

4



\$~132

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
CNR No. DLHC010385672026
+ **W.P.(C) 11966/2026 & CM APPL. 55604/2026**
VIJAYA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mayank Manish Adv Mr. Ravi
Kant Adv Mr. Vineet Upadhyay Adv
Mr Saksham Rana And Ms Muskan Jain, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR
.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor, Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER
19.08.2026

%

1. This Order is being passed in view of a similar Order dated 02.09.2025 passed in W.P.(C) 13424/2025, covering the present issue and same reasons and expressions have been used for the purpose of this Order.
2. In the present matter, the challenge is to the withdrawal of recognition of the petitioner institute by the regional committee of the National Council for Teacher Education ("**NCTE**").
3. The recognition has been withdrawn, *inter alia*, on the ground that there was no submission of Performance Appraisal Report ("**PAR**") for the academic sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23 in terms of the public notice issued by the NCTE, and the withdrawal of recognition is premised on the ground that there was no reply given to the show



cause notice.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the premise on which recognition has been withdrawn by the regional committee is not tenable. Elaborating on this, it is submitted that where recognition has been withdrawn on the ground of non-filing of reply to the show cause notice, the submission is that the reply to the show cause notice was given either through mail or sent through speed post, however, the same was not served upon petitioner.
5. It is submitted that in similar matters including, *W.P. (C) 8133/2025* titled as *Mahavir Teacher Training School v. National Council for Teacher Education and Anr.*, notice has been issued by this Court and the impugned order has been stayed till the next date of hearing with further direction to permit the petitioner therein to participate in counselling and admit students for the academic session 2025-26.
6. The attention of the Court is invited to the decision *NCTE and Anr. v. Vaishnav Institute of Technology and Management, (2012) 5 SCC 139*, particularly to paragraph No. 28 thereof which reads as under:

“28. Derecognition or withdrawal of recognition of a recognised institution is a drastic measure. It results in dislocating the students, teachers and the staff. That is why, the Council has been empowered under Section 13 to have a constant vigil on the functioning of a recognised institution. On the recommendation of the Council after inspection, if a recognised institution does not rectify the deficiencies and continues to function in contravention of the provisions of the 1993 Act or the Rules or the Regulations, the Regional



Committee under Section 17 has full power to proceed for withdrawal of recognition in accordance with the procedure prescribed therein.”

(Emphasis Supplied)

7. It is further submitted that as per SOP, two show cause notices are required to be issued, but in all these cases only one show cause notice was issued.
8. In view of the above, issue notice. Mr. Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents accepts notice. He submits that the present petition ought not to be entertained for the reason that there is a statutory remedy available in terms of Section 18 of the NCTE Act, against the order of withdrawal of recognition.
9. However, on being queried by the Court, Mr. Kapoor fairly states that in similar matters notice has been issued and interim relief has also been granted.
10. Let counter affidavit be filed within a period of four weeks. Rejoinder thereto be filed within a period of two weeks thereafter.
11. Re-notify on 23.09.2026 alongwith W.P.(C) 8569/2025.
12. In the meanwhile, having regard to the submissions noted hereinabove, which prima facie appears to have substance, and further regard being had to the fact that in similar matters stay has been granted while issuing notice, the operation of the impugned withdrawal order dated 02.06.2025 is stayed till the next date of hearing and the petitioner are permitted to participate in the counselling and admit students in the academic session 2026-27.
13. It is further directed that, subject to verification, respondent/NCTE



shall update the status of petitioner institutions as recognized institutions on their official website and will also intimate all concerned, including State Authority, Affiliating Authorities and Counselling Authorities that operation of the impugned withdrawal order dated 02.06.2025 in respect of such listed institutes have been stayed by this Court and they have been permitted to participate in the counselling and admit the students for the academic session 2026-2027, which shall be complied with.

14. The needful shall be done by the respondents by 29.08.2026.

JASMEET SINGH, J

AUGUST 19, 2026 / (MS)