedural fairness.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee hereby remands the matter to the Southern Regional Committee (SRC) with a specific direction that the appellant institutions shall be permitted to apply afresh and re-submit duly completed PARs upon reopening of the PAR Portal, in accordance with the General Body's mandate. The concerned Regional Committees shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014, the General Body decision dated 25.11.2025, all applicable SOPs, and relevant Public Notices. The concerned Regional Committee, being the custodian of its records, shall also ensure the authenticity and genuineness of the impugned order and all connected records before passing any consequential order. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the SRC, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, all documents submitted before the Appeal Committee,

whereupon the SRC shall proceed strictly in accordance with law and in conformity with the directions set out herein.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 20.05.2025 and remand back the case to Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, V. N. Heddurshetti D.Ed. College, 40, Gokak Road, Hukkeri, Belgaum Karnataka-591309.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Secretary Establishment, Higher Education Dept., Room No. 645 A, 2nd Gate, 6th Floor, M.S.Building, Bengaluru, Karnataka-1.